

Overview: historic environment

What is the main legislative framework for the historic environment?

In addition to the planning framework which is primarily set out in the [Town and Country Planning Act 1990](#):

- the [Planning \(Listed Buildings and Conservation Areas\) Act 1990](#) provides specific protection for buildings and areas of special architectural or historic interest
- the [Ancient Monuments and Archaeological Areas Act 1979](#) provides specific protection for monuments of national interest
- the [Protection of Wrecks Act 1973](#) provides specific protection for wreck sites of archaeological, historic or artistic interest
- the [Historic Buildings and Ancient Monuments Act 1953](#) makes provision for the compilation of a register of gardens and other land (parks and gardens, and battlefields).

While not part of the legislative framework, the [UNESCO Convention Concerning the Protection of the World Cultural and Natural Heritage 1972](#) (to which the UK is a signatory) makes provision for the World Heritage List, which is a list of cultural and/or natural heritage sites of outstanding universal value.

Any decisions where listed buildings and their settings and conservation areas are a factor must address the statutory considerations of the Planning (Listed Buildings and Conservation Areas) Act 1990 (see in particular sections 16, 66 and 72) as well as applying the relevant policies in the development plan and the National Planning Policy Framework.

Paragraph: 001 Reference ID: 18a-001-20190723

Revision date: 23 07 2019

What is meant by the conservation and enhancement of the historic environment?

Conservation is an active process of maintenance and managing change. It requires a flexible and thoughtful approach to get the best out of assets as diverse as listed buildings in every day use and as yet undiscovered, undesignated buried remains of archaeological interest.

In the case of buildings, generally the risks of neglect and decay of heritage assets are best addressed through ensuring that they remain in active use that is consistent with their conservation. Ensuring such heritage assets remain used and valued is likely to require sympathetic changes to be made from time to time. In the case of archaeological sites, many have no active use, and so for those kinds of sites, periodic changes may not be necessary, though on-going management remains important.

Where changes are proposed, the National Planning Policy Framework sets out a clear framework for both plan-making and decision-making in respect of applications for planning permission and listed building consent to ensure that heritage assets are conserved, and where appropriate enhanced, in a manner that is consistent with their significance and thereby achieving sustainable development. Heritage assets are either [designated heritage assets](#) or [non-designated heritage assets](#).

Part of the public value of heritage assets is the contribution that they can make to understanding and interpreting our past. So where the complete or partial loss of a heritage asset is justified (noting that the ability to record evidence of our past should not be a factor in deciding whether such loss should be permitted), the aim then is to:

- capture and record the evidence of the asset's significance which is to be lost
- interpret its contribution to the understanding of our past; and
- make that publicly available ([National Planning Policy Framework paragraph 199](#))

Paragraph: 002 Reference ID: 18a-002-20190723

Revision date: 23 07 2019

Plan-making: historic environment

What is a positive strategy for conservation and enjoyment of the historic environment?

In line with the [National Planning Policy Framework \(paragraph 185\)](#), plans should set out a positive strategy for the conservation and enjoyment of the historic environment. In developing their strategy, plan-making bodies should identify specific opportunities within their area for the conservation and enhancement of heritage assets, including their setting. This could include, where appropriate, the delivery of development that will make a positive contribution to, or better reveal the significance of, the heritage asset, or reflect and enhance local character and distinctiveness with particular regard given to the prevailing styles of design and use of materials in a local area.

The delivery of the strategy may require the development of specific policies, for example, in relation to use of buildings and design of new development and infrastructure. Plan-making bodies will need to consider the relationship and impact of other policies on the delivery of the strategy for conservation.

Paragraph: 003 Reference ID: 18a-003-20190723

Revision date: 23 07 2019

What is an appropriate evidence base for plan-making?

Policy on this is set out in [paragraph 187](#) of the National Planning Policy Framework. Guidance can be found in the [Plan-making](#) section of the planning practice guidance.

Paragraph: 004 Reference ID: 18a-004-20190723

Revision date: 23 07 2019

How can heritage issues be addressed in neighbourhood plans?

Where it is relevant, [neighbourhood plans](#) need to include enough information about local heritage to guide decisions and put broader strategic heritage policies into action at a neighbourhood scale.

It is beneficial for any [designated and non-designated heritage assets](#) within the plan area to be clearly identified at the start of the plan-making process so they can be appropriately taken into account.

The [historic environment record](#) is a useful source of information on the local historic environment. The local planning authority heritage advisers can advise on local heritage issues to be considered when preparing a neighbourhood plan.

Further information on:

- Neighbourhood planning generally can be found in the [neighbourhood planning section](#) of the planning practice guidance
- [Heritage specific issues and neighbourhood planning](#) is provided by Historic England.

Paragraph: 005 Reference ID: 18a-005-20190723

Revision date: 23 07 2019

Decision-making: historic environment

What is ‘significance’?

‘Significance’ in terms of heritage-related planning policy is defined in the [Glossary of the National Planning Policy Framework](#) as the value of a heritage asset to this and future generations because of its heritage interest. Significance derives not only from a heritage asset’s physical presence, but also from its setting.

The National Planning Policy Framework definition further states that in the planning context heritage interest may be archaeological, architectural, artistic or historic. This can be interpreted as follows:

- archaeological interest: As defined in the Glossary to the National Planning Policy Framework, there will be archaeological interest in a heritage asset if it holds, or potentially holds, evidence of past human activity worthy of expert investigation at some point.
- architectural and artistic interest: These are interests in the design and general aesthetics of a place. They can arise from conscious design or fortuitously from the way the heritage asset has evolved. More specifically, architectural interest is an interest in the art or science of the design, construction, craftsmanship and decoration of buildings and structures of all types. Artistic interest is an interest in other human creative skill, like sculpture.
- historic interest: An interest in past lives and events (including pre-historic). Heritage assets can illustrate or be associated with them. Heritage assets with historic interest not only provide a material record of our nation’s history, but can also provide meaning for communities derived from their collective experience of a place and can symbolise wider values such as faith and cultural identity.

In legislation and designation criteria, the terms ‘special architectural or historic interest’ of a listed building and the ‘national importance’ of a scheduled monument are used to describe all or part of what, in planning terms, is referred to as the identified heritage asset’s significance.

Further commentary on the [significance of World Heritage Sites](#).

Paragraph: 006 Reference ID: 18a-006-20190723

Revision date: 23 07 2019

Why is ‘significance’ important in decision-making?

Heritage assets may be affected by direct physical change or by change in their setting. Being able to properly assess the nature, extent and importance of the significance of a heritage asset, and the contribution of its setting, is very important to understanding the potential impact and acceptability of development proposals (see [How can the possibility of harm to a heritage asset be assessed?](#)).

Paragraph: 007 Reference ID: 18a-007-20190723

Revision date: 23 07 2019

How can proposals avoid or minimise harm to the significance of a heritage asset?

Understanding the significance of a heritage asset and its setting from an early stage in the design process can help to inform the development of proposals which avoid or minimise harm. Analysis of relevant information can generate a clear understanding of the affected asset, the heritage interests represented in it, and their [relative importance](#).

Early appraisals, a conservation plan or targeted specialist investigation can help to identify constraints and opportunities arising from the asset at an early stage. Such appraisals or investigations can identify alternative development options, for example more sensitive designs or different orientations, that will both conserve the heritage assets and deliver public benefits in a more sustainable and appropriate way.

See the Historic England website for [further advice on assessing the significance of heritage assets](#).

Paragraph: 008 Reference ID: 18a-008-20190723

Revision date: 23 07 2019

What assessment of the impact of proposals on the significance of affected heritage assets should be included in an application?

Applicants are expected to describe in their application the significance of any heritage assets affected, including any contribution made by their setting ([National Planning Policy Framework paragraph 189](#)). In doing so, applicants should include analysis of the significance of the asset and its setting, and, where relevant, how this has informed the development of the proposals. The level of detail should be proportionate to the asset’s importance and no more than is sufficient to understand the potential impact of the proposal on its significance.

Paragraph: 009 Reference ID: 18a-009-20190723

Revision date: 23 07 2019

Where can local planning authorities get help to assess the significance of heritage assets?

In most cases the assessment of the significance of the heritage asset by the local planning authority is likely to need expert advice in addition to the information provided by the applicant, historic environment record, similar sources of information and inspection of the asset itself. Advice may be sought from appropriately qualified staff and experienced in-house experts or professional consultants, complemented as appropriate by consultation with

[Societies and other statutory consultees](#) and other national and local organisations with relevant expertise.

Paragraph: 010 Reference ID: 18a-010-20190723

Revision date: 23 07 2019

What is a historic environment record?

Historic environment records are publicly-accessible and dynamic sources of information about the local historic environment. They provide core information for plan-making and designation decisions (such as information about designated and non-designated heritage assets, and information that helps predict the likelihood of currently unrecorded assets being discovered during development) and will also assist in informing planning decisions by providing appropriate information about the historic environment to communities, owners and developers as set out in the National Planning Policy Framework. See the Historic England website for [details of how to access historic environment records](#).

Paragraph: 011 Reference ID: 18a-011-20190723

Revision date: 23 07 2019

How do Design and Access Statement requirements relate to heritage assessments?

A [Design and Access Statement](#) is required to accompany certain applications for planning permission and applications for listed building consent.

Design and Access Statements provide a flexible framework for an applicant to explain and justify their proposal with reference to its context. In cases where both a Design and Access Statement and [an assessment of the impact of a proposal on a heritage asset](#) are required, applicants can avoid unnecessary duplication and demonstrate how the proposed design has responded to the historic environment through including the necessary heritage assessment as part of the Design and Access Statement.

Paragraph: 012 Reference ID: 18a-012-20190723

Revision date: 23 07 2019

What is the setting of a heritage asset and how can it be taken into account?

The setting of a heritage asset is defined in the [Glossary of the National Planning Policy Framework](#).

All heritage assets have a setting, irrespective of the form in which they survive and whether they are designated or not. The setting of a heritage asset and the asset's curtilage may not have the same extent.

The extent and importance of setting is often expressed by reference to the visual relationship between the asset and the proposed development and associated visual/physical considerations. Although views of or from an asset will play an important part in the assessment of impacts on setting, the way in which we experience an asset in its setting is also influenced by other environmental factors such as noise, dust, smell and vibration from other land uses in the vicinity, and by our understanding of the historic relationship between places.

For example, buildings that are in close proximity but are not visible from each other may have a historic or aesthetic connection that amplifies the experience of the significance of each.

The contribution that setting makes to the significance of the heritage asset does not depend on there being public rights of way or an ability to otherwise access or experience that setting. The contribution may vary over time.

When assessing any application which may affect the setting of a heritage asset, local planning authorities may need to consider the implications of cumulative change. They may also need to consider the fact that developments which materially detract from the asset's significance may also damage its economic viability now, or in the future, thereby threatening its ongoing conservation.

See [further guidance on setting of heritage assets and wind turbine development](#).

Paragraph: 013 Reference ID: 18a-013-20190723

Revision date: 23 07 2019

Should the deteriorated state of a heritage asset be taken into account in reaching a decision on an application?

Disrepair and damage and their impact on viability can be a material consideration in deciding an application. However, where there is evidence of deliberate damage to or neglect of a heritage asset in the hope of making consent or permission easier to gain the local planning authority should disregard the deteriorated state of the asset in any decision (National Planning Policy Framework [paragraph 191](#)). Local planning authorities may need to consider exercising their repair and compulsory purchase powers to remedy deliberate neglect or damage.

Paragraph: 014 Reference ID: 18a-014-20190723

Revision date: 23 07 2019

What is the optimum viable use for a heritage asset and how is it taken into account in planning decisions?

The vast majority of heritage assets are in private hands. Thus, sustaining heritage assets in the long term often requires an incentive for their active conservation. Putting heritage assets to a viable use is likely to lead to the investment in their maintenance necessary for their long-term conservation.

By their nature, some heritage assets have limited or even no economic end use. A scheduled monument in a rural area may preclude any use of the land other than as a pasture, whereas a listed building may potentially have a variety of alternative uses such as residential, commercial and leisure.

In a small number of cases a heritage asset may be capable of active use in theory but be so important and sensitive to change that alterations to accommodate a viable use would lead to an unacceptable loss of significance.

It is important that any use is viable, not just for the owner, but also for the future conservation of the asset: a series of failed ventures could result in a number of unnecessary harmful changes being made to the asset.

If there is only one viable use, that use is the optimum viable use. If there is a range of alternative economically viable uses, the optimum viable use is the one likely to cause the least harm to the significance of the asset, not just through necessary initial changes, but also as a result of subsequent wear and tear and likely future changes. The optimum viable use may not necessarily be the most economically viable one. Nor need it be the original use. However, if from a conservation point of view there is no real difference between alternative economically viable uses, then the choice of use is a decision for the owner, subject of course to obtaining any necessary consents.

Harmful development may sometimes be justified in the interests of realising the optimum viable use of an asset, notwithstanding the loss of significance caused, and provided the harm is minimised. The policy on addressing substantial and less than substantial harm is set out in [paragraphs 193 to 196](#) of the National Planning Policy Framework.

Paragraph: 015 Reference ID: 18a-015-20190723

Revision date: 23 07 2019

When is securing a heritage asset's optimum viable use appropriate in planning terms?

Where a development proposal will lead to less than substantial harm to the significance of a designated heritage asset, the [National Planning Policy Framework \(paragraph 196\)](#) requires that this harm should be weighed against the public benefits of the proposal including, where appropriate, securing the optimum viable use of that asset.

Where a heritage asset is capable of having a use, then securing its optimum viable use should be taken into account in assessing the public benefits of a proposed development.

'Area-based' designated heritage assets such as World Heritage Sites and conservation areas will not themselves have a single use (though any individual heritage assets within them may). Therefore, securing the optimum viable use of the area-based asset as a whole is not a relevant consideration in assessing the public benefits of development proposals affecting such heritage assets. However, securing the optimum viable use of any individual heritage assets within the area-based designated heritage asset may still be a relevant consideration.

Paragraph: 016 Reference ID: 18a-016-20190723

Revision date: 23 07 2019

What evidence is needed to demonstrate that there is no viable use?

Appropriate marketing is required to demonstrate that a heritage asset has no viable use in the circumstances set out in [paragraph 195b of the National Planning Policy Framework](#). The aim of such marketing is to reach potential buyers who may be willing to find a viable use for the site that still provides for its conservation to some degree. If such a purchaser comes forward, there is no obligation to sell to them, but it will not have been demonstrated that the heritage asset has no viable use.

Paragraph: 017 Reference ID: 18a-017-20190723

Revision date: 23 07 2019

How can the possibility of harm to a heritage asset be assessed?

What matters in assessing whether a proposal might cause harm is the impact on the [significance](#) of the heritage asset. As the National Planning Policy Framework makes clear, significance derives not only from a heritage asset's physical presence, but also from its setting.

Proposed development affecting a heritage asset may have no impact on its significance or may enhance its significance and therefore cause no harm to the heritage asset. Where potential harm to designated heritage assets is identified, it needs to be categorised as either less than substantial harm or substantial harm (which includes total loss) in order to identify which policies in the [National Planning Policy Framework \(paragraphs 194 to 196\)](#) apply.

Within each category of harm (which category applies should be explicitly identified), the extent of the harm may vary and should be clearly articulated.

Whether a proposal causes substantial harm will be a judgment for the decision-maker, having regard to the circumstances of the case and the policy in the National Planning Policy Framework. In general terms, substantial harm is a high test, so it may not arise in many cases. For example, in determining whether works to a listed building constitute substantial harm, an important consideration would be whether the adverse impact seriously affects a key element of its special architectural or historic interest. It is the degree of harm to the asset's significance rather than the scale of the development that is to be assessed. The harm may arise from works to the asset or from development within its setting.

While the impact of total destruction is obvious, partial destruction is likely to have a considerable impact but, depending on the circumstances, it may still be less than substantial harm or conceivably not harmful at all, for example, when removing later additions to historic buildings where those additions are inappropriate and harm the buildings' significance. Similarly, works that are moderate or minor in scale are likely to cause less than substantial harm or no harm at all. However, even minor works have the potential to cause substantial harm, depending on the nature of their impact on the asset and its setting.

The National Planning Policy Framework confirms that when considering the impact of a proposed development on the significance of a designated heritage asset, great weight should be given to the asset's conservation (and the more important the asset, the greater the weight should be). It also makes clear that any harm to a designated heritage asset requires clear and convincing justification and sets out certain assets in respect of which harm should be exceptional/wholly exceptional (see [National Planning Policy Framework, paragraph 194](#)).

Paragraph: 018 Reference ID: 18a-018-20190723

Revision date: 23 07 2019

What is meant by the term public benefits?

The [National Planning Policy Framework](#) requires any harm to designated heritage assets to be weighed against the public benefits of the proposal.

Public benefits may follow from many developments and could be anything that delivers economic, social or environmental objectives as described in the National Planning Policy Framework ([paragraph 8](#)). Public benefits should flow from the proposed development. They should be of a nature or scale to be of benefit to the public at large and not just be a private benefit. However, benefits do not always have to be visible or accessible to the public in order

to be genuine public benefits, for example, works to a listed private dwelling which secure its future as a designated heritage asset could be a public benefit.

Examples of heritage benefits may include:

- sustaining or enhancing the significance of a heritage asset and the contribution of its setting
- reducing or removing risks to a heritage asset
- securing the optimum viable use of a heritage asset in support of its long term conservation

Paragraph: 020 Reference ID: 18a-020-20190723

Revision date: 23 07 2019

Designated heritage assets

How do heritage assets become designated?

The Department for Culture, Media and Sport (advised by Historic England) is responsible for the identification and designation of listed buildings, scheduled monuments and protected wreck sites.

Historic England identifies and designates registered parks and gardens and registered battlefields.

World Heritage Sites are inscribed by the United Nations Educational, Scientific and Cultural Organisation (UNESCO).

In most cases, conservation areas are designated by local planning authorities.

Historic England administers all the national designation regimes. See the Department for Culture, Media and Sport website for [further information on selection criteria and processes](#).

Paragraph: 022 Reference ID: 18a-022-20190723

Revision date: 23 07 2019

What are the different types of designated heritage assets?

[Listed building](#) – a building which has been designated because of its special architectural or historic interest and (unless the list entry indicates otherwise) includes not only the building itself but also:

- any object or structure fixed to the building
- any object or structure within the curtilage of the building which, although not fixed to the building, forms part of the land and has done so since before 1 July 1948

[Scheduled monument](#) – a monument which has been designated because of its national importance.

[Protected wreck site](#) – the site of a vessel lying wrecked on or in the sea bed, designated because of the historical, archaeological or artistic importance of the vessel, or of any objects contained or formerly contained in it.

[Registered park or garden](#) – a designed landscape which has been designated because of its special historic interest.

[Registered battlefield](#) – a battlefield which has been designated because of its special historic interest.

World heritage site – a cultural and/or natural heritage site inscribed because of its outstanding universal value.

[Conservation area](#) – an area which has been designated because of its special architectural or historic interest, the character or appearance of which it is desirable to preserve or enhance.

Paragraph: 023 Reference ID: 18a-023-20190723

Revision date: 23 07 2019

What do local planning authorities need to consider before designating new conservation areas?

Local planning authorities need to ensure that the area has sufficient special architectural or historic interest to justify its designation as a conservation area. Undertaking a conservation area appraisal may help a local planning authority to make this judgment.

See the Historic England website for [further advice on conservation area designation, appraisal and management](#).

Paragraph: 024 Reference ID: 18a-024-20190723

Revision date: 23 07 2019

Do local planning authorities need to review conservation areas?

Local planning authorities must review their conservation areas from time to time ([section 69\(2\) of the Planning \(Listed Buildings and Conservation Areas\) Act 1990](#)).

A conservation area appraisal can be used to help local planning authorities develop a management plan and plan-making bodies to develop appropriate policies for local and neighbourhood plans. A good appraisal will consider what features make a positive or negative contribution to the significance of the conservation area, thereby identifying opportunities for beneficial change or the need for planning protection.

Paragraph: 025 Reference ID: 18a-025-20190723

Revision date: 23 07 2019

How are World Heritage Sites protected and managed in England?

England protects its World Heritage Sites and their settings, including any buffer zones or equivalent, through the statutory designation process and through the planning system.

The Outstanding Universal Value of a World Heritage Site, set out in a Statement of Outstanding Universal Value, indicates its importance as a heritage asset of the highest significance to be taken into account by:

- the relevant authorities in plan-making, determining planning and related consent applications (including listed building consent, scheduled monument consent, development consent orders and Transport and Works Act Orders)
- and, where relevant, by the Secretary of State in determining such cases on appeal or following call in

Effective management of World Heritage Sites involves the identification and promotion of positive change that will conserve and enhance their Outstanding Universal Value, authenticity, integrity and with the modification or mitigation of changes which have a negative impact on those values.

Paragraph: 026 Reference ID: 18a-026-20190723

Revision date: 23 07 2019

How is the importance of World Heritage Sites reflected in the National Planning Policy Framework?

World Heritage Sites are defined as [designated heritage assets](#) in the National Planning Policy Framework. The National Planning Policy Framework sets out detailed policies for the conservation and enhancement of the historic environment, including World Heritage Sites, through both plan-making and decision-making.

See [further guidance on World Heritage Sites](#).

Paragraph: 027 Reference ID: 18a-027-20190723

Revision date: 23 07 2019

Further guidance on World Heritage Sites

Why are World Heritage Sites important?

The United Nations Educational, Scientific and Cultural Organisation (UNESCO) World Heritage Committee inscribes World Heritage Properties onto its World Heritage List for their Outstanding Universal Value – cultural and/or natural significance which is so exceptional as to transcend national boundaries and to be of common importance for present and future generations of all humanity. World Heritage Properties are referred to in the National Planning Policy Framework and in this guidance as ‘World Heritage Sites’ and are defined as designated heritage assets in the National Planning Policy Framework.

The government is a State Party to the 1972 Convention Concerning the Protection of the World Cultural and Natural Heritage (known as the World Heritage Convention) and it was ratified by the UK in 1984.

Paragraph: 028 Reference ID: 18a-028-20190723

Revision date: 23 07 2019

How is the importance of each Site recognised internationally?

A Statement of Outstanding Universal Value is agreed and adopted by the World Heritage Committee for each Site on inscription. The Statement sets out what the World Heritage Committee considers to be of Outstanding Universal Value about the Site in relation to the World Heritage Convention and includes statements of integrity and, in relation to cultural sites or the cultural aspects of 'mixed' Sites, authenticity, and the requirements for protection and management.

Statements of Outstanding Universal Value are key reference documents for the protection and management of each Site and can only be amended or altered by the World Heritage Committee.

Paragraph: 029 Reference ID: 18a-029-20190723

Revision date: 23 07 2019

How many World Heritage Sites are there and where are they?

There are currently 19 cultural World Heritage Sites wholly or partly in England and one natural World Heritage Site. Details of each can be found on the [National Heritage List for England](#).

Paragraph: 030 Reference ID: 18a-030-20190723

Revision date: 23 07 2019

How does the terminology used by UNESCO relate to the policies of the National Planning Policy Framework?

The international policies concerning World Heritage Sites use different terminology to that in the National Planning Policy Framework. World Heritage Sites are inscribed for their 'Outstanding Universal Value' and each World Heritage Site has defined its 'attributes and components': the tangible remains, visual and cultural links that embody that value. The cultural heritage within the description of the Outstanding Universal Value will be part of the World Heritage Site's heritage significance and National Planning Policy Framework policies will apply to the Outstanding Universal Value as they do to any other heritage significance they hold. As the National Planning Policy Framework makes clear, the significance of the designated heritage asset derives not only from its physical presence, but also from its setting.

Paragraph: 031 Reference ID: 18a-031-20190723

Revision date: 23 07 2019

What principles need to be considered in developing a positive strategy for the conservation and enjoyment of World Heritage Sites?

In line with the National Planning Policy Framework, plans, at all levels should conserve the Outstanding Universal Value, integrity and authenticity (where relevant for cultural or 'mixed' sites) of each World Heritage Site and its setting, including any buffer zone or equivalent. World Heritage Sites are designated heritage assets of the highest significance. Appropriate policies for the protection and sustainable use of World Heritage Sites, including enhancement where appropriate, need to be considered in relevant plans. These policies will need to take account of international and national requirements as well as specific local circumstances.

When developing plan policies to protect and enhance World Heritage Sites and their Outstanding Universal Value, plan-making bodies should aim to satisfy the following principles:

- protecting the World Heritage Site and its setting, including any buffer zone, from inappropriate development
- striking a balance between the needs of conservation, biodiversity, access, the interests of the local community, the public benefits of a development and the sustainable economic use of the World Heritage Site in its setting, including any buffer zone
- protecting a World Heritage Site and its setting from the effect of changes which are relatively minor but which, on a cumulative basis, could have a significant effect
- enhancing the World Heritage Site and its setting where appropriate and possible through positive management
- protecting the World Heritage Site and its setting from climate change but ensuring that mitigation and adaptation is not at the expense of integrity or authenticity

Local planning authorities whose area covers either the World Heritage Site itself or all or part of its setting need to take these principles and the resultant policies into account when making decisions on applications

Paragraph: 032 Reference ID: 18a-032-20190723

Revision date: 23 07 2019

Non-designated heritage assets

What are non-designated heritage assets?

Non-designated heritage assets are buildings, monuments, sites, places, areas or landscapes identified by plan-making bodies as having a degree of heritage significance meriting consideration in planning decisions but which do not meet the criteria for designated heritage assets.

A substantial majority of buildings have little or no heritage significance and thus do not constitute heritage assets. Only a minority have enough heritage significance to merit identification as non-designated heritage assets.

Paragraph: 039 Reference ID: 18a-039-20190723

Revision date: 23 07 2019

How are non-designated heritage assets identified?

There are a number of processes through which non-designated heritage assets may be identified, including the local and neighbourhood plan-making processes and conservation area appraisals and reviews. Irrespective of how they are identified, it is important that the decisions to identify them as non-designated heritage assets are based on sound evidence.

Plan-making bodies should make clear and up to date information on non-designated heritage assets accessible to the public to provide greater clarity and certainty for developers and decision-makers. This includes information on the criteria used to select non-designated heritage assets and information about the location of existing assets.

It is important that all non-designated heritage assets are clearly identified as such. In this context, it can be helpful if local planning authorities keep a local list of non-designated heritage assets, incorporating any such assets which are identified by neighbourhood planning bodies. (See the Historic England website for [advice on local lists](#)) They should also ensure that up to date information about non-designated heritage assets is included in the local historic environment record.

In some cases, local planning authorities may also identify non-designated heritage assets as part of the decision-making process on planning applications, for example, following archaeological investigations. It is helpful if plans note areas with potential for the discovery of non-designated heritage assets with archaeological interest. The historic environment record will be a useful indicator of archaeological potential in the area.

Paragraph: 040 Reference ID: 18a-040-20190723

Revision date: 23 07 2019

What are non-designated heritage assets of archaeological interest and how important are they?

The National Planning Policy Framework identifies two categories of non-designated heritage assets of archaeological interest:

(1) Those that are demonstrably of equivalent significance to scheduled monuments and are therefore considered subject to the same policies as those for designated heritage assets (National Planning Policy Framework footnote 63). They are of 3 types:

- those that have yet to be formally assessed for designation.
- those that have been assessed as being nationally important and therefore, capable of designation, but which the Secretary of State for Culture, Media and Sport has exercised his/her discretion not to designate.
- those that are incapable of being designated by virtue of being outside the scope of the Ancient Monuments and Archaeological Areas Act 1979 because of their physical nature.

The reason why many nationally important monuments are not scheduled is set out in the document Scheduled Monuments, published by the Department for Culture, Media and Sport. Information on location and significance of such assets is found in the same way as for all heritage assets. Judging whether sites fall into this category may be assisted by reference to the criteria for scheduling monuments. Further information on scheduled monuments can be found on the Department for Culture, Media and Sport's website.

(2) Other non-designated heritage assets of archaeological interest. By comparison this is a much larger category of lesser heritage significance, although still subject to the conservation objective. On occasion the understanding of a site may change following assessment and evaluation prior to a planning decision and move it from this category to the first.

Where an asset is thought to have archaeological interest, the potential knowledge which may be unlocked by investigation may be harmed even by minor disturbance, because the context in which archaeological evidence is found is crucial to furthering understanding.

Decision-making regarding such assets requires a proportionate response by local planning authorities. Where an initial assessment indicates that the site on which development is proposed includes or has potential to include heritage assets with archaeological interest, applicants should be required to submit an appropriate desk-based assessment and, where necessary, a field evaluation. However, it is estimated that following the initial assessment of archaeological interest only a small proportion – around 3% – of all planning applications justify a requirement for detailed assessment.

Paragraph: 041 Reference ID: 18a-041-20190723

Revision date: 23 07 2019