

dynamic development solutions TM

For and on behalf of Skegness Town Council

Skegness Neighbourhood Plan Sustainability Appraisal (incorporating Strategic Environmental Assessment)

> Prepared by Strategic Planning Research Unit DLP Planning Ltd Sheffield

> > May 2021



Prepared by:	Kirsten Ward BSc (Hons) MA PhD MRTPI Associate Planner
Checked by:	Alex Roberts BSc (Joint Hons) AssocRTPI Director
Approved by:	Alex Roberts BSc (Joint Hons) AssocRTPI Director
Date: May 2021	Office: Sheffield

Strategic Planning Research Unit

V1 Velocity Building Ground Floor Tenter Street Sheffield S1 4BY	Broad Quay House (6 th Floor) Prince Street Bristol BS1 4DJ	4 Abbey Court Fraser Road Priory Business Park Bedford MK44 3WH
Tel: 01142 289190	Tel: 01179 058850	Tel: 01234 832740

DLP Consulting Group disclaims any responsibility to the client and others in respect of matters outside the scope of this report. This report has been prepared with reasonable skill, care and diligence. This report is confidential to the client and DLP Planning Ltd accepts no responsibility of whatsoever nature to third parties to whom this report or any part thereof is made known. Any such party relies upon the report at their own risk.



CONTENTS

PAGE

1.0	Intro	duction	. 4
	a)	What is Sustainability Appraisal?	4
	b)	What is Strategic Environmental Assessment?	. 4
	C)	Who has carried out this Sustainability Appraisal?	. 5
	d)	Structure of the Sustainability Appraisal	. 5
2.0	The S	Sustainability Appraisal Process	. 6
	a)	Overview of the Sustainability Appraisal Progress	. 6
	b)	Screening / Scoping	. 6
3.0	Skeg	ness Neighbourhood Plan Context	. 8
	a)	Area Covered	. 8
	b)	Contents of the Neighbourhood Plan	. 8
4.0	The \$	Sustainability Appraisal Framework	10
	a)	What is the Sustainability Appraisal Framework?	10
	b)	Developing the Neighbourhood Plan Sustainability Appraisal Framework	10
	c)	Skegness Neighbourhood Plan Sustainability Appraisal Framework	10
5.0	Visio	on and Objectives Appraisal	17
	a)	Sustainability Appraisal	17
	b)	Justification	19
	C)	Required Alternatives	20
6.0	Neig	hbourhood Plan Policies Appraisal	21
	a)	Sustainability Appraisal	21
	b)	Summary	30
7.0	Sust	ainability Appraisal of the Alternatives	31
8.0	Appr	aisal of Secondary, Cumulative and Synergistic Effects	36
9.0	Moni	itoring	41

APPENDICES



1.0 INTRODUCTION

a) What is Sustainability Appraisal?

- 1.1 The Sustainability Appraisal (SA) is a systematic process that must be carried out during the preparation of plans and spatial development strategies. Its role is to promote sustainable development by assessing the extent to which the emerging plan, when judged against reasonable alternatives, will help to achieve relevant environmental, economic and social objectives. Sustainable development is about ensuring that the needs of everyone can be met now, without compromising the ability of future generations to meet their own needs. Put simply, sustainability looks at balancing a range of competing environmental, social and economic objectives when making decisions about the development and use of land.
- 1.2 The SA process is an opportunity to consider ways by which the plan can contribute to improvements in environmental, social and economic conditions, as well as a means of identifying and mitigating any potential adverse effects that the plan might otherwise have. By doing so, it can help make sure that the proposals in the plan are appropriate given the reasonable alternatives. It can be used to test the evidence underpinning the plan and help to demonstrate how the tests of soundness have been met. Sustainability Appraisal should be applied as an iterative process informing the development of the plan.
- 1.3 There is no legal requirement for a neighbourhood plan to have a sustainability appraisal as set out in section 19 of the Planning and Compulsory Purchase Act 2004. However, a qualifying body must demonstrate how its plan or order will contribute to achieving sustainable development. A proportionate approach can be taken to this and preparing a SA is one such approach that can be used.
- 1.4 The Skegness Neighbourhood Plan (the Plan) SA is being carried out to ensure that the policies included in the Plan are sustainable, thereby ensuring they are effective in providing for the current residents of the area, as well as future residents. This SA of the Plan is also being carried out as a means to ensure and demonstrate that the principles of sustainable development as defined by the National Planning Policy Framework (NPPF) have been taken into account in its preparation.
- 1.5 Appraising the Plan will enable the Neighbourhood Plan Steering Group to identify any issues with the Plan that may affect its sustainability. This in turn will allow the Steering Group to address these issues by amending the Plan, ensuring the final document itself contributes to the achievement of sustainable development.
- 1.6 This report sets out the outcomes of the SA of the Skegness Neighbourhood Development Plan. The report will assist in refining the Neighbourhood Plan prior to its submission to East Lindsey District Council.

b) What is Strategic Environmental Assessment?

- 1.7 In some limited circumstances, where a neighbourhood plan is likely to have significant environmental effects, it may require a Strategic Environmental Assessment (SEA). Draft neighbourhood plan proposals should be assessed to determine whether the plan is likely to have significant environmental effects. This initial assessment process is commonly referred to as a 'screening' assessment and the requirements are set out in regulation 9 of the Environmental Assessment of Plans and Programmes Regulations 2004.
- 1.8 If it is concluded that strategic environmental assessment is required, an environmental report must be prepared in accordance with paragraphs (2) and (3) of regulation 12 of those regulations. The assessment of plans deemed to have a significant environmental impact is also a requirement of the European SEA Directive (2001/42/EC).
- 1.9 One of the basic conditions that will be tested by the independent examiner is whether the



making of the neighbourhood plan is compatible with relevant legal obligations including Strategic Environmental Assessment.

- 1.10 The scoping stage of the SEA (see section 2(b) below) identified that due to the Skegness Neighbourhood Development Plan including site allocations and being in close proximity to a number of European protected sites, the undertaking of an SEA in accordance with the European Directive has been considered necessary and the elements of this have been incorporated into this SA. The SA process therefore ensures that potential environmental effects are given full consideration alongside social and economic issues.
- 1.11 The strategic environmental assessment should only focus on what is needed to assess the likely significant effects of the neighbourhood plan. It should focus on the environmental impacts which are likely to be significant. It does not need to be done in any more detail, or using more resources, than is considered to be appropriate for the content and level of detail in the neighbourhood plan.

c) Who has carried out this Sustainability Appraisal?

1.12 This SA of the Skegness Neighbourhood Plan has been undertaken and produced by DLP Planning working in conjunction with Skegness Town Council, in particular with the Neighbourhood Plan Steering Group.

d) Structure of the Sustainability Appraisal

- 1.13 This SA of the Skegness Neighbourhood Plan is structured as follows:
 - Section 2: The Sustainability Appraisal Process This section outlines the process for undertaking SA that we have used, including details of the Scoping exercise that has been carried out.
 - Section 3: Skegness Neighbourhood Plan Context This section sets out the broad context and contents of Skegness Neighbourhood Plan that will be examined through the SA process.
 - Section 4: The Sustainability Appraisal Framework This section introduces the Sustainability Appraisal Framework that will be utilised to appraise the Skegness Neighbourhood Plan. Using the Core Strategy framework as a template, this section will develop Sustainability Appraisal Objectives suitable for use in appraising the Neighbourhood Plan.
 - Section 5: Vision and Objectives Appraisal This section presents the Sustainability Appraisal of the Neighbourhood Plan's Vision and Objectives using the Sustainability Appraisal Framework Objectives set out in Section 4.
 - Section 6: Neighbourhood Plan Policies Appraisal This section presents the Sustainability Appraisal of the individual policies contained within the Skegness Neighbourhood Plan using the Sustainability Appraisal Framework Objectives set out in Section 4.
 - Section 7: Sustainability Appraisal of Alternatives This section assesses the effects of alternatives to the Skegness Neighbourhood Plan, including the alternative where no Neighbourhood Plan is produced.
 - Section 8: Appraisal of Secondary, Cumulative and Synergistic Effects This section assesses the secondary, cumulative and synergistic effects of the Neighbourhood Plan on the sustainability of the Neighbourhood Plan area.
 - Section 9: Monitoring This final section of this Sustainability Appraisal details how the SA document will be monitored.



2.0 THE SUSTAINABILITY APPRAISAL PROCESS

a) Overview of the Sustainability Appraisal Progress

- 2.1 The Sustainability Appraisal process is an ongoing and iterative process. It can be divided into the following stages, as set out in National Planning Practice Guidance (PPG):
 - Stage A: Screening / Scoping The initial Screening stage involves consulting the LPA and other relevant consultation bodies in order to determine whether the Neighbourhood Plan is likely to have significant effects and whether a SA / SEA is required. The Scoping stage identifies the scope and level of detail of information to be included in the SA report. It sets out the context, objectives and approach of the assessment and identifies relevant environmental, economic and social issues and objectives. A key aim of the Scoping stage is to ensure that the SA process is proportionate and relevant to the plan being assessed. This stage involves consultation with relevant consultation bodies. The outcomes of the Screening / Scoping stage of the Skegness Neighbourhood Plan are detailed in section 2(b) below.
 - Stage B: Baseline information This stage sets out the existing environmental, economic and social characteristics of the area likely to be affected by the Neighbourhood Plan, and their likely evolution without implementation of new policies. It provides the basis against which to assess the likely effects of alternative proposals in the draft Neighbourhood Plan. The area likely to be affected may lie outside the designated neighbourhood area and the local planning authority boundary and plan makers may need to obtain information from other local planning authorities. Wherever possible data should be included on historic and likely future trends, including a 'no Neighbourhood Plan' or 'business as usual' scenario (i.e. anticipated trends in the absence of the Neighbourhood Plan being introduced). This information will enable the potential effects of the implementation of the Neighbourhood Plan to be assessed in the context of existing and potential environmental, economic and social trends.
 - Stage C: Establishing the Sustainability Appraisal Framework the SA Framework sets out a series of objectives and indicators against which the Neighbourhood Plan and its likely effects are assessed.
 - Stage D: Developing and refining options (including reasonable alternatives) and assessing the likely effects of the Neighbourhood Plan and alternatives the SA needs to identify, describe and evaluate the likely significant effects of implementing the plan policies and of the reasonable alternatives, taking into account the objectives and geographical scope of the plan. The SA will also consider ways of mitigating adverse effects and maximising beneficial effects.
 - Stage E: Preparing the SA Report the SA Report sets out the appraisal process and findings, showing how the necessary requirements have been met as well as recording the wider assessment of environmental, social and economic effects.
 - Stage F: Consulting with stakeholders the SA will be consulted on alongside the Neighbourhood Plan. The SA will only need to be modified if changes are made to the Neighbourhood Plan following consultation that would likely give rise to significant effects.
 - **Stage G: Monitoring** the significant effects of implementing the Neighbourhood Plan once adopted should be monitored. This will enable any unforeseen adverse effects to be identified at an early stage and to enable appropriate remedial actions.

b) Screening / Scoping

2.2 Work on the SA of the Skegness Neighbourhood Plan began in September 2020 with the submission of a request to East Lindsey District Council for a Screening Opinion. In accordance with the Environmental Assessment of Plans and Programmes Regulations



2004 and European Directive 2001/42/EC a Screening Opinion on the need for a Strategic Environmental Assessment (SEA) for the Neighbourhood Plan was subsequently prepared by East Lindsey District Council. Following consultation with Statutory Bodies, including the Environment Agency, Natural England and Historic England, this Screening Opinion (attached at **Appendix 1**) concluded that the Neighbourhood Plan is likely to have significant environmental effects and a full SA is required, incorporating elements of SEA.

- 2.3 Paragraph 5.3 of the Council's Screening Opinion recommended that "a 'light touch' SA (incorporating SEA) be prepared for the Skegness Neighbourhood Development Plan". The report also set out the required scope of the SEA, recommending that special consideration be given to the impacts on flood risk and sites protected internationally for their biodiversity.
- 2.4 Alongside the SEA Screening Opinion, East Lindsey District Council also prepared an Equalities Impact Assessment (EqIA) of the Draft Skegness Neighbourhood Plan and a Stage 1 Habitats Regulations Assessment (HRA). The Stage 1 HRA concluded that Draft Neighbourhood Plan Policy E2 could have significant effects on the Saltfleetby-Theddlethorpe Dunes & Gibraltar Point Special Conservation Area and as such a full 'appropriate assessment'¹ would be required. Draft Policy E2 has since been amended in order to remove the potential for any significant effects on protected habitats and species. East Lindsey District Council has confirmed that an 'appropriate assessment' of the Neighbourhood Plan will therefore not be required.

¹ A plan or project may also require an appropriate assessment, as set out in the Conservation of Habitats and Species Regulations 2017 (as amended), if it is considered likely to have significant effects on a habitats site. A sustainability appraisal should take account of the findings of an appropriate assessment, if one is undertaken. An appropriate assessment, under the Conservation of Habitats and Species Regulations 2017 (as amended), identifies whether a plan or project is likely to have a significant effect on a habitats site, either alone or in combination with other plans or projects. This assessment must determine whether significant effects on that site can be ruled out on the basis of objective information.



3.0 SKEGNESS NEIGHBOURHOOD PLAN CONTEXT

a) Area Covered

3.1 The Skegness Neighbourhood Plan covers the neighbourhood area as designated by East Lindsey District Council on the 11th August 2016.

b) Contents of the Neighbourhood Plan

- 3.2 The Skegness Neighbourhood Plan has been produced in accordance with the Neighbourhood Planning (General) Regulations 2012 and meets the basic conditions set out in paragraph 8(2) of Schedule 4B of the Town and Country Planning Act 1990. The Neighbourhood Plan, alongside the strategic policies in the East Lindsey District Council Core Strategy, seeks to support and direct the development and growth of the area up to 2031.
- 3.3 This neighbourhood plan contains a series of policies that will deliver the Vision and Objectives identified for Skegness and guide the future development of the town up to 2031. The neighbourhood plan aims to ensure that Skegness develops in a way that supports the livelihood and wellbeing of its residents and visitors, both current and future, whilst preserving and enhancing the character of the town and the wider coastal environment.
- 3.4 The Neighbourhood Plan will form part of the development plan for East Lindsey District Council, and applications for new development will be required to be in conformity with the Skegness Neighbourhood Plan. This means that it will be considered by Planning Officers in East Lindsey District Council when determining planning applications.
- 3.5 The Skegness Neighbourhood Plan is structured as follows:

Part 1: A Vision for Skegness

- 3.6 The first part of the neighbourhood plan outlines the overarching vision for Skegness that forms the grounding for the neighbourhood plan policies. This includes the following sections:
 - Skegness in Context: This section provides an overview of the geographic, historic, environmental, demographic and built environment context for Skegness town.
 - Opportunities and Constraints: This section summarises the main opportunities and constraints facing Skegness.
 - Vision and Objectives: This section summarises the vision and core objectives for the plan that were developed following the initial public consultation exercise.

Part 2: Neighbourhood Plan Policies and Site Allocations

- 3.7 Part 2 of the neighbourhood plan outlines the specific policies for Skegness based around the following policy themes:
 - Theme 1: Employment, education and skills
 - Theme 2: Tourism and visitor economy
 - Theme 3: Skegness town centre
 - Theme 4: Transport infrastructure
 - Theme 5: Housing
 - Theme 6: Community, health and well-being
 - Theme 7: Design and environment
- 3.8 The Neighbourhood Plan also allocates a number of sites for development. These are outlined at the end of Part 2 of the Draft Plan.
- 3.9 A full list of the Neighbourhood Plan policies is contained in Appendix 1 of the Draft Plan.



Part 3: Delivering the Plan

- 3.10 The final part of the neighbourhood plan explains how the plan will be delivered and how it will be monitored in the future. This includes the following sections:
 - Implementation
 - Monitoring and review



4.0 THE SUSTAINABILITY APPRAISAL FRAMEWORK

What is the Sustainability Appraisal Framework? a)

- 4.1 To effectively appraise the Neighbourhood Plan for Skegness, a clear, concise and effective framework is needed; one that addresses all aspects of sustainable development.
- 4.2 In order to assess what options would be most sustainable for the future development of the area, the key sustainability issues affecting the Plan were identified. These have been separated into social, economic and environmental issues, although there is inevitably overlap between them. For example, certain issues, such as climate change, have environmental, economic and social implications.
- 4.3 The key issues identified in this report comprise:
 - Social housing supply; crime and community safety; health and recreation; and provision • of social capital.
 - Economic business development (existing and new) and job creation; site and • infrastructure provision: and town and rural centres.
 - Environmental preventing loss of priority habitats; protection and enhancement of the green • infrastructure; protecting the historic environment; minimising flood risk; efficient use of resources; and minimising the impacts of climate change.
- These sustainability issues have each been taken into consideration in the production of the 4.4 Sustainability Appraisal Framework for the Draft Plan, ensuring the Plan itself ultimately addresses all of the issues.

Developing the Neighbourhood Plan Sustainability Appraisal Framework b)

- 4.5 To ensure continuity with the adopted East Lindsey Core Strategy (2018), this SA for the Draft Neighbourhood Plan will broadly follow the framework as was used for the SA of the Core Strategy. It has been agreed with Planning Officers at East Lindsey Council that this is an acceptable approach. However, several amendments to the framework have been made to reflect the smaller, more localised scale of the Neighbourhood Plan area.
- The Sustainability Appraisal Framework developed for East Lindsey District Council's Core 4.6 Strategy consists of a series of objectives and indicators. These have been devised with regard to both national and regional sustainability objectives and indicators, as well as to the environmental and sustainability issues assessed to be of key importance in East Lindsey. Full information on these and their formulation can be found in the Sustainability Appraisal Scoping Report, which is accessible via the following link:

https://www.e-lindsey.gov.uk/article/6331/Sustainability-Appraisal

Skegness Neighbourhood Plan Sustainability Appraisal Framework C)

4.7 The SA Objectives that will be used to appraise the sustainability of the Neighbourhood Plan for Skegness are as follows.

Table 1. Sustainability Appraisal Framework

Skegness Neighbourhood Plan Sustainability Appraisal Framework

1. Protect and enhance the quality and distinctiveness of the areas' biodiversity (native plants and animals) and geodiversity

2. Protect and enhance the quality and distinctiveness of the area's landscapes, townscapes and historic environment

3. Protect natural resources from avoidable losses and pollution and minimise the impacts of unavoidable losses



Skegness Neighbourhood Plan Sustainability Appraisal Framework

4. Avoid the risk of flooding (where possible) and fully mitigate against the impacts of flooding where it cannot be avoided

5. Promote viable and diverse economic growth that supports communities within the district

6. Prioritise appropriate re-use of previously developed land and minimise the loss of the best agricultural land and greenfield sites

7. Improve accessibility to key services, facilities, amenities and green infrastructure including the promotion of sustainable modes of access

8. Increase reuse and recycling rates and minimise the production of waste

9. Support inclusive, safe and vibrant communities

10. Ensure that local housing needs are met

11. Increase energy efficiency and ensure appropriate sustainable design, construction and operation of new developments

12. Encourage and provide the facilities and infrastructure for healthy lifestyles

13. Positively plan for, and minimise the effects of, climate change

4.8 Each of the SA Objectives has been matched with detailed decision-making criteria. These criteria comprise the key questions that will be asked of the Draft Plan to ascertain whether or not it works towards achieving the SA objective. These questions can be found on the following page.



Table 2. SA Objectives and Decision-making Criteria

Sus	tainability Appraisal Objectives	Decision-making Criteria: Will the Vision/Objective/Policy
1	Protect and enhance the quality and distinctiveness of the area's biodiversity (native plants and animals) and geodiversity	 Protect and provide opportunities for improving / enhancing sites designated for their nature conservation value / geodiversity value (local and national levels)? Protect the habitats and species protected by International and UK law? Help achieve Lincolnshire Biodiversity Action Plan (BAP) targets? Help to avoid / reduce the loss of / decline in semi natural habitats, agricultural habitats, urban habitats / geological resources? Conserve species and protect the districts overall biodiversity?
2	Protect and enhance the quality and distinctiveness of the area's landscapes, townscapes and historic environment	 Protect and provide opportunities to enhance the distinctive landscapes (e.g. Conservation Areas, Lincolnshire Wolds AONB) within the district? Maintain and, where possible, increase the area of high quality green infrastructure within the district – e.g. woodlands, public rights of way etc? Prevent aspects / amenity being compromised? Provide opportunities to enhance the townscapes within the district – e.g. promotion of the repair and re-use of historic buildings? Maintain and enhance the character / distinctiveness of towns and villages (including conservation areas)? Protect or enhance known features of historical, archaeological, or cultural interest, including their setting? Protect areas associated with a known high risk archaeological resource where actual and / or quality / quantity of finds is not known e.g. features associated with buried archaeology?
3	Protect natural resources from avoidable losses and pollution and minimise the impacts of unavoidable losses	 Contribute to effective management of water resources (surface and ground waters) via a reduction in water consumption? Contribute to effective management of water resources (surface waters) via storage of excess precipitation? Reduce diffuse and point source water pollution (e.g. from STWs, commercial, industrial and agricultural sources) and therefore contribute to 'good ecological status' for all water bodies? Protect the habitats and species reliant on the water environment e.g. in rivers,



Sus	tainability Appraisal Objectives	Decision-making Criteria: Will the Vision/Objective/Policy						
		canals, lakes, ponds and adjacent areas of wetland habitats?						
		 Avoid an increase in light pollutants, particularly in more rural areas and the Lincolnshire Wolds AONB? 						
		Protect the best and most versatile agricultural land?						
	Avoid the risk of flooding (where possible) and	 Will it minimise flood risk to people, property, agricultural land and other assets from rivers and from drainage infrastructure e.g. resulting from intense or prolonged precipitation? 						
4	fully mitigate against the impacts of flooding where it cannot be avoided	 Will it minimise flood risk to people, property, agricultural land and other assets from coastal inundation e.g. via storm surges? 						
		 Increase flood risk to people, property, agricultural land and other assets downstream of the proposed development? 						
		Assist the provision of appropriate land and premises for business activity?						
		 Provide diversity in the economy and encourage sustainable business development? 						
_	Promote viable and diverse economic growth that supports communities within the district	 Support the growth of sectors that offer scope to reduce outcommuting, e.g. to Lincoln, Grimsby and Boston? 						
5		 Improve access to education and training, and support provision of skilled employees to the economy? 						
		Support vital and viable town centres?						
		Encourage the rural economy and support farm diversification?						
		Enable tourism opportunities to be exploited?						
6	Prioritise appropriate re-use of previously developed land and minimise the loss of the best agricultural land and greenfield sites	• Promote the efficient re-use of land and buildings for new developments and ensure that denser developments are well designed and are associated with good public transport systems to help achieve the most sustainable pattern and types of development?						
		Protect the best and most versatile agricultural land?						
_	Improve accessibility to key services, facilities,	 Improve access to local services, facilities, places of employment and green infrastructure for all residents throughout the district? 						
7	amenities and green infrastructure including the promotion of sustainable modes of access	 Provide improved and sustainable public modes of transport in both urban and rural areas and reduce the need to travel by car? 						
8	Increase reuse and recycling rates and	Reduce waste generated as part of all building programmes?						



Sust	tainability Appraisal Objectives	Decision-making Criteria: Will the Vision/Objective/Policy
	minimise the production of waste	Reduce household waste?
		Increase waste recovery and recycling (domestic, commercial, etc.)?
		 Improve the quality of life for communities by allowing residents to become actively involved in decision making at a local level?
		 Maintain, enhance and create green infrastructure assets (e.g. green space) across the district accessible to the whole community?
9	Support inclusive, safe and vibrant communities	 Improve the availability and accessibility of key local services and facilities, including health, education and leisure (shops, post offices, pubs etc.) that also reduce the need to travel?
		Promote more diverse and cohesive communities?
		 Promote and encourage design principles that positively reduce crime and antisocial behaviour?
		Reduce the fear of crime, the actual levels of crime, antisocial behaviour
10	Ensure that local housing needs are met	• Support the provision of a range of house types and sizes, including affordable housing, to meet the identified needs of all sectors of the community?
		Enable first time buyers to purchase a home?
		• Ensure all new housing incorporates at least some energy saving measures?
	Increase energy efficiency and ensure	Lead to local developments built to a high standard of sustainable design?
11	appropriate sustainable design, construction and operation of new developments	• Reduce household waste and increase waste recovery and recycling (domestic, commercial, etc)?
		 Lead to an increased proportion of energy needs being met from renewable sources e.g. at domestic and commercial scales?
		 Ensure that adequate health facilities and infrastructure is available for present and future generations?
		Ensure health facilities are accessible to all sectors of the community?
12	Encourage and provide the facilities and	Reduce health inequalities across the district?
	infrastructure for healthy lifestyles	Promote healthy and active lifestyles?
		 Maintain, enhance and create green infrastructure assets (e.g. green space, recreation and sports facilities, semi-wild/rural places) across the district accessible to the whole community?
13	Positively plan for, and minimise the effects of,	Minimise flood risk to people, property, agricultural land and other assets from the



Sustainability Appraisal Objectives	Decision-making Criteria: Will the Vision/Objective/Policy
climate change	sea, from rivers and from surface water drainage infrastructure?
	 Increase flood risk to people, property, agricultural land and other assets downstream of the proposed development?
	 Contribute to effective management of water resources (surface waters) (e.g storage of excess precipitation)?
	 Promote appropriate energy production technologies at the district scale?
	Contribute to a reduction in emissions of greenhouse gases within the district?



4.9 The sections of the Skegness Neighbourhood Plan that are to be appraised (the Vision & Objectives and the Policies) are substantially different, in terms of both content and purpose. It is deemed necessary to adopt a differing approach to measuring the sustainability of these against the SA Objectives. The following criteria were used to assess the level of compatibility of the Draft Plan's Vision, Aim and Objectives:

Кеу							
Compatible	+						
Neutral / No Impact	0						
Incompatible	-						
Uncertain Impact	?						

 Table 3.
 Criteria used to appraise the Vision & Objectives

4.10 To make a more thorough assessment of their potential impacts, the Draft Neighbourhood Plan Policies were assessed against more detailed criteria. The appraisal criteria are as follows:

Table 4. Criteria used to appraise the Neighbourhood Plan Policies

Кеу								
Strong Positive Impact	++							
Positive Impact	+							
Neutral / No Impact	0							
Negative Impact	-							
Strong Negative Impact								
Uncertain Impact	?							

4.11 Using the SA Objectives and the criteria established above in Tables 3 and 4, the following sections of this report set out the appraisal of the Vision & Objectives, and Policies of the Skegness Neighbourhood Development Plan.



5.0 VISION AND OBJECTIVES APPRAISAL

a) Sustainability Appraisal

5.1 The Vision & Objectives contained within the Draft Plan have been produced by the Skegness Neighbourhood Plan Steering Group utilising results obtained through consultation with local residents. The Vision and Objectives are presented below:

Skegness Neighbourhood Plan Vision

"Skegness will continue to be a thriving coastal town, expanding upon its wellestablished tourism and leisure offer, with an increasingly diverse economy. The town will be a desirable place for families to live and prosper and attract visitors throughout the year."

Skegness Neighbourhood Plan Objectives

<u>Economy</u>

- 1. Identify additional employment areas which will cater for emerging sectors within Skegness and the wider region.
- 2. Attract a university or college campus which would benefit from the town's wellestablished tourism industry.
- 3. Identify a suitable location for a transport hub to serve both passengers and goods.

<u>Social</u>

- 4. Attract and retain skilled and professional people and families to the town.
- 5. Maximise previously developed sites for new housing.
- 6. Address the redevelopment of B&Bs.
- 7. Restrict the development of Houses in Multiple Occupation (HMOs) throughout the town.
- 8. Provide more affordable housing within the town to meet locally identified needs.

Retail, Leisure and Tourism

- 9. Relocate car parking within the town to improve pedestrian circulation and create new development opportunities.
- 10. Make improvements along the Foreshore.
- 11. Identify and improve the town "Gateways".
- 12. Encourage the re-let of small units in the town centre for retail purposes.
- 13. Expand the offer of leisure and tourism within Skegness to create an attractive, year-round resort.
- 14. Ensure that caravan development needs can be met in a sustainable way through the Neighbourhood Development Plan (NDP) period.
- 15. Pedestrianisation of Lumley Road.
- 5.2 The following table appraises the Vision and each of the Objectives against the Sustainability Appraisal Objectives.



	Sustainability Appraisal Objectives												
Element of Draft Plan	SAO 1	SAO 2	SAO 3	SAO 4	SAO 5	SAO 6	SAO 7	SAO 8	SAO 9	SAO 10	SAO 11	SAO 12	SAO 13
Vision	0	+	0	0	+	0	+	0	0	+	0	0	0
Objective 1	0	0	0	0	+	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Objective 2	0	0	0	0	+	0	+	0	0	0	0	0	0
Objective 3	0	0	0	0	+	+	+	0	0	0	0	0	0
Objective 4	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	+	0	0	0	0
Objective 5	+	+	+	0	0	+	0	0	0	+	0	0	0
Objective 6	0	+	0	0	+	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Objective 7	0	+	0	0	0	0	0	0	+	+	0	0	0
Objective 8	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	+	0	0	0
Objective 9	0	+	0	0	0	0	+	0	0	0	0	+	0
Objective 10	0	+	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Objective 11	0	+	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Objective 12	0	+	0	0	+	0	+	0	0	0	0	0	0
Objective 13	0	0	0	0	+	0	+	0	0	0	0	0	0
Objective 14	0	+	0	0	0	0	+	0	+	+	0	0	0
Objective 15	0	+	0	0	0	0	+	0	0	0	0	+	0

 Table 5.
 Sustainability Appraisal of Vision & Objectives



b) Justification

- 5.3 Following on from the appraisal of the Vision & Objectives above, a reasoned justification is necessary to briefly explain why these elements of the Draft Neighbourhood Plan have been judged as such. In addition to this, issues that have arisen regarding the sustainability of an element of the Plan are discussed, and any required alterations are also suggested below.
- 5.4 As the above table demonstrates, all of the Neighbourhood Plan objectives and Sustainability Appraisal objectives are compatible with one another or have a neutral impact.
- 5.5 **Vision**: By encouraging Skegness to attract visitors throughout the year, the Neighbourhood Plan Vision will assist in enhancing the quality and distinctiveness of the area's landscapes, townscapes and historic environment. The Vision also promotes diverse economic growth. By encouraging Skegness to become a thriving coastal town and a desirable place for families to live, the Vision will help to improve accessibility to amenities, such as tourist attractions, and support local families in meeting their housing needs.
- 5.6 **Objective 1:** By identifying additional employment areas and supporting emerging employment sectors, this objective will assist with promoting viable and diverse economic growth that supports communities within the district.
- 5.7 **Objective 2:** By supporting the development of a university or college campus within the town, this objective will help to support diverse economic growth through the provision of academic and related jobs, and improve accessibility to education facilities within the town.
- 5.8 **Objective 3:** By supporting the development of a transport hub in an appropriate location within the town, this objective will help to support economic growth (by enabling improved access to jobs), minimise loss of greenfield sites (as the hub will likely be located within or near to the town centre) and improve accessibility to key services and facilities.
- 5.9 **Objective 4:** By seeking to attract skilled and professional people to the town, this objective will help to support the development of inclusive and vibrant communities.
- 5.10 **Objective 5:** By seeking to maximise previously developed sites for housing, this objective will help to protect biodiversity, the area's landscapes and natural resources, as well as directly prioritising re-use of previously developed land and ensuring that local housing needs are met.
- 5.11 **Objective 6:** By addressing the redevelopment of B&Bs for other uses, this objective will help to protect the distinctiveness of Skegness's townscape as well as promoting viable economic growth that supports communities within the district.
- 5.12 **Objective 7:** By restricting the development of HMOs throughout the town, this objective will help to protect the local townscape as well as supporting vibrant, mixed communities and ensuring that local housing needs are met by preserving family-sized housing.
- 5.13 **Objective 8:** By seeking to provide more affordable housing within the town, this objective will help to ensure that local housing needs are met.
- 5.14 **Objective 9:** By relocating parking within the town centre to improve pedestrian circulation, this objective will help to enhance the townscape and improve accessibility to key services and facilities within the town, including by promoting a more sustainable means of access.
- 5.15 **Objective 10:** By making improvements along the foreshore, this objective will enhance the quality and distinctiveness of the area's landscapes, townscapes and historic environment.
- 5.16 **Objective 11:** By identifying and improving the town's 'Gateways', this objective will enhance the quality and distinctiveness of the town's surrounding landscapes and townscapes.
- 5.17 **Objective 12:** By encouraging the re-let of small units within the town centre for retail purposes, this objective will enhance the quality of the townscape, promote viable economic



growth and improve accessibility to key facilities, including shops, within the town centre.

- 5.18 **Objective 13:** By seeking to expand the leisure and tourism offer within Skegness to create an attractive, year-round resort, this objective will help to promote diverse economic growth within the town as well as enhancing accessibility to amenities for visitors and residents.
- 5.19 **Objective 14:** By ensuring that the development of caravan parks are met in a sustainable way throughout the Neighbourhood Plan period, this objective will help to protect and enhance the landscape surrounding Skegness, help to improve accessibility to services and facilities by those living in caravan parks by ensuring they are developed in sustainable locations, support vibrant communities and ensure that local housing needs are met in a sustainable way.
- 5.20 **Objective 15:** By seeking to pedestrianise Lumley Road, this objective will help to enhance the townscape of Skegness and improve accessibility to services and facilities using a sustainable travel mode, which will in turn contribute towards encouraging healthy lifestyles.

c) Required Alternatives

5.21 No element of the Neighbourhood Vision or Objectives scores negatively against the Sustainability Objectives, and taken as a whole they are deemed to have a positive impact against each of the Sustainability Objectives. No alterations to the Vision and Objectives are therefore considered necessary as a result of this appraisal.



6.0 NEIGHBOURHOOD PLAN POLICIES APPRAISAL

a) Sustainability Appraisal

- 6.1 The Policies contained in the Neighbourhood Plan have been developed by the Skegness Neighbourhood Plan Steering Group to work alongside policies contained in the Core Strategy. These will deliver the aspirations of the local community, as outlined in the Draft Vision & Objectives.
- 6.2 The SA of the Plan Policies can be found on the following page. A wide range of policy areas have been included within the Pre-Submission Neighbourhood Plan.
- 6.3 It will be these areas that will be appraised in the SA to evaluate whether they have a positive or negative impact on the future of the area, using the Sustainability Appraisal Framework to undertake the evaluation.
- 6.4 The table on the following pages set out the appraisal of the policies within the Skegness Neighbourhood Plan against the SA framework.



Table 6. SA of the Skegness Neighbourhood Plan Policies

	Sustainability Appraisal Objectives												
Element of Draft - Plan	SAO 1	SAO 2	SAO 3	SAO 4	SAO 5	SAO 6	SAO 7	SAO 8	SAO 9	SAO 10	SAO 11	SAO 12	SAO 13
Policy E1					++	++							
	on the ol	In supporting the development of starter/incubator business floorspace on brownfield sites, this policy will have a positive impact on the objectives to promote viable and diverse economic growth that supports communities within the district and to prioritise re- use of previously developed land.											
Policy E2					++	+							
	provided highways impact o area's bi growth a	they do no s implication n existing odiversity, nd a positi	ot impact c ons. Emplo environme landscape	on the ame oyment use intal design es or natur on the obj	enity of nei es will only nations or al resource ective to p	ghbouring be suppo flood defe es. The po	uses, the rted where nces. This	character e it can be policy will ve a strong	or appeara demonstra therefore g positive i	ance of the ited that th not have a impact on	area, or h ese will no negative the promo	tainable lo have negat of have a n impact on tion of eco port of	ive egative the
Policy E3					++	++	+						
	industry appropria	and visitor	economy, of previou	subject to	certain cr	iteria being	g met. This	s policy wil	I therefore	support th	e objectiv	he tourism e to prioriti th and imp	se
Policy E4						++	++						
	This policy supports the development of new or enhanced educational establishments, skills development or training facilities on brownfield sites. As such, this policy will have a positive impact on prioritising the re-use of previously developed land, as well as improving accessibility to key education facilities.												
Policy V1					++		+						
	This policy supports the development of tourism-related development, subject to certain criteria being met, including ensuring the development does not negatively impact upon existing environmental designations, flood defences or the local townscape. This policy will therefore have a negative impact on most of the SA objectives. It will however have a positive impact on the promotion of viable and diverse economic growth and improving accessibility to amenities (including tourism-related facilities).												
Policy V2										+			



Element of Draft Plan	Sustainability Appraisal Objectives												
	SAO 1	SAO 2	SAO 3	SAO 4	SAO 5	SAO 6	SAO 7	SAO 8	SAO 9	SAO 10	SAO 11	SAO 12	SAO 13
	This policy seeks to prevent unnecessary loss of tourist accommodation (hotels, guest houses and B&Bs), particularly within the designated Serviced Holiday Accommodation Areas. Applications for changes of use from tourist accommodation uses outside these designated areas will be supported subject to certain criteria being met including if the application involves the conversion of the premises to affordable housing, a community use, a single family dwelling, older persons accommodation, or would result in an increased number of jobs being provided. It is not therefore considered that this policy would negatively impact upon local economic growth and it has the potential to positively contribute towards ensuring that local housing needs are met.												
Policy V3		+											
	This policy supports the development of new serviced tourist accommodation both within and outside the designated Serviced Holiday Accommodation Areas, subject to certain design and amenity-related criteria being met. This policy therefore has the potential to positively enhance the quality and distinctiveness of Skegness's townscape.												
Policy TC1					+		+		+				
	This policy supports the retention of active shopping frontages within the Town Centre and seeks to prevent the loss of floorspace to non-town centre uses, unless the development can be demonstrated to have a positive impact on the vitality of the town centre and is compatible with any nearby residential uses. This policy should therefore have a positive impact on promoting economic growth within the town and ensuring that access to key services and facilities is retained, as well as also supporting vibrant communities.												
Policy TC2										+			
	This policy supports applications for residential (C3) uses on upper floor levels above existing shops in the town centre provided that the use does not adversely affect the viability of any commercial ground floor use. This policy will therefore have a positive impact on ensuring that local housing needs are met.												
Policy TC3		++											
	This policy seeks to ensure that planning applications in the Town Centre and Foreshore area lead to the creation of active and quality frontages that improve the visual character of the area. In doing so, this policy will therefore have a positive impact on protecting and enhancing the quality and distinctiveness of the local townscape.												
Policy INF1							++					+	
	minimise	the need	to travel. T	This policy	will therefore	ore have a	rovide for a positive in ess, it will a	npact on ir	nproving a	iccessibilit	y to key se	ervices and	1



	Sustainability Appraisal Objectives												
Element of Draft Plan	SAO 1	SAO 2	SAO 3	SAO 4	SAO 5	SAO 6	SAO 7	SAO 8	SAO 9	SAO 10	SAO 11	SAO 12	SAO 13
	for healt	hy lifestyle	s.										
Policy INF2		++										-	-
	By outlin thereby negative policy wi (Ultra Lc	ning minimu protecting impact on ill be enact ow Emissio	t minimum um standa and enhar climate cl ted alongsi on Vehicle sed in Sec	rds to be p ncing the lo nange, due ide other p parking sta	orovided wi ocal townso to the em olicies, su andards), v	ithin new d cape. Prov hissions fro ch as INF1	evelopmei iding car p m vehicles (sustaina	nts, this po barking with s, and may ble travel)	blicy seeks hin new de discourag , INF10 (cy	to reduce evelopmen ge forms o ycle parkin	on-street ts may ha f active tra g standard	parking ar ve a minor vel. Howe ds) and INF	id ver, this ⁻ 11
Policy INF3		++										-	-
	protectin impact o will be e Emission	ng and enh on climate o nacted alo n Vehicle p	standards ancing the change, du ngside oth parking sta on 8 of this	local town ie to the ei er policies ndards), w	nscape. Pr missions fr , such as I	oviding car om vehicle NF1 (susta	parking w s, and ma ainable trav	vithin new by discoura vel), INF1(developmo age forms o D (cycle pa	ents may h of active tr irking stan	nave a min avel. How dards) and	or negative ever, this p d INF11 (U	e oolicy Itra Low
Policy INF4		++										-	-
	B&Bs. B and ther negative policy wi (Ultra Lc	y outlining eby protect impact on ill be enact ow Emissio	t minimum minimum sting and en climate ch ted alongsi on Vehicle p sed in Sect	standards nhancing t nange, due ide other p parking sta	to be prov he local to to the em olicies, suc andards), v	ided within wnscape. I hissions fro ch as INF1	new deve Providing o m vehicles (sustaina	elopments, car parking s, and may ble travel)	this policy within ne discourac INF10 (cy	/ seeks to w develop ge forms o /cle parkin	reduce on ments ma f active tra g standare	-street par y have a m vel. Howe ds) and INF	king hinor ver, this ⁻ 11
Policy INF5		++										-	-
	By outlin thereby	ning minimi protecting	t minimum um standa and enhar n climate ch	rds to be p ncing the lo	provided with the second se	ithin new d cape. Prov	evelopmei iding car p	nts, this po arking with	blicy seeks	to reduce	on-street ts may ha	parking ar	d



					Sus	tainability	y Appraisa	al Objectiv	/es			-	
Element of Draft Plan	SAO 1	SAO 2	SAO 3	SAO 4	SAO 5	SAO 6	SAO 7	SAO 8	SAO 9	SAO 10	SAO 11	SAO 12	SAO 13
	(Ultra Lo impacts a	II be enacto w Emission are assess e an effecti	n Vehicle p ed in Sect	oarking sta tion 8 of th	indards), v is report).	vhose cum This policy	ulative imp also has l	bacts will li lower park	kely balan	ce each of ements for	ther out (tl proposed	ne cumulat developm	ive ents
Policy INF6		++											
	Parking S demand standard	cy requires Survey in c for on-stre providing be by ensu	order to de et parking road safet	monstrate If on-stree y is not co	the impac et parking mpromise	t of any pr is found to d as a resi	ojected ov be availal ult. This po	erspill fron ble, this ma licy will the	n these de ay count to erefore he	velopment owards me	ts, includir eting the r ct and enh	ig resultan required pa ance the le	t arking
Policy INF7		+											
		cy requires policy will t										nd feasible	to do
Policy INF8							+						
		cy sets out g accessib								nis policy v	vill have a	positive in	npact on
Policy INF9		+										-	-
	are more However active for	cy sets out fuel efficie t, this polic rms of trav pe, by redu	ent than ca y may still el. These	ars, howev have a mi negative ir	er the impanor nor negative npacts are	act of this ve impact considere	policy on c on the obje	limate cha ective to er	inge is stil ncourage l	l considere nealthy life	ed to be sli styles as i	ghtly nega t may disc	itive. ourage
Policy INF10		+					++					++	+
	climate c	g out minir hange, pro ncing the q	omote sust	tainable m	odes of tra	ivel and er	ncourage h	ealthy lifes	styles. It w				



	Sustainability Appraisal Objectives												
Element of Draft Plan	SAO 1	SAO 2	SAO 3	SAO 4	SAO 5	SAO 6	SAO 7	SAO 8	SAO 9	SAO 10	SAO 11	SAO 12	SAO 13
Policy INF11							++						+
	residenti	al develop	ments, this		l have a p	ion Vehicle ositive imp							ntribute
Policy INF12													
	identified demonst access to it does no public ca	l, application rated that o out-of-ce ot actively r parking p	ons for new these need ntre park a encourage provision w	w public ca ds cannot and ride fa e new publ vithin this t	ar parks wi be adequa cilities). Tl ic car parl own, this p	ed not to be ithin and ar ately addre his policy is king provisi policy will h minimised.	round the ssed by pu s therefore ion unless ave a neu	Town Cent ublic transp considere a need for	re will only bort or othe d to have this is ide	y be suppo er sustaina a neutral ii entified. By	orted where able travel mpact on o seeking to	e it can be modes (in climate cha o retain ex	ange, as isting
Policy H1										++			
	that certa	ain condition ore conside	ons relating ered that th	g to the de nis policy v	sign of the vill have a	existing but proposed neutral im eds are me	developm pact on the	ent and pr	ovision of	adequate	car parkin	g etc. are	met. It
Policy H2		+				++				++			
	provided provision land, as	these mee . This polic well as hel	et certain o cy will ther ping to en	criteria rela efore have sure that le	ted to des a positive ocal housi	opments (u sign, ameni e impact or ng needs a gness's tov	ity and hig the object are met. Th	hways imp ctive to pric ne design c	acts, and pritise appr considerat	impacts or opriate re- ions includ	open spa -use of pre	ace / recrea eviously de	veloped
Policy H3							+			++			
	accomm developr	odation, pr nents) den	ovided centric constration	rtain criteri ı of local n	a related t eed are m	of older pe to accessib net. This po e accessibi	oility, open olicy will the	space pro erefore ha	vision and ve a signif	(in the cas icant positi	se of large ive impact	r scale on ensurir	ng local



					Sus	stainability	/ Appraisa	al Objectiv	ves				
Element of Draft Plan	SAO 1	SAO 2	SAO 3	SAO 4	SAO 5	SAO 6	SAO 7	SAO 8	SAO 9	SAO 10	SAO 11	SAO 12	SAO 13
	the prop	osed devel	opments.										
Policy C1							+						
	retained, restrict th	cy seeks to by suppor ne change impact by i	ting the id of use or l	entification	n and nomi sting comm	ination of I nunity facil	and and fa ities unless	cilities as a s certain ci	Assets of (riteria are r	Communit net. This p	y Value. It policy will t	also seeks herefore h	s to ave a
Policy C2							++						
Policy C3	for older	cy supports people or ed to have ss.	public ope	n spaces,	subject to	certain loc	ational and	d accessib	ility criteria	a being me	et. This po	licy is there	
	This poli	ov sooks to	rotain an	d enhance	ovieting o					2.1P			
	health fa the deve developr	cilities or in lopment, p ment of nev	nproveme arking pro v commur	ents to exis	ting facilition potential i	es subject mpacts or	to certain highway s	criteria bei safety and	ing met, su the local e	ich as thosenvironme	se related nt. By sup	porting the	tion of
Policy D1	health fa the deve developr	cilities or in lopment, p	nproveme arking pro v commur	ents to exis	ting facilition potential i	es subject mpacts or	to certain highway s	criteria bei safety and	ing met, su the local e	ich as thosenvironme	se related nt. By sup	to the loca porting the	tion of
Policy D1	health fa the deve developr key serv This poli	cilities or ir lopment, p nent of nev ices and fa ++ cy sets out to enhance	nproveme arking pro v commur cilities. standards	nts to exis ivision and hity health s for design	ting facilitie potential i facilities, th n in new de	es subject impacts or nis policy v evelopmer	to certain highway s vill have a hts, and in	criteria bei safety and positive im doing so v	ing met, su the local e pact on th vill have a	ich as those environme e objective significant	se related nt. By sup e to impro-	to the loca porting the ve accessil	tion of bility to
	health fa the deve developr key serv This poli objective	cilities or ir lopment, p nent of nev ices and fa ++ cy sets out to enhance	nproveme arking pro v commur cilities. standards	nts to exis ivision and hity health s for design	ting facilitie potential i facilities, th n in new de	es subject impacts or nis policy v evelopmer	to certain highway s vill have a hts, and in	criteria bei safety and positive im doing so v	ing met, su the local e pact on th vill have a	ich as those environme e objective significant	se related nt. By sup e to impro-	to the loca porting the ve accessil	tion of bility to
Policy D1 Policy D2	health fa the developr key serv This poli objective environn This poli policy wi	cilities or ir lopment, p nent of nev ices and fa ++ cy sets out to enhanc nent.	nproveme arking pro v commur cilities. standards te the qual standards gnificant p	ents to exis ovision and hity health s for design lity and dis s for the de positive imp	ting facilitie potential i facilities, th n in new de tinctivenes esign of ne pact on the	es subject impacts or nis policy v evelopmer ss of Skeg w car park e objective	to certain of highway sivill have a highway sivill have a high way sivill have a high way sivill have a high side with the side with a sid	criteria bei safety and positive im doing so v nscapes, s	ing met, su the local e ppact on th vill have a surroundin al and othe	r developi	se related nt. By sup e to impro- positive ir pes and hi ments. In o	to the loca porting the ve accessil npact on th storic doing so, th	tion of bility to ne
	health fa the developr key serv This poli objective environn This poli policy wi	cilities or ir lopment, p nent of nev ices and fa ++ cy sets out to enhance nent. ++ cy outlines Il have a si	nproveme arking pro v commur cilities. standards te the qual standards gnificant p	ents to exis ovision and hity health s for design lity and dis s for the de positive imp	ting facilitie potential i facilities, th n in new de tinctivenes esign of ne pact on the	es subject impacts or nis policy v evelopmer ss of Skeg w car park e objective	to certain of highway sivill have a highway sivill have a high way sivill have a high way sivill have a high side with the side with a sid	criteria bei safety and positive im doing so v nscapes, s	ing met, su the local e ppact on th vill have a surroundin al and othe	r developi	se related nt. By sup e to impro- positive ir pes and hi ments. In o	to the loca porting the ve accessil npact on th storic doing so, th	tion of bility to ne



					Sus	stainability	y Appraisa	al Objectiv	/es				
Element of Draft Plan	SAO 1	SAO 2	SAO 3	SAO 4	SAO 5	SAO 6	SAO 7	SAO 8	SAO 9	SAO 10	SAO 11	SAO 12	SAO 13
	surround protect a	proaches ling landso and enhano lable hous	ape and c ce the qual	ountryside lity and dis	will be mi tinctivenes	nimised as ss of the a	s far as pos rea's lands	ssible. This capes and	s will have I townscap	a positive bes. This p	impact on olicy also	the objec provides s	
Policy D4	+	++	++				+						
	(except i enhance from avo	cy designa in very spe the quality bidable loss ained and	cial circum y and distii ses. This p	nstances). nctiveness policy will a	This policy of the tow lso have a	/ will there n's landsc minor pos	fore have a apes (inclu sitive impa	a significar Iding open ct by ensu	nt positive spaces) a ring that a	on the obj and will pro	ective to p otect these	protect and e natural re	sources
Policy NDP1						++	++			++			
	use, sub with the Assessm Design a ensure th through services	cy allocate ject to cert Sequential nent being and Sustair hat the pro increases , facilities a ed for affor	ain criteria I and Exce provided, nable Drain posed dev in on-stree and amenit	a being me ption Test compliance nage Guide velopment et parking. ties (if deve	t. These cu set out in e with layce and prov does not h This site a eloped for	riteria inclu Annex 2 o out and des rision of a nave any n llocation w tourism ar	ide adequa f the Core sign guidar detailed dr egative im rill have po nd/or comn	ate on-site Strategy L nce set out ainage stra pacts on th tential pos nercial use	parking pu ocal Plan, in Lincoln ategy. The ne risk of fl itive impace) or ensur	rovision, d a Travel F Ishire Cou requireme looding or cts by impli	emonstrat Plan and T nty Counc ents set ou the surrou roving acc cal housing	ing compli ransport il's Develo ut in this po inding tow essibility to g needs ar	ance pment blicy will nscape o e met (if
Policy NDP2					++	++				++			
	residenti compliar Transpo Develop this polic surrounc ensuring	cy allocate al use, sub nce with the rt Assessm ment Desig cy will ensu ling townso that local oped for er	pject to cer e Sequent nent being gn and Sus ire that the cape throu housing ne	tain criteria ial and Exc provided, stainable E proposed gh increas eeds are n	a being me ception Te complianc Drainage G developm ses in on-s net (if deve	et. These of st set out i e with layo Guide and p nent does r treet parkin eloped for a	criteria incli n Annex 2 but and des provision o not have ar ng. This sit affordable	ude adequ of the Cor sign guidar f a detailed ny negative re allocatio residential	ate on-site e Strategy nce set out d drainage e impacts n will have use) or by	e parking p Local Pla t in Lincolr strategy. on the risk potential supportir	orovision, o n, a Trave ishire Cou The requin of floodin positive ir ing diverse	demonstra el Plan and inty Counc rements se g or the npacts by economic	ting :il's et out in



					Sus	stainabilit	y Appraisa	al Objectiv	ves				
Element of Draft Plan	SAO 1	SAO 2	SAO 3	SAO 4	SAO 5	SAO 6	SAO 7	SAO 8	SAO 9	SAO 10	SAO 11	SAO 12	SAO 13
Policy NDP3		-			++								
	certain c Sequent ensuring set out ir policy is has the p street pa also hav landscap within the in Section developr enhance develope	riteria bein ial and Exc adequate this polic likely to ha potential to rking withi e a potentia e a potentio e surround e plan, inc n 8 of this ment of a g d economic of for a Pa	ig met. The ception Te visibility a y will ensu ave a posit have a posit have a posit have a posit have a posit have a posit n the Town al negative ding Skegn luding polie report). The greenfield s ic growth (rk and Rid	ese criteria st set out i t site acce re that the ive impact ositive impact ositive impact on Centre (i e impact of ness town. cies D1 an ne propose site. Howe if develope	a include a n Annex 2 ss points f proposed on promo act on enh f develope n objective However, d D2 whic ed develop ver, these ed for emp It is also u	dequate of of the Cor rom the As developm ting viable ancing the d for a Pa SAO2 thr these imp h would al ment will a impacts an loyment us nlikely tha	n-site park re Strategy 52 and pro ent does n and divers quality ar rk and Rid ough the i pacts can b so need to also have a re likely to se) and im t a Park ar	ing provisi v Local Pla vision of a not have an se econom d distinctive facility). mpact of d be mitigate be compli- a negative be outweig provemen- nd Ride fac	on, demor n, a Trans detailed on ny negative nic growth veness of The develo leveloping d through ied with (th impact on ghed by th ts to the ch cility would	nstrating co port Assess lrainage st e impacts of (if develop the area's opment of this site o the cumula nese cumul SAO6 thro e positive naracter an I utilise the	ompliance ssment be trategy. Th on the risk bed for em townscap a Park an n the qual ative impa lative effe ough the p impacts ir nd townsc whole of	ing provide the requirem a of flooding ployment u es by redu d Ride faci ity of the act of other cts are dis- proposed	ed, nents g. The ise) and cing on- lity may policies cussed town (if
Policy NDP4					++	++				++			
	residenti compliar Transpor Develop provisior not have site alloc residenti promote allocating understa	al use, sub nce with the rt Assessed ment Design of a detail any negation will h al use) or al use) or s the re-us g this site funding that	bject to cer e Sequent nent being gn and Sus led draina tive impact nave poten by support se of previc for other us this site is	ial and Exc provided, stainable I ge strategy ts on the ri ntial positiv ting diverse busly devel ses may has being ma	a being me ception Te complianc Drainage G y. The requise sk of flood e impacts e economic loped land ave a nega de availab	et. These of st set out i e with layo Guide, provuirements ing or the by ensurin c growth (i . As this si ative impace le by the C	criteria incl n Annex 2 but and des rision of ad set out in t surroundin g that loca f develope te is curren ct upon reu County Cou	ude adequ of the Cor sign guida lequate ac this policy ng townsca al housing ed for empl ntly in use use and re uncil as it is	ate on-site re Strategy nce set ou cess and will ensure ape throug needs are oyment us as a recyc cycling rat s either su	e parking p / Local Pla t in Lincolr visibility fro that the p h increase met (if de ce). The all cling centro es (SAO8) rplus to re	orovision, an, a Trave nshire Cou om Warth oroposed o s in on-str veloped fo location of e, there is). Howeve quirement	demonstra el Plan and unty Counc Lane, and developme reet parking or affordabl this site a potential th	il's nt does g. This e so nat



b) Summary

- 6.5 Most policies contained within the Neighbourhood Plan only score positively against the Sustainability Objectives. A number of policies are identified as having minor negative impacts against a number of the objectives (INF3, INF4, INF5, INF9), however these negative impacts are considered to be outweighed by the identified positive impacts of the policy as a whole when measured against the other Sustainability Objectives.
- 6.6 Policy NDP3 is the only policy identified as having a potential significant negative impact against SAO6 and a minor negative impact when assessed against SAO2 due to the fact that this site allocation involves the development of a large, greenfield site. This policy is, however, when assessed against SAO5, this allocation policy is also likely to have a significant positive impact on economic growth in the town. The identified negative impacts may be mitigated through the cumulative impact of other policies within the plan (such as those relating to design and the development of gateway sites) with which an application for development of this site would also need to comply. These cumulative impacts are assessed in Section 8 of this report.



7.0 SUSTAINABILITY APPRAISAL OF THE ALTERNATIVES

- 7.1 As part of this Sustainability Appraisal, two alternatives to the implementation of the current Neighbourhood Plan have been identified.
- 7.2 The first alternative is the implementation of the current Neighbourhood Plan, with the exception of allocations policies NDP1 to NDP4. The main rationale for the undertaking of a full SEA of the current Skegness Neighbourhood Plan was due to recognising the potential impact of the allocation of these sites. For this reason, an appraisal of an alternative not allocating sites is considered relevant.
- 7.3 The second alternative is to revert to the existing policy position for the area, managing development through the existing local and national legislation and plans.
- 7.4 The overall contribution of the Neighbourhood Plan and of the alternatives towards sustainable development can be determined by assessing the Neighbourhood Plan and each alternative against the impacts on each individual SA Objective. In general, the absence of site allocation policies or the absence of the Neighbourhood Plan altogether will have an impact on East Lindsey as a whole.
- 7.5 The absence of a Neighbourhood Plan will have additional consequences. Whilst the Core Strategy's policies would broadly contribute towards achieving the Objectives of the Plan, they would not present sufficient location-specific provisions to tackle issues that are particular to Skegness, such as the regeneration of Skegness Town Centre and the desire to encourage year-round tourism opportunities and enhanced educational facilities in the town.
- 7.6 The table below summarises the impacts of these alternatives on the sustainability of the Neighbourhood Plan area.

Sus	tainability Appraisal Objective	Appraisal of Alternatives
	Protect and enhance the quality	 No Site Allocations The site allocations within the Neighbourhood Plan are assessed as having a neutral effect on the quality and distinctiveness of the area's biodiversity and geodiversity. Therefore the removal of site allocations from the Neighbourhood Plan would have minimal effect on this Sustainability Objective. No Neighbourhood Plan
1	and distinctiveness of the area's biodiversity (native plants and animals) and geodiversity	Policy D4 allocates a number of Local Green Spaces which are assessed as playing a role in protecting the biodiversity within the Neighbourhood Plan area, and as such would have a positive impact on this objective. The alternative of having no Neighbourhood Plan in place would therefore be a comparatively worse position when assessed against this objective as these open spaces (and their associated biodiversity) are not afforded as much protection under national and local policy as they would be under this policy within the Neighbourhood Plan.
2	Protect and enhance the quality and distinctiveness of the area's landscapes, townscapes and historic environment	No Site Allocations Site allocations policy NDP3 is assessed as having a potentially negative impact upon the quality of the area's landscapes as this allocation involves the development of a greenfield site. However, this potential impact may be

Table 7. Sustainability Appraisal of the Alternatives to the Draft Neighbourhood Plan



Sust	ainability Appraisal Objective	Appraisal of Alternatives
		mitigated through the cumulative impact of other policies within the Neighbourhood Plan, such as design policies D1 and D2. The impact of the other site allocations when assessed against this objective is neutral. Having no site allocations within the Neighbourhood Plan may have a marginally more positive impact upon this objective than if the site allocations were included in the Plan.
		No Neighbourhood Plan
		A large number of the policies within the Plan were assessed as having a positive impact upon the landscapes, townscapes and historic environment within Skegness. Therefore, if the Plan was not in place there would be fewer positive impacts upon the landscapes, townscapes and historic environment, particularly as there are no parking standards set out within the East Lindsey Core Strategy.
		No Site Allocations
		The site allocations within the Neighbourhood Plan are assessed as having a neutral effect on the loss of natural resources and pollution. Therefore the removal of site allocations from the Neighbourhood Plan would have minimal effect on this Sustainability Objective.
	Protect natural resources from	No Neighbourhood Plan
3	avoidable losses and pollution and minimise the impacts of unavoidable losses	Policy D4 allocates a number of Local Green Spaces which are assessed as playing a role in protecting natural resources (including open spaces) from avoidable losses within the Neighbourhood Plan area, and as such would have a positive impact on this objective. The alternative of having no Neighbourhood Plan in place would therefore be a comparatively worse position when assessed against this objective as these open spaces are not afforded as much protection under national and local policy as they would be under this policy within the Neighbourhood Plan.
		No Site Allocations
4	Avoid the risk of flooding (where possible) and fully mitigate against	The site allocations within the Neighbourhood Plan are assessed as having a neutral effect on the risk of flooding, due to requirements set out within the policies to ensure that flood risk is taken account of and properly assessed, where necessary. Therefore the removal of site allocations from the Neighbourhood Plan would have a minimal effect on this Sustainability Objective.
4	the impacts of flooding where it	No Neighbourhood Plan
	cannot be avoided	All policies within the Neighbourhood Plan are assessed as having a neutral effect on the risk of flooding, due to requirements set out within the policies to ensure that flood risk is taken account of and properly assessed, where necessary. The alternative of having no Neighbourhood Plan in place would therefore have a minimal effect on this Sustainability Objective.
	Promote viable and diverse	No Site Allocations
5	economic growth that supports communities within the district	Site allocation policies NDP2, NDP3 and NDP4 allocate land for employment use, and as such have been assessed



Sust	ainability Appraisal Objective	Appraisal of Alternatives					
		as having a positive impact on promoting economic growth in Skegness. The removal of these site allocations from the Neighbourhood Plan would therefore have a negative effect on this Sustainability Objective.					
		No Neighbourhood Plan					
		A large number of policies within the Neighbourhood Plan are assessed as having a positive impact on promoting economic growth in Skegness. No policy is assessed as having a negative impact on this objective. The alternative of having no Neighbourhood Plan in place would likely have a negative effect on this objective as existing local and national policies do not provide locally-specific policies that support employment and economic growth as are provided in the Neighbourhood Plan.					
		No Site Allocations					
6	Prioritise appropriate re-use of previously developed land and minimise the loss of the best agricultural land and greenfield sites	Site allocations NDP1 to NDP3 were assessed as having a positive impact upon this objective as they involve the allocation of brownfield sites. Site allocation NDP4 is a greenfield site and therefore was assessed as having a negative impact upon this objective. Whilst the alternative of having no site allocations might have a slightly more positive impact upon this objective compared with if these site allocations were retained within the plan, it is considered that the other benefits associated with delivering these allocations, including the significant impact on economic growth and employment opportunities outweigh the potential negative impact upon this Sustainability Objective.					
	51165	No Neighbourhood Plan					
		A number of policies contained within the Neighbourhood Plan directly support the re-use of previously developed land and as such the Plan as a whole has a positive impact upon this objective. Three of the site allocations also allocate under-utilised brownfield sites for redevelopment and therefore directly contribute towards achieving this objective. The alternative of having no Neighbourhood Plan in place would therefore likely have a negative effect on this objective compared with if the Plan were in place.					
		No Site Allocations					
7	Improve accessibility to key services, facilities, amenities and green infrastructure including the promotion of sustainable modes of access	Policy NDP1 allocates the former Skegness Council Offices for leisure, tourism or commercial use, and as such is assessed as having a positive impact upon this objective. The other three allocations were assessed has having a neutral impact upon this objective. The alternative of not allocating any sites within the Plan would therefore likely have a negative effect on this objective compared with if these sites were allocated.					
		No Neighbourhood Plan					
		In addition to Policy NDP1, a number of other policies within the Neighbourhood Plan were assessed as having a positive impact upon this objective by supporting the provision of new or protection of existing community					



Sust	ainability Appraisal Objective	Appraisal of Alternatives
		infrastructure or by promoting sustainable transport access. The alternative of having no Neighbourhood Plan in place would therefore likely have a negative effect on this objective compared with if the Plan were in place.
		No Site Allocations
8	Increase reuse and recycling rates and minimise the production of	The site allocations within the Neighbourhood Plan are assessed as having a neutral effect on recycling rates. Therefore the removal of site allocations from the Neighbourhood Plan would have a minimal effect on this Sustainability Objective.
	waste	No Neighbourhood Plan
		All policies within the Neighbourhood Plan are assessed as having a neutral effect on rates of recycling. The alternative of having no Neighbourhood Plan in place would therefore have a minimal effect on this Sustainability Objective.
		No Site Allocations
	Support inclusive, safe and vibrant	The site allocations within the Neighbourhood Plan are assessed as having a neutral effect on supporting inclusive, safe and vibrant communities. Therefore the removal of site allocations from the Neighbourhood Plan would have a minimal effect on this Sustainability Objective.
9	communities	No Neighbourhood Plan
		Policy TC1 within the Neighbourhood Plan was assessed as having a positive impact on this objective by encouraging the retention of active shop frontages and supporting a vibrant Town Centre. The alternative of having no Neighbourhood Plan in place would therefore have a negative impact on this Sustainability Objective.
		No Site Allocations
		Three of the site allocations policies allocate land for affordable housing use and therefore were assessed as having a significant positive impact on this objective. Therefore the removal of site allocations from the Neighbourhood Plan would have a negative impact on the achievement of this Sustainability Objective.
10	Ensure that local housing needs	No Neighbourhood Plan
	are met	A number of policies within the Neighbourhood Plan contribute directly towards the achievement of this objective, by either allocating land for affordable housing or supporting applications for affordable housing and older persons housing in order to ensure that local housing needs are met. The alternative of not having a Neighbourhood Plan in place would therefore have a negative impact on the achievement of this objective.
		No Site Allocations
11	Increase energy efficiency and ensure appropriate sustainable design, construction and operation of new developments	The site allocations within the Neighbourhood Plan are assessed as having a neutral effect on energy efficiency, sustainable design and construction. Therefore, the removal of site allocations from the Neighbourhood Plan would have minimal effect on this Sustainability Objective.



Sus	ainability Appraisal Objective	Appraisal of Alternatives
		No Neighbourhood Plan
		All policies within the Neighbourhood Plan are assessed as having a neutral effect on energy efficiency and sustainable design and construction. The alternative of having no Neighbourhood Plan in place would therefore have a minimal effect on this Sustainability Objective.
		No Site Allocations
		The site allocations within the Neighbourhood Plan are assessed as having a neutral effect on encouraging and providing facilities and infrastructure for healthy lifestyles. Therefore, the removal of site allocations from the Neighbourhood Plan would have minimal effect on this Sustainability Objective.
		No Neighbourhood Plan
12	Encourage and provide the facilities and infrastructure for healthy lifestyles	A number of the car/motorcycle parking standards policies within the Neighbourhood Plan were assessed as having a potentially negative impact on this objective, as the provision of car parking may discourage active modes of travel. Policy INF10 was assessed as having a significant positive impact on this objective by setting out minimum cycle parking standards. The alternative of having no Neighbourhood Plan in place may however result in fewer incentives to provide adequate cycle parking in new and insufficient levels of car parking being provided in new developments. This would in turn result in a greater negative impact on other Sustainability Objectives, such as SAO2, due to increases in on-street parking.
		No Site Allocations
		The site allocations within the Neighbourhood Plan are assessed as having a neutral impact on planning for and minimising the effects of climate change. Therefore, the removal of site allocations from the Neighbourhood Plan would have minimal effect on this Sustainability Objective.
		No Neighbourhood Plan
13	Positively plan for, and minimise the effects of, climate change	A number of the car/motorcycle parking standards policies within the Neighbourhood Plan were assessed as having a potentially negative impact on this objective, as the provision of car parking may encourage car use and contribute towards increased vehicle emissions. Policies INF10 and INF11 however were assessed as contributing positively towards minimising the effects of climate change by encouraging cycling and use of ultra-low emission vehicles. The alternative of having no Neighbourhood Plan in place result in fewer incentives for new developments to introduce cycle parking and ULEVs, thereby having a negative effect on the achievement of this Sustainability Objective.



8.0 APPRAISAL OF SECONDARY, CUMULATIVE AND SYNERGISTIC EFFECTS

8.1 Secondary (or indirect) effects are effects that are not a direct result of a policy but occur away from the original effect or as a result of a complex pathway. Cumulative effects occur where two or more insignificant impacts combine to form a significant impact. Synergistic effects occur as the result of interactions between individual effects producing a total effect greater than the sum of each of the individual effects. Secondary, cumulative or synergistic effects may be either positive or negative. The secondary, cumulative and synergistic effects of the policies in the Skegness Neighbourhood Plan have been assessed and are summarised in the table below.


Table 8. Appraisal of Secondary, Cumulative and Synergistic Effects

Susta	inability Appraisal Objective	Policies	Effects Identified	Significance		
1	Protect and enhance the quality and distinctiveness of the area's biodiversity (native plants and animals) and geodiversityD4		Only one policy is assessed as having an impact on this objective, therefore no synergistic or cumulative impacts are identified.	No secondary, cumulative or synergistic effects identified.		
2	Protect and enhance the quality and distinctiveness of the area's landscapes, townscapes and historic environment	V3, TC3, INF2, INF3, INF4, INF5, INF6, INF7, INF9, INF10, H2, D1, D2, D3, D4, NDP3	The majority of these policies are assessed as having a positive or significant positive impact on this objective. Together, the cumulative impact of these policies will have a positive impact on this objective. Policy NDP3 identified a potential minor negative impact on this objective, however taken as a whole, the cumulative impact of the plan's policies will be positive when assessed against this objective. Some of the plan's other policies which encourage redevelopment / re-use of brownfield land may have secondary (indirect) positive impacts on this objective.	The impact of the plan's policies on the achievement of this objective will be positive.		
3	Protect natural resources from avoidable losses and pollution and minimise the impacts of unavoidable losses	D4	Only one policy is assessed as having an impact on this objective, therefore no synergistic or cumulative effects are identified.	No secondary, cumulative or synergistic effects identified.		
4	Avoid the risk of flooding (where possible) and fully mitigate against the impacts of flooding where it cannot be avoided		No policies were identified as having a direct impact on this objective. The site allocations policies (NDP1 to NDP4) may have a secondary (indirect) positive impact on this objective, as the policies require the development of these sites to demonstrate that flood risk will be avoided and will not increase as a result of the development.	Some indirect positive impacts are identified in the site allocations policies (NDP1 to NDP4).		



Sustai	inability Appraisal Objective	Policies	Effects Identified	Significance
 5 Promote viable and diverse economic growth that supports communities within the district 			The majority of these policies are assessed as having a positive or significant positive impact on this objective. Together, the cumulative impact of these policies will have a positive impact on this objective by supporting the diversification of economic growth within the town. These policies may also have synergistic impacts through potential agglomeration effects of businesses being attracted to an area by the success of other businesses already established in that location.	Strong positive cumulative effects of the plan have been identified when assessed against this objective. There is also potential identified for further synergistic effects.
6	Prioritise appropriate re-use of previously developed land and minimise the loss of the best agricultural land and greenfield sites	E1, E2, E3, E4, H2, NDP1,. NDP2, NDP3, NDP4,	The majority of these policies are assessed as having a positive or significant positive impact on this objective. Together, the cumulative impact of these policies will have a positive impact on this objective. Policy NDP3 is identified as having a negative impact on this objective as it involves the allocation of a greenfield site, however taken as a whole, the cumulative impact of the plan's policies will be positive when assessed against this objective.	The impact of the plan's policies on the achievement of this objective will be positive overall.
7	Improve accessibility to key services, facilities, amenities and green infrastructure including the promotion of sustainable modes of access	E3, E4, V1, TC1, INF1, INF8, INF10, INF11, H3, C1, C2, C3, D4, NDP1	These policies as having positive or significant positive impacts on this objective. Taken as a whole, the cumulative impact of the plan's policies will therefore be positive when assessed against this objective.	The impact of the plan's policies on the achievement of this objective will be positive.
8	Increase reuse and recycling rates and minimise the production of waste		No policies were identified as having a direct impact on this objective. No secondary impacts of the plan's policies on this objective have been identified.	No secondary, cumulative or synergistic effects identified.
9	Support inclusive, safe and	TC1	Only one policy is assessed as having a	The impact of the plan's policies on the



Sustainability Appraisal Objective Policies vibrant communities		Effects Identified	Significance	
			direct positive impact on this objective, therefore no cumulative effects are identified. There may be some secondary (indirect) impacts of other policies within the plan, such as those which support new development in sustainable locations or through re-use of previously developed or under-utilised sites (e.g. E1, E2, E3, E4, V1, V3, TC2, H1, H2, C2, C3, NDP1, NDP2, NDP4), as these policies will help to support the development of vibrant communities.	achievement of this objective will be positive. The plan's policies will also likely have secondary positive impacts on the achievement of this objective.
10	Ensure that local housing needs are met	V2, TC2, H1, H2, H3, D3, NDP1, NDP2, NDP4	These policies are assessed as having a direct positive or significant positive impact on this objective by providing support for the development of housing to meet local needs (such as affordable housing, family housing or older persons accommodation). Together, the cumulative impact of these policies will have a positive impact on this objective.	The cumulative impact of the plan's policies on the achievement of this objective will be positive.
11	Increase energy efficiency and ensure appropriate sustainable design, construction and operation of new developments		No policies were identified as having a direct impact on this objective. No secondary impacts of the plan's policies on this objective have been identified.	No secondary, cumulative or synergistic effects identified.
12	Encourage and provide the facilities and infrastructure for healthy lifestyles	INF1, INF2, INF3, INF4, INF5, INF9, INF10	These policies were identified as having a mixture of both minor negative and positive/strong positive impacts upon the achievement of this objective. The overall cumulative effect of these policies is considered to be neutral, as the policies which seek to ensure sufficient car parking is provided will be enacted alongside policies which also ensure that sufficient cycle parking / ULEV charging points are provided within new	The cumulative impact of the plan's policies on the achievement of this objective will be neutral.

Jannic development solutions ™

Li5041-4PS Skegness Neighbourhood Plan SA (incorporating SEA)

Sustainability Appraisal Objective Policies		Effects Identified	Significance	
			developments.	
13	Positively plan for, and minimise the effects of, climate change	INF2, INF3, INF4, INF5, INF9, INF10, INF11	These policies were identified as having a mixture of both minor negative and positive impacts upon the achievement of this objective. The overall cumulative effect of these policies is considered to be neutral, as the policies which seek to ensure sufficient car parking is provided will be enacted alongside policies which also ensure that sufficient cycle parking / ULEV charging points are provided within new developments.	The cumulative impact of the plan's policies on the achievement of this objective will be neutral.



9.0 MONITORING

9.1 Monitoring of this Sustainability Appraisal will be carried out by Skegness Town Council alongside its monitoring of the Neighbourhood Plan. The monitoring cycle should be aligned to the Neighbourhood Plan review period.



APPENDIX 1 SEA SCREENING OPINION, EAST LINDSEY DISTRICT COUNCIL (OCTOBER 2020)



SCREENING OPINION FOR SKEGNESS NEIGHBOURHOOD DEVELOPMENT PLAN

Screening Opinion Skegness Neighbourhood Development Plan

1. Introduction

1.1 This screening opinion is intended to determine whether or not the content of the Skegness Neighbourhood Development Plan (NDP) requires a Strategic Environmental Assessment (SEA) in accordance with the European Directive 2001/42/EC and associated Environmental Assessment of Plans and Programmes Regulations 2004.

1.2 The purpose of the Skegness NDP is to establish planning policies for the development and use of land within Skegness. Some NDPs will allocate land for development, others will be primarily policy based. In the case of Skegness, the NDP will be allocating sites for affordable housing and employment development.

1.3 Below is an outline of the legislation behind the need for this screening opinion. This is then followed by the assessment of the likely significant environmental effects of the NDP and the determination of whether there is the need for a full SEA.

2. Legislation

2.1 The legislation pertaining to Strategic Environmental Assessments and Sustainability Appraisal is European Directive 2001/42/EC. This was transposed into English law by the Environmental Assessment of Plans and Programmes Regulations 2004, commonly referred to as the SEA Regulations. The Government published 'A Practical Guide to the Strategic Environmental Assessment Directive' (ODPM 2005), which provides more detailed guidance on how an SEA should be carried out.

2.2 The Planning and Compulsory Purchase Act 2004 also requires that a Sustainability Appraisal (SA) is prepared for all spatial plans. It is considered best practice to incorporate requirements of the SEA Directive into an SA.

2.3 The Government has stated that a Sustainability Appraisal is not needed for NDPs, but has said that it must be demonstrated how the NDP contributes to the achievement of sustainable development in the area.

2.4 Schedule 2 of the Neighbourhood Planning (General) Regulations 2012 refers to the Habitats Directive. The Directive requires that any plan or project likely to have a significant effect on a European site must be subject to an appropriate assessment. Paragraphs 2 – 5 of Schedule 2 amend the Conservation of Habitats and Species Regulations 2010 so that its provisions apply to NDOs and NDPs. The

regulations do state that the making of an NDP is not likely to have a significant effect on a site designated at European level for its biodiversity, however, this needs to be ascertained and this can be done at the time the screening opinion is being sought.

2.5 This report focuses on screening for SEA and the criteria for establishing whether a full assessment is needed.

3. Criteria for Assessing the Effects of a Neighbourhood Development Plan (NDP)

3.1 Schedule 1 of The Environmental Assessment of Plans and Programmes Regulations 2004 sets out the criteria to be used for determining the likely significance of effects on the environment. These are:

1. The characteristics of plans and programmes, having regard, in particular, to—

(a) the degree to which the plan or programme sets a framework for projects and other activities, either with regard to the location, nature, size and operating conditions or by allocating resources;

(b) the degree to which the plan or programme influences other plans and programmes including those in a hierarchy;

(c) the relevance of the plan or programme for the integration of environmental considerations in particular with a view to promoting sustainable development;

(d) environmental problems relevant to the plan or programme; and

(e) the relevance of the plan or programme for the implementation of Community legislation on the environment (for example, plans and programmes linked to waste management or water protection).

2. Characteristics of the effects and of the area likely to be affected, having regard, in particular, to—

(a) the probability, duration, frequency and reversibility of the effects;

(b) the cumulative nature of the effects;

(c) the transboundary nature of the effects;

(d) the risks to human health or the environment (for example, due to accidents);

(e) the magnitude and spatial extent of the effects (geographical area and size of the population likely to be affected);

(f) the value and vulnerability of the area likely to be affected due to-

(i) special natural characteristics or cultural heritage;

- (ii) exceeded environmental quality standards or limit values; or
- (iii) intensive land-use; and

(g) the effects on areas or landscapes which have a recognised national, Community or international protection status.

4. Assessment

4.1 The diagram below illustrates the process for screening a planning document to ascertain whether a full SEA is required.



source: A Practical Guide to the Strategic Environmental Assessment Directive Scottish Executive Welsh Assembly Government and DOE September 2005

4.2 The table below contains the assessment of whether the Skegness NPD will require a full SEA. The questions below are drawn from the diagram above which sets out how the SEA Directive should be applied.

Stage	Y/ N	Reason
1. Is the PP (plan or programme) subject to preparation and/or adoption by a national, regional or local authority OR prepared by an authority for adoption through a legislative procedure by Parliament or Government? (Art. 2(a))	Y	The preparation of the Skegness NDP is allowed under the Town and Country Planning Act 1990 as amended by the Localism Act 2011. The Skegness NDP will be "made" (adopted) by East Lindsey District Council once it had passed through the formal stages of its preparation under the Neighbourhood Planning (General) Regulations 2012. Go to Stage 2
2. Is the PP required by legislative, regulatory or administrative provisions? (Art. 2(a))	Y	The preparation of an NDP is not a requirement of legislation, but is an option for communities under the Town and Country Planning Act 1990 as amended by the Localism Act 2011. If the NDP passes all the stages required under the Neighbourhood Planning (General) Regulations 2012, it will be "made" by the District Council and will become part of the development plan for the District. It therefore has to conform to the appropriate legislative, regulatory and administrative procedures. Go to Stage 3
3. Is the PP prepared for agriculture, forestry, fisheries, energy, industry, transport, waste management, water management, telecommunications, tourism, town and country planning or land use, AND does it set a framework for future development consent of projects in Annexes I and II to the EIA Directive? (Art 3.2(a))	Y	The Skegness NDP is being prepared for town and country planning purposes. It allocates land for development, including affordable housing and employment uses. As such, the NDP contains a framework for further development consent for urban development projects (listed as 10(b) in Annex II of the EIA Directive). Go to Stage 5
4. Will the PP, in view of its likely effect on sites, require an assessment for future development under Article 6 or 7 of the Habitats Directive? (Art. 3.2 (b))	Y	A HRA Screening has been carried out and found the potential for significant effects.

5. Does the PP Determine the use of small areas at local level, OR is it a minor modification of a PP subject to Art. 3.2? (Art. 3.3)	Y	The NDP determines the use of small sites at a local level. Go to Stage 8
6. Does the PP set the framework for future development consent of projects (not just projects in annexes to the EIA Directive)? (Art 3.4)	N/A	N/A
7. Is the PP's sole purpose to serve the national defence or civil emergency, OR is it a financial or budget PP, OR is it co-financed by structural funds or EAGGF programmes 2000 to 2006/7? (Art 3.8, 3.9)	N	The NDP's purpose is not covered by the terms of this criterion.
8. Is it likely to have a significant effect on the environment? (Art. 3.5)	Y	See Assessment below: Likely significant effects on the environment. Go to Stage 7

Stage 8 Assessment (N.B. Community in this context refers to the European Community)

SEA Directive criteria and Schedule 1 of Environmental Assessment of Plans and Programmes Regulations 2004	Likely significant effect	East Lindsey District Council assessment
The characteristics of the S having regard, in particula	ighbourhood Development Plan,	
(a) the degree to which the plan or programme sets a framework for projects and other activities, either with regard to the location, nature, size and operating conditions or by allocating resources	Y	The Skegness NDP will, if "made" by East Lindsey District Council, form part of the statutory Development Plan. As such, it will contribute to the framework for the development consent for projects. The NDP will determine the location, nature and size of development sites (affordable housing, employment and Park and Ride facility) in the community.

(b) the degree to which the plan or programme influences other plans and programmes including those in a hierarchy	N	The Skegness NDP will sit within the Development Plan. It is required to be in conformity with national legislation, some European legislation and with the planning policy documents prepared by East Lindsey District Council. The NDP should not in itself influence other plans and programmes, although it will influence development proposals.
(c) the relevance of the plan or programme for the integration of environmental considerations in particular with a view to promoting sustainable development	Y	As the Skegness NDP is must comply with relevant legislation, it should be seeking to integrate environmental considerations. To this end, an appraisal has been prepared, although not formally required by legislation, to demonstrate how the plan has integrated these issues. It is therefore considered that environmental considerations will be integrated in the Skegness NDP.
(d) environmental problems relevant to the plan or programme	Y	The preparation of Skegness NDP will need to consider the impact of proposals on sites designated for biodiversity, heritage assets and flood risk. Skegness lies adjacent to Saltfleetby-Theddlethorpe Dunes & Gibraltar Point Special Area of Conservation (SAC); Greater Wash Special Protection Area (SPA), Gibraltar Point (SPA, Ramsar Site and Site of Special Scientific Interest. Also close by are The Wash and North Norfolk Coast SAC; and The Wash SPA and Ramsar sites. A Habitat Regulation Screening Opinion will also be required.
(e) the relevance of the plan or programme for the implementation of Community legislation on the environment (for example, plans and	Y	The Skegness NDP does not directly relate to the implementation of European legislation in respect of waste management or water protection. However, it will need to take into account the impact of the

programmes linked to waste management or water protection).		Water Framework Directive. Indirectly, there are a number of ways the plan helps to implement European environmental legislation through policies, including those on climate change, sustainable development, biodiversity etc and many of these indirect links will be brought out through the SA of the NDP.
SEA Directive criteria and Schedule 2 of Environmental Assessment of Plans and Programmes Regulations 2004	Likely significant effect	East Lindsey District Council assessment
Characteristics of the effect Area likely to be affected,		e Neighbourhood Development Plan d, in particular, to
(a) the probability, duration, frequency and reversibility of the effects	Y	There will be short term effects during the construction of development on allocated and other sites. There are likely to be minor and localised longer term effects resulting from the development of areas in and around the town and although the environment may adapt to these change in circumstances, the effect is likely to be permanent.
(b) the cumulative nature of the effects	Y	Skegness NDP will be allocating a number of sites for development (affordable housing, employment and a Park and Ride Facility). The sites are mostly small and the opportunity for cumulative effects is limited. Development coming forward as a result of the policies cannot be predicted.
(c) the transboundary nature of the effects	Y	There are unlikely to be transboundary effects of the NDP.
(d) the risks to human health or the	N	There are unlikely to be any significant risks to human health or

environment (for example, due to accidents)		the environment due to accidents as a result of the NDP. Skegness is located within Flood Zone three and is also in the highest Hazard level in the Environment Agency's Hazard mapping, but this is addressed through the policies of the Plan.
(e) the magnitude and spatial extent of the effects (geographical area and size of the population likely to be affected)	Ν	The NDP covers the parish of Skegness, which has a population of 19,579 (2011).
(f) the value and vulnerability of the area likely to be affected due to : (i) special natural characteristics or cultural heritage	Y	Until the location and scale of development coming forward as a result of the policies is determined, it is not possible to properly assess the effects on natural character or cultural heritage. However, the Plan includes policies, or reference within policies, referring to design, local character, and green space.
(f) the value and vulnerability of the area likely to be affected due to : (ii) exceeded environmental quality standards or limit values	N	The Skegness NDP is not expected to exceed environmental limits.
(f) the value and vulnerability of the area likely to be affected due to : (iii) intensive land- use	Ν	The Skegness NDP contains policies that require development to reflect local density and pattern of development.
(g) the effects on areas or landscapes which have a recognised national, Community or international protection status	Ν	Skegness lies 8.5km from the eastern edge of the Lincolnshire Wolds Area of Outstanding Natural Beauty (AONB) so is unlikely to impact on this protected landscape. Sites protected at an international level for biodiversity are outlined above.

Assessment under Stage 8	The Skegness NDP is likely to have a significant effect on the environment.
	Go to 7

5. Screening Outcome

5.1 As a result of the assessment above, there is potential that there will be significant environmental effects arising from the Skegness Neighbourhood Development Plan. As such, the Skegness Neighbourhood Development Plan requires a full SEA to be undertaken. This screening opinion is based on the information that is available at the time of assessment.

5.2 The East Lindsey Core Strategy has been subject to a Sustainability Appraisal (incorporating SEA), but the Skegness NDP goes further in that it looks to allocate additional sites for development and contains policies bringing forward development not included in the East Lindsey Local Plan. It is therefore important that the Skegness NDP be subject to SEA.

5.3 East Lindsey District Council had advised all its communities preparing Neighbourhood Development plans, whether allocating sites or not, that they should prepare a sustainability assessment in order to comply with the Government's requirement that the NDP demonstrate how it contributes to the achievement if sustainable development in their area. The Council has suggested a lighter touch approach than that used by the District Council's Sustainability Appraisal, which it feels is proportionate to the approach taken by Neighbourhood Planning. The Council consulted English Heritage, Natural England and the Environment Agency on the approach to be used, and all agreed that it was an appropriate approach for Neighbourhood Plan Groups to take. The approach is framed to enable SEA to be incorporated, as good practice now recommends. It is therefore recommended that a 'light touch' SA (incorporating SEA) be prepared for the Skegness Neighbourhood Development Plan, as advocated by the Council, giving special consideration to the aspects outlined in the assessment table above: namely the impacts on sites protected internationally for their biodiversity and flood risk.

BEDFORD Planning / SDD / SPRU bedford@dlpconsultants.co.uk

BRISTOL Planning / SDD / SPRU bristol@dlpconsultants.co.uk

EAST MIDLANDS Planning/ SDD nottingham@dlpconsultants.co.uk

LEEDS Planning leeds@dlpconsultants.co.uk

LONDON Planning london@dlpconsultants.co.uk

MILTON KEYNES Planning miltonkeynes@dlpconsultants.co.uk

RUGBY Planning rugby.enquiries@dlpconsultants.co.uk

SHEFFIELD Planning/ SDD / SPRU sheffield@dlpconsultants.co.uk





