

ERRATUM - on Page 40 MM19, Policy SP19 Clause 4.

This section should propose an amendment of Clause 4 (not its deletion), as set out in the proposed modification to Para 3 and Map of Protected Open Spaces on the preceding page (40); and has been amended accordingly.

## SCHEDULE OF PROPOSED MAIN MODIFICATIONS TO THE LOCAL PLAN - CORE STRATEGY

Blue Text: underlined

Main Modifications additional text to the Local plan)

Red, Strike-through Text:

Main Modification Deleted text)

No	Page / Policy	Paragraph	Change	Reason
MM1	5	Key diagram	Add the words, <u>The illustration of 'Coastal East Lindsey – area at risk from flooding' is illustrative only. The definitive boundaries are shown on the policies map.</u> At the bottom of the diagram to emphasise that the flood risk areas are illustrative and shown correctly on the policies map.	As discussed at the examination hearings and for clarity in conformity with the NPPF.
	6	After paragraph 6	Add an additional sentence which would read " <u>The Council will seek to monitor the impact of its policies on these sites through its monitoring framework.</u> "	To provide clarity that monitoring will take place.
	6	New insert	Insert a paragraph to read as follows;  <u>The policies in this plan 2016 – 2031 supersede those listed at Annex 5 which comprises the East Lindsey Local Plan 1995 Saved Policies identified by the Secretary of States Direction September 2007</u>	To comply with Regulation 8(5) to set out the list of superseded policies
	6	Last paragraph	Add an additional sentence which would read " <u>The Core Strategy and Settlement Proposals Development Plan Document supersedes the 1995 (amended 1999) East Lindsey Local Plan in its entirety.</u> "	This sets out as required by Regulation 8(5) which development plans are superseded.

## SETTLEMENT PATTERN (POLICY SP1)

No	Page	Paragraph	Change	Reason
MM2	19 – SP1	Clause 1	Delete the sentence - <del>The distribution of future housing growth is set out in Strategic Policies SP3, Housing Growth and the Location of Inland Housing Growth and Sp7 Coastal East Lindsey</del>	To provide clarity in conformity with the NPPF and as discussed at the Examination, this has been removed because it is a partial list of policies.

## SUSTAINABLE DEVELOPMENT (SP2)

No	Page	Paragraph	Change	Reason
MM3	20 – SP2	2	Amend the paragraph so it reads as set out in the NPPF by adding the word “ <a href="#">and</a> ” after indicate otherwise and “ <a href="#">unless</a> ” after grant permission	This amendment now reflects the wording in the NPPF.

## HOUSING GROWTH AND THE LOCATION OF INLAND GROWTH – (SP3)

No	Page	Paragraph	Change	Reason
MM4	21 – SP3	2	Delete the second sentence of the paragraph <del>38% of East Lindsey, including the important towns of Mablethorpe and Skegness which are in an area of high coastal flood risk. Strategic housing development here would be out of conformity with national planning policy, because housing is classed as vulnerable development and should be avoided by directing it away from the areas of highest risk.</del> and add in three new sentences setting out the where the area of the Coastal Flood Hazard Zone is and what it covers. The two sentences will then read “ <a href="#">38% of East Lindsey</a> ”	To clarify where the Coastal Zone is in respect of the policies and map. This was raised as an


			<a href="#">is in an area of high coastal flood risk. This area is covered by the Environment Agency`s Coastal Flood Hazard Map and is called the Coastal Zone. The map is shown on the policy map on page 6 and on page 90 of the plan and the zone covers the red (danger for all), orange (danger for most), yellow (danger for some) and green (low hazard – caution).</a>	issue during the Examination Hearing for Matter 5
<b>22 – SP3</b>	<b>Additional paragraph after paragraph 5</b>		<a href="#">5i. East Lindsey is a relatively self-contained housing market area with 77% of those working in the District living in the District. There are some wider linkages to Boston in the south and North East Lincolnshire in the north but migration to surrounding authority areas has only a relatively small net impact upon population change. For the purposes of this plan, East Lindsey has been treated as a single housing market area.</a>	Discussed at the Examination Hearing and providing clarity on the HMA for the District in conformity with the NPPF.
<b>22– SP3</b>	<b>7</b>		Amend the figures in the first sentence from <del>7215</del> to read after Edge Analytics in 2016, <a href="#">the objectively assessed need for the period 2011 to 2031 is 9620 homes, this equates to 481 homes a year.</a> Add an additional sentence onto the end of the paragraph which reads <a href="#">“The starting point of the Local Plan for the purposes of establishing the need for housing is 2011 with the Plan running to 2031.”</a>	Discussed at the Examination Hearing and providing clarity on the housing requirement and start period of the Plan in conformity with the NPPF
<b>22– SP3</b>	<b>8</b>		Rewrite the paragraph so it reads as follows;  In addition to the housing <del>target</del> <a href="#">requirement between 2017 and 2031 based on an annual average of 481 homes a year,</a> the Council has to set out how it is going to deal with any past <del>undersupply shortfall</del> of housing. As at the 1 <sup>st</sup> <del>February 2016 March 2017,</del> <del>that past undersupply amounted to 553 homes, which included a 5% buffer. The Council will look to deliver this undersupply within the first five years of the plan period.</del> <a href="#">the District from the period 2011 to 1<sup>st</sup> March 2017 should have</a>	Discussed at the Examination Hearing and providing clarity on the shortfall in conformity with the NPPF

		<p>delivered 2886 homes against the housing requirement of 481 homes a year, as set out below;</p> <table border="1"> <thead> <tr> <th><u>Year</u></th> <th><u>Annual Requirement of Housing</u></th> <th><u>Completions of Housing</u></th> </tr> </thead> <tbody> <tr> <td><u>2011</u></td> <td><u>481</u></td> <td><u>246</u></td> </tr> <tr> <td><u>2012</u></td> <td><u>481</u></td> <td><u>240</u></td> </tr> <tr> <td><u>2013</u></td> <td><u>481</u></td> <td><u>276</u></td> </tr> <tr> <td><u>2014</u></td> <td><u>481</u></td> <td><u>278</u></td> </tr> <tr> <td><u>2015</u></td> <td><u>481</u></td> <td><u>405</u></td> </tr> <tr> <td><u>2016/17</u></td> <td><u>481</u></td> <td><u>356</u></td> </tr> <tr> <td><u>TOTAL</u></td> <td><u>2886</u></td> <td><u>1801</u></td> </tr> <tr> <td><b><u>Total Housing Shortfall</u></b></td> <td colspan="2"><b><u>2886 -1801 = 1085</u></b></td> </tr> </tbody> </table> <p>The District had only delivered 1801 homes leaving a past undersupply which amounts to <del>553</del> 1085 homes, which included a 5% buffer. The Council will look to deliver this undersupply within the first five years of the plan period. Therefore the housing requirement from 2017 to 2031 = 6734 (i.e. 481 x 14 years); plus the shortfall from above of 1085, this equates to a total housing requirement of <b>7819</b> for the period 2017 to 2031. This brings the overall District wide housing target requirement for the Plan period to <del>7768</del> homes.</p>	<u>Year</u>	<u>Annual Requirement of Housing</u>	<u>Completions of Housing</u>	<u>2011</u>	<u>481</u>	<u>246</u>	<u>2012</u>	<u>481</u>	<u>240</u>	<u>2013</u>	<u>481</u>	<u>276</u>	<u>2014</u>	<u>481</u>	<u>278</u>	<u>2015</u>	<u>481</u>	<u>405</u>	<u>2016/17</u>	<u>481</u>	<u>356</u>	<u>TOTAL</u>	<u>2886</u>	<u>1801</u>	<b><u>Total Housing Shortfall</u></b>	<b><u>2886 -1801 = 1085</u></b>		
<u>Year</u>	<u>Annual Requirement of Housing</u>	<u>Completions of Housing</u>																												
<u>2011</u>	<u>481</u>	<u>246</u>																												
<u>2012</u>	<u>481</u>	<u>240</u>																												
<u>2013</u>	<u>481</u>	<u>276</u>																												
<u>2014</u>	<u>481</u>	<u>278</u>																												
<u>2015</u>	<u>481</u>	<u>405</u>																												
<u>2016/17</u>	<u>481</u>	<u>356</u>																												
<u>TOTAL</u>	<u>2886</u>	<u>1801</u>																												
<b><u>Total Housing Shortfall</u></b>	<b><u>2886 -1801 = 1085</u></b>																													
<b>22 – SP3</b>	<b>9</b>	Amend the figure of <del>7768</del> to 7819. Delete <del>1<sup>st</sup> February 2016</del> and amend to <u>1<sup>st</sup> March 2017</u> . Delete <del>1308</del> amend to <u>1257</u>	Discussed at the Examination Hearing and providing clarity in conformity with the NPPF																											
<b>23 – SP3</b>	<b>12</b>	Delete the paragraph <del>The remaining amount of objectively assessed need will therefore be allocated inland and be located within the towns and large villages, as</del>	Discussed at the																											

		<p><del>set out in the Settlement Proposals Development Plan Document, on a range of suitable sites for a range of different types of housing development.</del></p> <p><del>The target is broken down into two:</del></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li><del>• On the coast there are approximately 1308 homes which covers the area of the Coastal Flood Hazard maps these are existing commitments.</del></li> <li><del>• Inland a minimum of 6460</del></li> </ul> <p>Rewrite it to read as follows;</p> <p><u>Given that there are 1257 housing commitments with planning permission in the Coastal Zone, a minimum of 6562 homes will need to be provided inland, if the requirement of 7819 is to be achieved over the Plan period.</u></p>	<p>Examination Hearing and providing clarity on the amount of housing inland and in the coastal zone in conformity with the NPPF</p>
<b>24 – SP3</b>	<b>15</b>	<p>This way of apportioning growth <del>across the towns and large villages</del> means that the Council is <u>not allocating its growth into a few settlements bringing forward any large urban extensions.</u> <del>Whilst this means of delivering growth may be appropriate in more urban places, East Lindsey does not have a history of delivery of large sites over 300 units.</del> <u>but distributing it proportionally across the inland towns and large villages, as set out above, this reflects the way the population has previously grown through the in migration of mainly older persons.</u> <del>This probably reflects the fact that</del></p>	<p>Discussed at the Examination Hearing and raised by one of the representors in their statements providing clarity in conformity with the NPPF</p>
<b>24 – SP3</b>	<b>19</b>	<p>Amend the paragraph so that it reads;</p> <p><del>The Council will allocate sites in the Settlement Proposals Document for the phased delivery of the 7768 housing target including the undersupply over the following trajectory;</del></p> <p><del>2016 – 2021 – an average of 591 homes per annum</del>  <del>2021 – 2025 – an average of 481 homes per annum</del></p>	<p>Discussed at the Examination Hearing and providing clarity with regard to the housing</p>

			<p><del>2025—2031— an average of 482 homes per annum</del></p> <p><u>The Council will allocate sites in the Settlement Proposals Document along with existing commitments to ensure the delivery of the 7819 housing requirement including the undersupply of housing for the period 2011 – 2016 over the following trajectory, this will recover the shortfall over the plan period and is set out below;</u></p> <p><u>2017/2018 – 565 - This is predicted delivery</u>  <u>2018 to 2031 – 558 per year</u></p>	<p>trajectory over the plan period in conformity with the NPPF</p>
--	--	--	---	--

Housing Trajectory 2011-2031



MM4 cont`d

25

20 – SP3

Amend the date 2016 to 2017 to take in the start date of the plan

Discussed at the Examination Hearing and providing clarity in

				conformity with the NPPF
	<b>25 – SP3</b>	<b>20</b>	Amend the tables A and B as set out below to take into account the discussion and the new housing requirement figure. The final column in Table B sets out why the allocated figure has altered.	Discussed at the Examination Hearing and providing clarity around the housing figures in conformity with the NPPF

### Amended Table A

<b>HOUSING <del>TARGET</del> <u>REQUIREMENT</u> 201<del>6</del><u>7</u> – 2031</b>	<b><del>7768</del><u>7819</u></b>
<b><u>This is a minimum figure not a ceiling</u></b>	
Commitments inland	<del>2777</del> <u>3118</u>
Commitments coastal	<del>1308</del> <u>1257</u>
<del>Spilsby site SPY310 which will be started during the plan period and the remaining part of it could start coming forward toward the end of the plan period</del>	<del>350</del>
Inland allocations as set out in Table B	<del>3901</del> <u>3810</u>



<b>Total – This includes a <del>7.34.68%</del> <u>buffer margin above the housing against the housing target requirement</u>;</b>	<b><del>8336</del> <u>8185</u></b>
<b><del>Other sources of housing that could come forward</del> <u>Other sources of housing could come forward in the inland area in line with policies SP3, SP5 and SP4 and in the Coastal Zone in line with policies SP18 but it is not possible to quantify this.</u></b>  <b><del>during the plan period – these have not been included in the housing target requirement above only the 15% windfall allowance will be part of the 5 year supply calculations</del></b>	<b>-</b>
<del>Possible homes from brownfield sites in the coastal zone</del>	<del>218 448</del>
<del>Possible homes from brownfield sites in the medium and small inland villages</del>	<del>202 245</del>
<del>Windfall allowance 15% of target</del>	<del>1165</del>
<b>Total</b>	<b><del>1935 693</del></b>

SETTLEMENT	ALLOCATION	Reason for modification
ALFORD – <a href="#">This housing will be allocated in the Alford Neighbourhood Plan, this is the minimum amount of housing that should be allocated in that Plan.</a>	<del>161</del> <a href="#">66</a>	Adjustment taking into account commitments
<a href="#">BINBROOK</a>	<a href="#">0</a>	Zero allocation
BURGH LE MARSH	<del>95</del> <a href="#">148</a>	Adjustment for proposed deleted sites and mathematical error
CONINGSBY/TATTERSHALL	417	
FRISKNEY	59	
GRAINTHORPE	<del>18</del> <a href="#">9</a>	Adjustment for proposed deleted site
HOGSTHORPE	<del>100</del> <a href="#">91</a>	Adjustment for site having obtained planning permission
HOLTON LE CLAY	314	
HORNCastle	0	
HUTTOFT	0	
LEGBOURNE	23	
LOUTH	1204	

MANBY/GRIMOLDBY	77	
MAREHAM LE FEN	113	
MARSHCHAPEL	<del>84</del> <u>0</u>	Adjustment for proposed deleted sites
NORTH THORESBY	<del>160</del> <u>165</u>	Adjustment for mathematical error
PARTNEY	0	
SIBSEY	239	
SPILSBY	<del>264</del> <u>380</u>	Adjustment for mathematical error and clarification around site SPY310
STICKNEY	<del>24</del> <u>18</u>	Adjusted because planning permission granted on STK319
<u>TETFORD</u>	<u>0</u>	Zero allocation
TETNEY	<del>57</del> <u>47</u>	Adjustment for proposed deleted site
WAINFLEET	96	
WOODHALL SPA	312	
WRAGBY	32	

TOTAL	<del>3901</del> 3810	
-------	----------------------	--

## MAIN MODIFICATIONS CONTINUED

No	Page	Paragraph	Change	Reason
<b>MM4 cont`d</b>	<b>26 – SP3</b>	<b>21 Table B</b>	Amend the wording of the paragraph so that it reads as follows; <u>Table B below shows the total capacity of housing in each settlement across the inland towns and large villages from allocations as set out in the Settlement Proposals Document. The allocation figures below for each settlement are not intended as maximum figures. However the figure for Alford is the minimum amount of housing that should allocated in the Alford Neighbourhood Plan. For the purposes of neighbourhood planning in Alford, this is a strategic policy.</u>	Discussed at the Examination Hearing and providing clarity in conformity with the NPPF
	<b>27 – SP3</b>	<b>22</b>	<del>Remove paragraph which reads; It is important that housing growth occurs in line with infrastructure, the Council therefore wishes to see sites over 30 demonstrate how they are going to phase development if required in order for necessary infrastructure improvements to occur and for early engagement with infrastructure providers to take place on sites over 10, this is particularly focused on water infrastructure as recommended in the East Lindsey Stage 2 Water Cycle Study 2016.</del>	Discussed at the Examination Hearing and providing clarity in conformity with the NPPF
	<b>28 – SP3</b>	<b>30</b>	Amend the paragraph as follows to set out how windfall will be monitored.  Because of the long historical trend of this type of delivery, the type of sites that are delivered in this way and the local nature of the delivery, <u>the Council will monitor future delivery and consider whether it would be appropriate to have a windfall allowance in the 5 year supply during the review of the plan.</u> <del>the Council believe it has sufficient evidence to make an allowance for windfall sites in its housing supply. This allowance it is believed should be 15%. Having an up to date Local Plan with site allocations, phasing sites, monitoring delivery and having a clear delivery pathway for housing should see the number of windfall developments reduce.</del>	Discussed at the Examination Hearing and providing clarity in conformity with the NPPF

<p><b>28 – SP3</b></p>	<p><b>31</b></p>	<p>Amend the paragraph so that it reads as follows;</p> <p>The usual approach with regard to housing allocations is to provide a buffer or fall back in the allocations to ensure that if sites do not come forward then others can take up the housing need easily. Given that the Local Plan is going to be reviewed in 5 years, and at that time additional sites can be allocated if the need and evidence arises, <u>Policy SP3 therefore sets out a minimum inland housing requirement of 6562 but the Settlement Proposals Document has an additional, the allocated margin above the housing requirement</u> <del>buffer provision in the plan will be which is</del> approximately 7.3 4.68% or <del>568 366</del> homes. <del>The buffer is the difference between the target and the amount of housing actually allocated in the Settlement Proposals Document. The margin is the difference between the housing requirement and the supply of housing actually allocated the Settlement Proposals Document and provided through commitments (non-allocated sites with planning permission as of March 2017)</del></p>	<p>Discussed at the Examination Hearing and providing clarity in conformity with the NPPF</p>
<p><b>28 – SP3</b></p>	<p><b>32</b></p>	<p>Delete paragraph 32 which reads;</p> <p><del>It is important that windfall sites coming forward during the plan period are sited in sustainable locations that are within or adjoining the inland towns and large villages. They should not leave development out of character or isolated from the main body of the settlement. These sites should therefore only be located wholly within or adjoining the main body of the settlement. A windfall site is any site that comes forward that is not allocated in the Settlement Proposals Document.</del></p> <p>Replace the paragraph with the following;</p> <p><u>There are policies in the plan which support windfall development in specific circumstances (SP4, SP5, SP8, SP9, SP12 and SP18). While these allow for additional housing growth beyond that provided through allocations, it is important that windfall developments do not harm the character and amenity of settlements and that they do not compromise the achievement of a sustainable pattern of development.</u></p> <p><u>The towns within East Lindsey have a good level of services and facilities</u></p>	<p>Discussed at the examination hearing to provide clarity around windfall sites coming forward in conformity with the NPPF.</p>

		<p><a href="#">and additional growth through windfall development can be supported, providing sites conform to the policies in the Plan and national policy.</a></p> <p><a href="#">32i. The large villages generally have fewer services and facilities than the towns and it is, therefore, particularly important that windfall development is carefully managed so that, whilst some additional growth is supported over and above the site allocations, it does not harm the character and amenity of these settlements or compromise the achievement of sustainable patterns of development.</a></p>	
<b>28 – SP3</b>	<b>After Paragraph 32</b>	<p>Insert a new paragraph which reads as follows;  <a href="#">32(ii) In the AONB windfall development must have regard to the policies within this Plan. Great weight should be placed on conserving the landscape and the scenic beauty of the Area of Outstanding Natural Beauty, which is the only one in Lincolnshire.</a></p> <p>This is to ensure that regard is had to the NPPF in relation to windfall development in the AONB.</p>	To provide clarity on the level of protection given with regard to this area, in conformity with the NPPF and as discussed at the examination hearing.
<b>28 – SP3</b>	<b>33</b>	<p>Delete the word <del>target</del> and replace it with <a href="#">requirement</a> in the paragraph. Insert as the last sentence to the paragraph; <a href="#">Information on the 5 year supply and the trajectory of housing over the plan period can be found on the Council`s website as an appendix to this Plan, this information is updated on a yearly basis along with the Councils Monitoring Report.</a></p>	Discussed at the Examination Hearing and providing clarity in conformity with the NPPF
<b>28 &amp; 29 – SP3</b>	<b>35</b>	<p>Delete the 4<sup>th</sup> bullet point which reads; <del>The imposition of planning conditions on outline approvals to ensure that reserved matter applications are submitted in a reasonable period of time if it appears that sites are not coming forward and through repeated resubmissions (12 to 18 months)</del></p>	Discussed at the Examination Hearing and providing clarity in conformity with the NPPF
<b>29 – SP3</b>	<b>36</b>	<p>Delete the paragraph and insert a new paragraph which reads "<a href="#">There are a number of factors in the Local Plan which mean there is uncertainty around delivery and impact of the policies, especially as this is the first time the Council has constrained housing growth on the coast. The Council will carry out a full review of its housing policies with a review being submitted for examination by April 2022. This review will examine the impact of these policies alongside the Coastal Policies SP17 to SP21 and re-assess the</a></p>	Discussed at the Examination Hearing and providing clarity in conformity with the NPPF

			<a href="#">objectively assessed need for housing.</a>	
<b>29 – SP3</b>	<b>Clause 1</b>	<p>Amend the figure <del>7768</del> to <a href="#">7819</a> amend the word <del>target</del> with <a href="#">requirement</a>. <a href="#">Delete the word plan and insert the words 2017 - 2031</a></p> <p>Delete the word <del>target</del> and replace with <a href="#">requirement</a>.</p> <p>Amend the bullet point so that it is clear what it means by the Coastal Zone. Amend the first sentence to read; <a href="#">In the Coastal Zone</a>, deleting <del>“on the coast”</del> and <del>which covers the area of the Coastal Flood Hazard Maps</del> and insert in <a href="#">“In the Coastal Zone the area which is covered by the Environment Agency`s Coastal Flood Hazard Map. The map is shown on policies map 2 and on page 90 of the plan, the zone covers the red (danger for all), orange (danger for most), yellow (danger for some) and green (low hazard – caution)</a>. Add onto the end of the sentence <a href="#">SP8 – Rural Exceptions and SP9 – Single Plot Exceptions</a></p> <p>Amend the figure from <del>1308</del> to <a href="#">1257</a></p> <p>Add a sentence onto the end of bullet point one which would read. <a href="#">The following settlements are in the Coastal Zone; Addlethorpe, Anderby, Chapel St. Leonards, Croft, Ingoldmells, Mablethorpe, New Leake, North Cotes, North Somercotes, Saltfleetby All Saints, Saltfleetby St. Clements, Saltfleetby St. Peter, Skegness, Skidbrooke + Saltfleet Haven, South Somercotes, Sutton On Sea, Theddlethorpe All Saints, Theddlethorpe St. Helen, Trusthorpe</a></p> <p>Amend the inland figure to from <del>6460</del> to <a href="#">6562</a></p>	Discussed at the Examination Hearing to provide clarity around what is meant by the Coastal Zone and the division of requirement between the Coast and Inland in conformity with the NPPF	
<b>29 &amp; 30 – SP3</b>	<b>Clause 2</b>	<p><del><b>The Council will allocate sites for the phased delivery of the 7768 homes as follows;</b></del></p> <p><del><b>———— 2016 – 2021 – an average of 591 homes per annum</b></del></p> <p><del><b>———— 2021 – 2025 – an average of 481 homes per annum</b></del></p> <p><del><b>———— 2025 – 2031 – an average of 482 homes per annum</b></del></p>	Discussed at the Examination Hearing and providing clarity in conformity with the NPPF	

			<p><b><u>The Council will ensure the delivery of the 7819 homes as follows including the shortfall from 2011 to 2016;</u></b></p> <table border="1"> <thead> <tr> <th><u>Year</u></th> <th><u>Requirement</u></th> </tr> </thead> <tbody> <tr><td><u>2017/18</u></td><td><u>565</u></td></tr> <tr><td><u>2018/19</u></td><td><u>558</u></td></tr> <tr><td><u>2019/20</u></td><td><u>558</u></td></tr> <tr><td><u>2020/21</u></td><td><u>558</u></td></tr> <tr><td><u>2021/22</u></td><td><u>558</u></td></tr> <tr><td><u>2022/23</u></td><td><u>558</u></td></tr> <tr><td><u>2023/24</u></td><td><u>558</u></td></tr> <tr><td><u>2024/25</u></td><td><u>558</u></td></tr> <tr><td><u>2025/26</u></td><td><u>558</u></td></tr> <tr><td><u>2026/27</u></td><td><u>558</u></td></tr> <tr><td><u>2027/28</u></td><td><u>558</u></td></tr> <tr><td><u>2028/29</u></td><td><u>558</u></td></tr> <tr><td><u>2029/30</u></td><td><u>558</u></td></tr> <tr><td><u>2030/31</u></td><td><u>558</u></td></tr> </tbody> </table>	<u>Year</u>	<u>Requirement</u>	<u>2017/18</u>	<u>565</u>	<u>2018/19</u>	<u>558</u>	<u>2019/20</u>	<u>558</u>	<u>2020/21</u>	<u>558</u>	<u>2021/22</u>	<u>558</u>	<u>2022/23</u>	<u>558</u>	<u>2023/24</u>	<u>558</u>	<u>2024/25</u>	<u>558</u>	<u>2025/26</u>	<u>558</u>	<u>2026/27</u>	<u>558</u>	<u>2027/28</u>	<u>558</u>	<u>2028/29</u>	<u>558</u>	<u>2029/30</u>	<u>558</u>	<u>2030/31</u>	<u>558</u>	
<u>Year</u>	<u>Requirement</u>																																	
<u>2017/18</u>	<u>565</u>																																	
<u>2018/19</u>	<u>558</u>																																	
<u>2019/20</u>	<u>558</u>																																	
<u>2020/21</u>	<u>558</u>																																	
<u>2021/22</u>	<u>558</u>																																	
<u>2022/23</u>	<u>558</u>																																	
<u>2023/24</u>	<u>558</u>																																	
<u>2024/25</u>	<u>558</u>																																	
<u>2025/26</u>	<u>558</u>																																	
<u>2026/27</u>	<u>558</u>																																	
<u>2027/28</u>	<u>558</u>																																	
<u>2028/29</u>	<u>558</u>																																	
<u>2029/30</u>	<u>558</u>																																	
<u>2030/31</u>	<u>558</u>																																	
<b>30 – SP3</b>	<b>Clause 3</b>	Remove the clause which reads <del>Development sites over 30 should demonstrate phasing of development in line with infrastructure requirements</del>	Discussed at the Examination Hearing and providing clarity in conformity with the																															



				NPPF
30 – SP3	Clause 4	Rewrite the clause so it is clearer on where growth is to be allocated. <del>Housing growth will be distributed allocated across the inland towns and inland large villages as set out in the Settlement Pattern in the Settlement Proposals Document. These inland towns and large villages which could potentially include allocations are;</del>		Discussed at the Examination Hearing and providing clarity in conformity with the NPPF
30 – SP3	Clause 5	<p>Replace clause 5 with reads;</p> <p><del>Windfall sites within the inland towns and large villages will be supported providing they are spatially appropriate, in that they would not leave development out of character or isolated from the main body of the settlement. These sites should only be located wholly within or adjoining the main body of the settlement.</del></p> <p>With the following;</p> <p><u>Towns and large villages - Housing growth on windfall sites in appropriate locations** within the settlement and outside of, but immediately adjacent to the developed footprint*** will be supported.</u></p> <p><u>To qualify as an 'appropriate location', the site, if developed, would:</u></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• <u>retain the core shape and form of the settlement;</u></li> <li>• <u>not significantly harm the settlement's character and appearance;</u> <u>and</u></li> <li>• <u>not significantly harm the character and appearance of the surrounding countryside or the rural setting of the settlement.</u></li> <li>• <u>Be connected to the settlement by way of a footpath.</u></li> </ul> <p><u>** 'appropriate locations' means a location which does not conflict, when taken as a whole, with national policy or policies in this Local Plan.</u></p> <p><u>*** 'developed footprint' of a settlement is defined as the continuous built form of the settlement and excludes:</u></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• <u>individual buildings or groups of dispersed buildings which are clearly detached from the continuous built up area of the settlement;</u></li> <li>• <u>gardens, paddocks and other undeveloped land within the curtilage</u></li> </ul>		At the Examination Hearing the Council was asked to consider more control over the windfall sites coming forward and to look at Central Lincolnshire`s local plan policy. This modification does that and amends the wording of both the explanatory paragraph and the policy.

			<p><a href="#">of buildings on the edge of the settlement where land relates more to the surrounding countryside than to the built up area of the settlement;</a></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• <a href="#">outdoor sports and recreation facilities and other formal open spaces on the edge of the settlement.</a></li> </ul>	
	<b>30 – SP3</b>	<b>New Clause 6</b>	Insert a new clause which would read; <a href="#">In the AONB windfall development must have regard to the policies within this Plan and great weight should be placed on conserving the landscape and scenic beauty of the Area of Outstanding Natural Beauty. Proposals for major development will only be supported in exceptional circumstances having regard to national policy.</a>	To provide clarity regarding development in the AONB, in conformity with the NPPF.

#### HOUSING IN INLAND MEDIUM AND SMALL VILLAGES (SP4)

<b>MM5</b>	<b>30 &amp; 32 – SP4</b>	<b>Title of policy</b>	Amend the title of the policy to read <a href="#">Housing in Inland Medium and Small Villages</a>	To provide clarity as to what the policy is applying to, in conformity with the NPPF and after discussions at the Examination hearings
	<b>30 – SP4</b>	<b>Paragraph 1</b>	Delete, <del>with the addition of agricultural buildings.</del>	To provide clarity as to what the policy is applying to, in conformity with the NPPF and after discussions at the Examination hearings
	<b>31 – SP4</b>	<b>New paragraph after 2</b>	Insert a new paragraph which reads as follows; <a href="#">Small infill development may be supported but it should not impact on green space, locally important habitat, important views or settings of other development, this type of development should therefore also conform to clause 2 of policy SP25 – Green Infrastructure</a>	To provide clarity as to what the policy is applying to, in conformity with the NPPF and after discussions at the

				Examination hearings
<b>32 – SP4</b>	<b>Clause 1</b>	Amend the first paragraph in order to clarify what the policy relates to so that it reads as follows; <del>Elsewhere,</del> <u>Within</u> the medium and small villages, the conversion and redevelopment of sites for housing will be supported, where those sites are brownfield and have <u>agricultural</u> buildings on them that have become disused. The following criteria will need to be complied with;		To provide clarity as to what the policy is applying to, in conformity with the NPPF and after discussions at the Examination hearings
<b>32 – SP4</b>	<b>Bullet point 3 of Clause 1</b>	Amend the bullet point in order to clarify what the policy relates to so that it reads as follows; Consideration should first be given to the conversion of any buildings on site <u>where they do or could enhance the character of the area.</u> <del>and this should be done so as to enhance the character of the area.</del> If demolition is to be supported it would need to be clearly demonstrated that <u>the existing building does not contribute</u> <del>this would not impact on to</del> the character of the <u>settlement area</u> , that the building was unsafe or that it was not structurally possible to convert.		To provide clarity as to what the policy is applying to, in conformity with the NPPF and after discussions at the Examination hearings
<b>32 – SP4</b>	<b>New Clause 2 – new addition to the policy</b>	<p><u>Housing will be supported in the medium and small villages where it can conform to the following criteria;</u></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li><u>In an appropriate location* within the developed footprint** of the settlement as infill, frontage development of no more than 2 dwellings.</u></li> <li><u>Conforms to Clause 2 of Strategic Policy SP25 – Green Infrastructure.</u></li> </ul> <p><u>*Appropriate location means a location which does not conflict, when taken as a whole, with national policy or policies in this Local Plan.</u></p> <p><u>** Developed footprint is defined as the continuous built form of the settlement and excludes individual buildings or groups of dispersed buildings which are detached from the continuous built up area of the settlement. It also excludes gardens, community and recreation facilities, land used for an active employment use.</u></p>		To provide clarity as to what the policy is applying to, in conformity with the NPPF and after discussions at the Examination hearings

## SPECIALIST HOUSING FOR OLDER PEOPLE (POLICY SP5)

No	Page	Paragraph	Change	Reason
MM6	32 – SP5	Paragraph 4	Add an additional sentence onto the end of the paragraph which read <a href="#">In line with the requirements set out in policy SP18 – Coastal Housing, this type of housing will only relate to a local need that will come from those already living in the Coastal Zone or older persons who have relatives living in the Coastal Zone where there is a need for them to come into the Zone for specialised housing near their families. Priority will be given to those already living in the Coastal Zone and be subject to the cascade local connection criteria set out in Annex 1 of this Plan</a>	To provide clarity for soundness in line with the NPPF and as discussed at the Examination hearings.
	33 - SP5	Bullet point 3 of Clause 1	Delete the clause that reads;  <del>Development should demonstrate how occupiers/users will work with other local providers of services for older people.</del>  Rewrite it so it reads; <a href="#">Development that demonstrates how occupiers/users will work with other local providers of services for older people will be supported.</a>	To provide clarity for soundness in line with the NPPF and as discussed at the Examination hearings.
	33 - SP5	Clause 1 final bullet point	Additional sentence onto the last bullet point which would read <a href="#">...will be taken up by a local need. Priority will be given to those already living in the coastal Zone (subject to the cascade local connection criteria set out in Annex 1), followed by those needing to move to the Coastal Zone to be near relatives.</a>	To provide clarity for soundness in line with the NPPF and as discussed at the Examination hearings.

## NEIGHBOURHOOD PLANNING (POLICY SP6)

No	Page	Paragraph	Change	Reason
MM7	34 – SP6	2	Insert the word " <a href="#">general</a> " before conformity....	In the NPPF there is no requirement for full conformity for neighbourhood plans

				it is only general conformity. Inserting the word, "general" into the sentence brings the paragraph into line with the NPPF as discussed at the examination
--	--	--	--	---

**AFFORDABLE AND LOW COST HOUSING (POLICIES SP7 – AFFORDABLE AND LOW COST HOUSING, SP8 – RURAL EXCEPTIONS AND SP9 – SINGLE PLOT EXCEPTIONS)**

MM	Page	Paragraph	Change	Reason					
MM8	35 - SP7	Paragraph 1	Insert an additional sentence onto the end of the paragraph which would read; <a href="#">Affordable housing is defined in the NPPF, and this policy is referring to this type of housing or any subsequent definition published in National Policy.</a>	To provide clarity for soundness with regard to future provision in line with the NPPF and as discussed at the Examination hearings.					
	35 - SP7	Paragraph 2	Add on an additional sentence onto the end of the paragraph which would read <a href="#">Additional provision is also expected to come from windfall development over the plan period.</a>	To provide clarity for soundness with regard to future provision in line with the NPPF and as discussed at the Examination hearings.					
	36 - SP7	After paragraph 2	Delete the table which reads; <table border="1"> <thead> <tr> <th><del>Method of Meeting Need</del></th> <th><del>Amount</del></th> </tr> </thead> <tbody> <tr> <td><del>Affordable Housing in existing commitments</del></td> <td><del>823</del></td> </tr> <tr> <td><del>Affordable Housing in housing allocations</del></td> <td><del>1217</del></td> </tr> </tbody> </table>	<del>Method of Meeting Need</del>	<del>Amount</del>	<del>Affordable Housing in existing commitments</del>	<del>823</del>	<del>Affordable Housing in housing allocations</del>	<del>1217</del>
<del>Method of Meeting Need</del>	<del>Amount</del>								
<del>Affordable Housing in existing commitments</del>	<del>823</del>								
<del>Affordable Housing in housing allocations</del>	<del>1217</del>								

			<table border="1"> <tr> <td><del>Housing Capital Programme (end of life commitments)</del></td> <td><del>117</del></td> </tr> <tr> <td><del>Affordable Housing coming forward from windfall applications—30% of 15% of the housing target</del></td> <td><del>349</del></td> </tr> <tr> <td><del>Affordable Housing estimate from the site to the east of Spilsby SPY310</del></td> <td><del>105</del></td> </tr> <tr> <td><del>Total</del></td> <td><del>2611</del></td> </tr> </table> <p>Insert an amended table;</p> <table border="1"> <tr> <td><u>Identified need including backlog 2016 – 2031</u></td> <td><u>2825 or 188 a year for 14 years and 193 for 1 year</u></td> </tr> <tr> <td><u>Completions 2016</u></td> <td><u>-158</u></td> </tr> <tr> <td><u>Remaining need from 2017 – 2031</u></td> <td><u>2667</u></td> </tr> <tr> <td></td> <td></td> </tr> <tr> <td><b><u>Supply</u></b></td> <td></td> </tr> <tr> <td><u>Inland housing allocations</u></td> <td><u>1162</u></td> </tr> <tr> <td><u>Inland commitments</u></td> <td><u>598</u></td> </tr> <tr> <td><u>Coastal commitments</u></td> <td><u>281</u></td> </tr> <tr> <td><u>Housing Capital Programme to be developed</u></td> <td><u>107</u></td> </tr> <tr> <td><u>Community Led Housing project (funding from the Government £2m – received)</u></td> <td><u>50 to 70</u></td> </tr> <tr> <td><u>Total</u></td> <td><u>2198 to 2218</u></td> </tr> </table>	<del>Housing Capital Programme (end of life commitments)</del>	<del>117</del>	<del>Affordable Housing coming forward from windfall applications—30% of 15% of the housing target</del>	<del>349</del>	<del>Affordable Housing estimate from the site to the east of Spilsby SPY310</del>	<del>105</del>	<del>Total</del>	<del>2611</del>	<u>Identified need including backlog 2016 – 2031</u>	<u>2825 or 188 a year for 14 years and 193 for 1 year</u>	<u>Completions 2016</u>	<u>-158</u>	<u>Remaining need from 2017 – 2031</u>	<u>2667</u>			<b><u>Supply</u></b>		<u>Inland housing allocations</u>	<u>1162</u>	<u>Inland commitments</u>	<u>598</u>	<u>Coastal commitments</u>	<u>281</u>	<u>Housing Capital Programme to be developed</u>	<u>107</u>	<u>Community Led Housing project (funding from the Government £2m – received)</u>	<u>50 to 70</u>	<u>Total</u>	<u>2198 to 2218</u>	
<del>Housing Capital Programme (end of life commitments)</del>	<del>117</del>																																	
<del>Affordable Housing coming forward from windfall applications—30% of 15% of the housing target</del>	<del>349</del>																																	
<del>Affordable Housing estimate from the site to the east of Spilsby SPY310</del>	<del>105</del>																																	
<del>Total</del>	<del>2611</del>																																	
<u>Identified need including backlog 2016 – 2031</u>	<u>2825 or 188 a year for 14 years and 193 for 1 year</u>																																	
<u>Completions 2016</u>	<u>-158</u>																																	
<u>Remaining need from 2017 – 2031</u>	<u>2667</u>																																	
<b><u>Supply</u></b>																																		
<u>Inland housing allocations</u>	<u>1162</u>																																	
<u>Inland commitments</u>	<u>598</u>																																	
<u>Coastal commitments</u>	<u>281</u>																																	
<u>Housing Capital Programme to be developed</u>	<u>107</u>																																	
<u>Community Led Housing project (funding from the Government £2m – received)</u>	<u>50 to 70</u>																																	
<u>Total</u>	<u>2198 to 2218</u>																																	
<b>36 - SP7</b>	<b>Paragraph 5</b>	<p>Insert the words at the end of paragraph 5 to read <u>"The Council acknowledges that there are a variety of factors involved in bringing sites including the provision of infrastructure and site remediation, these can all have an impact on the amount of affordable housing being brought forward."</u></p>	<p>To provide clarity for soundness with regard to future provision in line with the NPPF and as discussed at the Examination hearings.</p>																															

	<b>37 - SP7</b>	<b>Paragraph 11</b>	Remove the last sentence from the paragraph which reads; <del>In the towns and large villages, commuted sums will also be considered toward making provision on Gypsy and Traveller Sites for affordable pitches, where there is an identified need in the settlement where the contribution came from.</del>	To ensure soundness in line with the NPPF and as discussed at the Examination hearings.
	<b>38 - SP7</b>	<b>Clause 1</b>	Insert the word <a href="#">Parish</a> after Woodhall Spa	To provide clarity for soundness in line with the NPPF and as discussed at the Examination hearings
	<b>38 - SP7</b>	<b>Clause 2</b>	Delete the clause; <del>Where a developer contends that a contribution would compromise the viability of a site the Council they will commission a viability assessment of the development costs from an accredited body.</del>  Amend the wording of the Clause so that it relates back to Clause 1. It would then read as follows; <a href="#">Clause 1 shall apply unless it is demonstrated by a detailed and robust site specific assessment, commissioned by the developer and carried out by an accredited body, that the viability of the development would be compromised such that a reduced level of contribution would be justified.</a>	To ensure soundness in line with the NPPF and as discussed at the Examination hearings.
	<b>39 - SP7</b>	<b>Clause 5</b>	Remove the clause which reads; <del>The Council will support commuted sums where there is an identified need for affordable pitches on Gypsy and Traveller sites.</del>	To ensure soundness in line with the NPPF and as discussed at the Examination hearings.
<b>MM9</b>	<b>39 - SP8</b>	<b>Paragraph 3</b>	Delete <del>agricultural workers or forestry</del> and replace with <a href="#">rural workers</a> .	To ensure soundness in line with the NPPF and as discussed at the Examination hearings.
	<b>39 - SP8</b>	<b>Paragraph 4</b>	Delete <del>agricultural workers</del> and replace with <a href="#">rural workers</a>	To ensure soundness in line with the NPPF and as discussed at the Examination hearings.

	<b>39 - SP8</b>	<b>Paragraph 3</b>	Add an additional sentence at the end of the paragraph to provide an explanation regarding temporary accommodation for rural workers, it would read as follows; <a href="#">Sometimes temporary accommodation is required for a period in order to demonstrate a need for this type of housing. When this is proposed the development will also have regard to the employment policies SP13 and SP21.</a>	To provide clarity for soundness in line with the NPPF and as discussed at the Examination hearings.
	<b>40 - SP8</b>	<b>Clause 2</b>	Delete <del>agricultural workers or forestry</del> and replace with <a href="#">rural workers</a>	To ensure soundness in line with the NPPF and as discussed at the Examination hearings.
<b>MM10</b>	<b>41 - SP9</b>	<b>New Paragraph after paragraph 2</b>	Insert a new paragraph after paragraph 1 which clarifies the Council will review the policy, it would read as follows; <a href="#">The Council will monitor how effective this policy is in delivering and retaining affordable homes for local people during the review period of the Plan</a>	To provide clarity for soundness in line with the NPPF and as discussed at the Examination hearings.
	<b>41 - SP9</b>	<b>Clause 1</b>	Amend the first sentence of the Clause so that it reads; <a href="#">In the towns, large, medium and small villages of the Coastal Zone and the medium and small villages inland the Council will support.....</a>	To provide clarity for soundness in line with the NPPF and as discussed at the Examination hearings.
	<b>41 - SP9</b>	<b>Additional clause after Clause 2</b>	To bring the policy in line with policy SP18 insert an additional clause after clause 2 which would read; <a href="#">Flood mitigation should be provided in areas of flood risk as per the advice of the Environment Agency.</a>	To provide clarity for soundness in line with the NPPF and as discussed at the Examination hearings.

## RAISING THE QUALITY OF OUR BUILT ENVIRONMENT (POLICIES SP10 - DESIGN AND SP11 – HISTORIC ENVIRONMENT)

MM	Page	Paragraph	Change	Reason
<b>MM11</b>	<b>45 – SP10</b>	<b>14</b>	Deletion of the words in the paragraph <del>“should be able”</del> and replaced with <a href="#">“will be encouraged”</a> to satisfy the Councils place making checklist”.	To add clarity to the use of the checklist in conformity with the



				NPPF and as discussed at the examination.
<b>45 – SP10</b>	<b>14</b>	Add a new sentence onto the end of the second sentence of paragraph 14 which would read <a href="#">It will also help the public and others commenting on planning application to understand the principles behind the proposal.</a>		To add clarity to the use of the checklist in conformity with the NPPF and as discussed at the examination.
<b>47 – SP10</b>	<b>16</b>	Delete from the last sentence of paragraph 16 <del>“and satisfies the place-making checklist”</del>		It is not a requirement to complete the checklist so it is not appropriate in the text as discussed at the examination
<b>47 – SP10</b>	<b>16</b>	Add after the second sentence <a href="#">“Applicants are advised to contact the Council early in the application process to enter into discussions regarding these sites.”</a>		To add clarity in conformity with the NPPF and as discussed at the examination
<b>47 – SP10</b>	<b>16</b>	Add to the first bullet point <a href="#">“along the principal routes into the settlement”</a> .		To add clarity in conformity with the NPPF and as discussed at the examination
<b>48 – SP10</b>	<b>Policy Clause 1</b>	Delete the words <del>“safeguarding the best and most versatile agricultural land”</del> and replace with the words <a href="#">“seeking to use areas of poorer quality agricultural land in preference to that of a higher quality”</a> .		To add clarity in conformity with the NPPF and as discussed at the examination
<b>48 – SP10</b>	<b>Policy Clause 2</b>	Insert the word high in the sentence of the clause (set out below) to denote the importance of quality materials.  The use of <a href="#">high</a> quality materials and where the layout, scale, massing, height and <a href="#">density</a> reflect the character of the surrounding area.		To add clarity in conformity with the NPPF and as discussed at the examination

<b>48 – SP10</b>	<b>Policy Clause 3</b>	Reword clause 3 to <del>read Incorporating roads, cycleways and footways that provide access for everyone of all abilities to shops, jobs, schools and other community facilities;</del> <u>Ensuring it is easy for everyone to get around by incorporating safe and attractive roads, cycleways and footways that enable people of all abilities to access shops, jobs, schools and other community facilities.</u>	To add clarity in conformity with the NPPF and as discussed at the examination
<b>48 – SP10</b>	<b>Clause 5</b>	Amend the end of clause 5 to read <u>“harm or reduce the safety of highways, cycleways and footways.”</u>	To better reflect paragraph 57 of the NPPF in conformity with the NPPF and as discussed at the examination.
<b>48 – SP10</b>	<b>New Policy Clause 5i</b>	Insert a new policy clause to reflect the aspect of designing out crime from the placemaking checklist to read as follows; <u>The design of new and altered buildings or areas will be supported where they adequately take into account the safety and security of the users of the facilities both during the day and at night and that of neighbouring residents’</u>	To add clarity in conformity with the NPPF and as discussed at the examination
<b>48 – SP10</b>	<b>New Policy Clause 5ii</b>	Insert a new policy clause to reflect the aspect of built to last for generations from the placemaking checklist to read as follows; <u>Development will be supported where it can demonstrate that its design incorporates sustainable features and/or renewables and that the development could be adapted in the future for other uses in that it is development that will become a high quality integrated part of the built environment over many generations.</u>	To add clarity in conformity with the NPPF and as discussed at the examination
<b>49 – SP10</b>	<b>Clause 9</b>	Delete Clause 9 <del>“9. All dwelling houses and major development which lies outside the existing industrial estates should be accompanied by a completed place-making checklist. The Council will support development on design grounds that satisfy the checklist.”</del>	It is not a requirement to complete the checklist so it is not appropriate in the policy as discussed at the examination.
<b>49 – SP10</b>	<b>Clause 10</b>	Delete from clause 10 the words <del>“both the place-making check list and”</del>	It is not a requirement to complete the checklist so it is not

				appropriate in the policy as discussed at the examination.
<b>MM12</b>	<b>52 – SP11</b>	<b>6</b>	Bring together the second and third sentences of paragraph 6 to read <u>“Heritage-led schemes for regenerating buildings, and areas of our towns and villages, can breathe new life into communities, finding appropriate new uses for buildings and enhancing the appearance of the public realm.”</u>	To add clarity in conformity with the NPPF and as discussed at the examination
	<b>52 – SP11</b>	<b>7</b>	Divide the second sentence of paragraph 7 and reword to read <u>“Redevelopment or enabling development which does not harm the asset could assist these endangered assets back into productive use and conserve them for future generations to enjoy. Such development will be supported, particularly where a use would benefit the wider community.”</u> <del>Delete the original sentence Redevelopment or enabling development which does not harm the asset could assist these endangered assets back into productive use and conserve them for future generations to enjoy will be supported particularly where a use would benefit the wider community.</del>	To add clarity in conformity with the NPPF and as discussed at the examination
	<b>52 &amp; 53 – SP11</b>	<b>10</b>	Amend the second to last sentence of the paragraph to read as follows;  This might include preservation in situ or preservation by record dependant on the work being proposed and the significance of any <del>assets being</del> <u>impacts assets affected</u> .	To add clarity in conformity with the NPPF and as discussed at the examination
	<b>53 – SP11</b>	<b>Clause 2 1<sup>st</sup> bullet point</b>	Alter the wording of <del>Protect and enhance</del> to <u>“Preserve or enhance”</u>	To add clarity in conformity with the NPPF and as discussed at the examination
	<b>53 – SP11</b>	<b>Clause 2, 2<sup>nd</sup> bullet point</b>	Alter the wording of <del>Preserve and enhance</del> to <u>“Preserve or enhance”</u>	To add clarity in conformity with the NPPF and as discussed at the examination
	<b>54 – SP11</b>	<b>Clause 3 and the last bullet</b>	Merge clause 3 with the last bullet point of clause 2. It will then read <u>“Conserve heritage assets identified as being at risk, ensuring the optimum viable use of an asset is secured where it is consistent with the significance</u>	To add clarity in conformity with the NPPF and as

		<b>point of Clause 2.</b>	<a href="#">of the heritage asset. This may include redevelopment or enabling development, particularly where a use would benefit the wider community”.</a>	discussed at the examination
	<b>54 – SP11</b>	<b>Clause 2, bullet point 4</b>	Insert the words ' <a href="#">and should be undertaken by a suitably qualified party</a> ' to the end of Criterion 2 Bullet point 4 of SP11.	This was raised by Historic England to ensure work was carried out by a suitably qualified person. The Council support this modification as it adds clarity to the text of the policy as discussed at the examination.

## **GYPSIES, TRAVELLERS AND SHOWPEOPLE (POLICY SP12)**

<b>No</b>	<b>Page</b>	<b>Paragraph</b>	<b>Change</b>	<b>Reason</b>
<b>MM13</b>	<b>56 - SP12</b>	<b>Paragraph 1</b>	Insert at the end of the last sentence the following; <a href="#">for the period 2016 to 2028</a>	To provide clarity for soundness with regard to future provision in line with the NPPF and as discussed at the Examination hearings.
	<b>56 &amp; 57 - SP12</b>	<b>Paragraph 2</b>	Insert at the end of paragraph 2 <a href="#">To ensure that the evidence continues to be robust in regard to Gypsies and Traveller provision, the Council will carry out by the time the review of the Local Plan is submitted for examination a further Gypsy and Traveller Accommodation Assessment and any further provision will be included in that review.</a>	To provide clarity for soundness with regard to future provision in line with the NPPF and as discussed at the Examination hearings

<b>57 - SP12</b>	<b>Paragraph 6</b>	Amend the paragraph so that it reads as follows;  Medium villages have less services and facilities than towns and large villages but some do offer a limited range of services. The Council will therefore support new sites <a href="#">in reasonable proximity to medium villages</a> <del>adjacent</del> or in medium villages but given the smaller character of these villages, these sites should be small scale, no more than 3 pitches or plots and even with an extension should not exceed this.	To provide clarity for soundness and bring the policy in line with towns and large villages in line with the NPPF and as discussed at the Examination hearings.
<b>59 - SP12</b>	<b>Clause 2</b>	Amend the clause to read as follows;  The Council will support new permanent Gypsy and Traveller sites and sites for Travelling Showpeople <a href="#">in, or in reasonable proximity to</a> <del>or in adjacent to</del> medium villages providing they meet the above criteria but they should be small scale with no more than 3 pitches or plots.	To provide clarity for soundness and bring the policy in line with towns and large villages in line with the NPPF and as discussed at the Examination hearings.
<b>59 - SP12</b>	<b>Clause 4</b>	Add an additional sentence onto the end of the clause which would read as follows; <a href="#">In the medium villages any extension should not result in the total number of pitches or plots on the site exceeding three.</a>	To provide clarity for soundness in line with the NPPF and as discussed at the Examination hearings

### **INLAND EMPLOYMENT, CENTRES AND SHOPPING (SP13)**

<b>No</b>	<b>Page</b>	<b>Paragraph</b>	<b>Change</b>	<b>Reason</b>
<b>MM14</b>	<b>61 – SP13</b>	<b>5</b>	Rewrite the paragraph <del>The Council has established the need for additional land for employment through its Employment Sites Review 2016 using a trend based calculation</del> to set out more clearly what employment land is being allocated and how the Council calculated the allocation. The paragraph would read as follows;  <a href="#">The Council has established the need for inland employment totalling of 24 ha of additional land for employment through its Employment Sites Review 2016. It has used a trend based calculation and also looked at the</a>	In order for the policy to be clearer in conformity with the NPPF and after discussions at the Examination Hearing.

			<a href="#">Council`s 2016 Economic Baseline Study, consulted with the Council`s Estates Section which monitors and manages the land owned by the Council for employment and has provided information on market signals. 1ha of this land will be required at Alford, and this will be allocated through the Alford Neighbourhood Development Plan who will provide their own employment land policy. In other settlements specific sites will be identified in the Settlement Proposals Document which will also identify existing employment land to be safeguarded for employment purposes.</a>	
<b>61 &amp; 62 – SP13</b>	<b>6</b>	Amend the first sentence of the paragraph so that it does not duplicate matters raised in new paragraph 6i. The paragraph will then read as follows;  It is important that the District remains flexible with regard to employment land, <del>in that it should not guard land for employment uses unnecessarily but still wants to ensure that</del> being supportive if positive opportunities come forward. <del>they can be supported.</del>		In order to matter to be clearer and not duplicate new paragraph 6i, to be in conformity with the NPPF
<b>61 – SP13</b>	<b>6, bullet point relating to Louth.</b>	Insert the word <u>5 ha of</u> in the sentence beginning <a href="#">“In addition it is proposed that the 5 ha of land to the north of Fairfield.....”</a>		In order for the policy to be clearer in conformity with the NPPF and after discussions at the Examination Hearing.
<b>62 – SP13</b>	<b>6, 5<sup>th</sup> and 6<sup>th</sup> bullet points relating to Mablethorpe and Skegness</b>	Remove references to <del>Mablethorpe and Skegness</del> – this has now been inserted into the Coastal Employment Policy SP21.		In order to make sure the coastal employment policy is consistent with the inland employment policy in conformity with the NPPF and as discussed at the Examination hearings.
<b>62 – SP13</b>	<b>Insert a new paragraph after the last bullet</b>	Insert a new paragraph after the last bullet point which sets out the uses that are acceptable on the allocated employment sites and the existing employment sites. The paragraph would read as follows; <a href="#">The areas of land which are already employment use within the existing industrial estates in the District should also be safeguarded for employment</a>		In order for the policy to be clearer in conformity with the NPPF and after discussions at the

	<b>point</b>	<u>uses. In order to provide space for traditional industrial estate type activities, on both the allocated sites and existing employment sites, the uses will be predominantly B1, B2 and B8. In Louth however, the industrial estate does already incorporate other uses such as leisure and business/offices, which would normally be situated in the town centre. However, these are larger sites which because of their size, and the compact and historic nature and layout of Louth town centre, have gravitated onto the industrial estate. The Council would wish to continue to be flexible in its approach to assessing these sites in order to protect the historic character of the town centre, while providing opportunity for such uses within the town.</u>	Examination Hearing.
<b>62 – SP13</b>	<b>Paragraph 7</b>	Delete the paragraph	In order for the policy to be clearer in conformity with the NPPF and after discussions at the Examination Hearing.
<b>63– SP13</b>	<b>Insert new paragraph after paragraph 17</b>	Insert a new paragraph after paragraph 17 which would read as follows; <u>The Council will review the requirement for employment land in the review of the Local Plan and will, at that time, take a holistic approach to the evidence base. This will include looking at trends and combining this with market signals and intelligence, consultation and public information, evidence of oversupply or market failure. This will be part of the review of the Local Plan to be submitted by April 2022 and if additional employment land is required or is needed to be taken out of the Plan it will be considered at that time.</u>	In order for the policy to be clearer concerning what will happen in the review of the local plan, in conformity with the NPPF and after discussions at the Examination Hearing.
<b>63 – SP13</b>	<b>Clause 1</b>	Amend the Clause so that it reads as follows;  Building on the role of the inland towns as the focus for business development by identifying and protecting additional land for employment <u>uses B1, B2 and B8. The following will be allocated in the Settlement Proposals DPD and Alford Neighbourhood Development Plan:</u> <del>in the Settlement Proposals DPD in</del>	In order for the policy to be clearer in conformity with the NPPF and after discussions at the Examination Hearing

			<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Alford – 1 hectare</li> <li>• Coningsby/Tattershall - 1 hectare</li> <li>• Horncastle – 5 hectares</li> <li>• Spilsby – 3 hectares</li> <li>• Louth –14 hectares</li> </ul>	
<b>63 – SP13</b>	<b>New clauses after Clause 1 – 1i and 1ii</b>	<p>Insert the following new clauses after clause 1 which would read as follows;</p> <p><u>1i. The existing employment sites will be identified in the Settlement proposals DPD and will be safeguarded for employment purposes. Development will be supported for B1, B2 and B8 uses.</u></p> <p><u>1ii. On the Louth industrial estate the Council will firstly support uses for B1, B2 and B8. The Council will also support uses for leisure, business/office and retail providing it complies with all of the following criteria;</u></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• <u>It can be demonstrated that no suitable alternative site is available for the use within the town centre or edge of centre or nearer the town centre than the site proposed.</u></li> <li>• <u>It can be demonstrated that the site has been actively marketed for a B1, B2 or B8 use for a period of no less than 12 months.</u></li> <li>• <u>Retail will be supported providing development also conforms to the criteria set out in Strategic Policy SP14 of this Plan.</u></li> </ul>	In order for the policy to be clearer in conformity with the NPPF and after discussions at the Examination Hearing	
<b>64 – SP13</b>	<b>Clause 6</b>	<p>Amend the last clause by inserting a sentence into it to clarify which settlements are covered by the terms of the clause. It would read as follows;</p> <p>Supporting businesses in all the named settlements <u>as set out in Policy SP1</u>, (including homes based activities) that operate primarily, but not exclusively, through electronic communication.</p>	In order for the policy to be clearer in conformity with the NPPF and after discussions at the Examination Hearing	



## DIVERSE ECONOMY, TOWN/VILLAGE CENTRES AND SHOPPING – (SP14)

MM15	66 – SP14	Paragraph 2	Delete Coningsby/Tattershall from the list of settlements.	In order for the policy to be clearer in conformity with the NPPF and after discussions at the Examination Hearing
	66 – SP14	3	In the second sentence of the paragraph remove “ <del>but will support in the secondary frontages</del> ” and add in at the end of the sentence <u>but will support amusements in the defined coastal amusement areas as shown in the Settlement Proposals Document.</u> Remove the last sentence of the paragraph which reads <del>where appropriate, areas designated for these uses will be identified in the Settlement Proposals DPD.</del>	In order for the policy to be clearer in conformity with the NPPF and after discussions at the Examination Hearing
	67 – SP14	Paragraph 9	Insert a sentence in after the words “no adverse impact” to clarify that the national tests in the NPPF still do apply. Also add some general design criteria so it is clear what is expected of developments. The paragraph would be worded as follows; <u>Over and above the impact test requirements set out paragraph 26 of the NPPF,</u> as part of any impact assessment such proposals will also require evidence to show that they are accessible to, and well connected to the centre and that they will generate the linked trips necessary to ensure the future viability and vitality of the town. <u>The design of such schemes should also ensure that they are connected to the town centre in that they should not turn their back on the town centre, should be an integral part of the character of the street scene, incorporating parking so that it does not dominate the street scene.</u>	In order for the policy to be clearer in conformity with the NPPF and after discussions at the Examination Hearing
	67 – SP14	Paragraph 11	Insert an additional sentence at the end of the paragraph which would read as follows; <u>The exception to this will be Coningsby/Tattershall. These two settlements though forming a single urban unit have their own individual centres which in themselves do not operate like a town, in that they do not have the level of shops and facilities as individuals that you would find in what is classed as a town. They do not operate within a clearly defined single town centre and therefore in the Plan do not have a town centre boundary. Therefore the town centre aspects of this policy do not apply to this settlement and clause 10 of this policy will apply. The Council will monitor the impact of this over the review period of the Plan and if there</u>	In order for the policy to be clearer about the review of the policy in conformity with the NPPF and after discussions at the Examination Hearing

			<a href="#">appears to be an impact on the town the Council will reassess whether the town requires a town centre boundary.</a>	
<b>67 &amp; 68 - SP14</b>	<b>11, 12</b>	Delete the words " <del>and secondary</del> " from paragraph 11  Delete Paragraph 12		In order for the policy to be clearer in conformity with the NPPF and after discussions at the Examination Hearing
<b>68 - SP14</b>	<b>Paragraph 15</b>	Insert clarification in the paragraph on what retail uses will be supported linked back to the criteria set out in SP13 - Inland Employment, with the following words;  <a href="#">In order to protect employment land for their primary industrial uses of B1, B2 and B8, retail uses will not be supported unless there are exceptional and justified reasons for doing so, <del>In order to protect industrial estates for their primary industrial use, retail uses will not be supported unless there are exceptional and justified reasons for doing so</del> and it can be shown that the viability and vitality of nearby centres will not be undermined as a result. <del>Exceptions will be considered for:-</del> <a href="#">Employment land where retail will be supported will be subject to the criteria set out in Strategic Policy SP13 and in this policy, this particularly applies to Louth which historically has supported more retail uses as part of the overall use of the employment land in that settlement.</a></a>		In order for the policy to be clearer in conformity with the NPPF and after discussions at the Examination Hearing
<b>68 - SP14</b>	<b>16</b>	Within Paragraph 16 it is proposed that the 4th sentence is removed in its entirety which reads as follows:  <del>"The Council will support tourism based business in secondary shopping areas including cafes, pubs, restaurants and hot food takeaways in the coastal towns, with the exception of coastal amusements.</del>		
<b>69 - SP 14</b>	<b>New paragraphs after 19 called 19i and 19ii</b>	Insert two new paragraphs after 19, setting out why the Council has not allocated new retail leisure or office sites in the plan and setting out the work to be carried out in the review of the policy. The paragraphs will be as follows;		In order for the policy to be clearer about the review of the policy in conformity with the NPPF and

		<p><a href="#">19i. The Council has not in this Plan allocated sites within the town centres, or edge of centres of Louth and Horncastle for retail, leisure or office uses. This is because of the small amount of retail need and the fragile nature of the town centres. Their viability and vitality needs to be protected and this is considered to be best carried out at the present time through the assessment of the impact of individual applications; The Alford Neighbourhood Plan should assess the need within that settlement.</a></p> <p><a href="#">19ii. The Council will however, carry out a full review of policy SP14 – Shopping by April 2022. This review will include Retail and Economic Assessments for all the towns across the District and if this evidence concludes there is an identified need which has not come forward during the period of the review, site allocations will be made for the plan period in connection with retail, leisure, office and other main town centre uses.</a></p>	after discussions at the Examination Hearing
<b>69 – SP14</b>	<b>Bold text above clause 1</b>	Remove <del>Coningsby, Tattershall</del> from the list of settlements and delete the reference to <del>secondary shopping frontages</del> . Add in reference to <a href="#">leisure, office, tourism, cultural services</a> in the list of development that the Council will support,	In order for the policy to be clearer in conformity with the NPPF and after discussions at the Examination Hearing
<b>70 – SP14</b>	<b>Clauses 3 and 7</b>	Criterion 3 and 7 of Policy SP14 are to be removed in their entirety as they relate to secondary frontages.	In order for the policy to be clearer in conformity with the NPPF and after discussions at the Examination Hearing
<b>69 – SP14</b>	<b>Clause 1</b>	Add in <a href="#">office, tourism, leisure, commercial</a> in the list in the clause	In order for the policy to be clearer in conformity with the NPPF and after discussions at the Examination Hearing
<b>70 – SP14</b>	<b>Clause 5</b>	Add in text to clarify the wording to the clause reads as follows;  Requiring proposals for retail, <a href="#">leisure and office</a> development in 'edge of	In order for the policy to be clearer in conformity with the

			<p>centre', or out of centre <del>locations in the towns</del> with a floor space in excess of 1000 sqm net <del>to shall</del> include an impact assessment <u>which must demonstrate</u>;</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• <u>That the proposal will not be detrimental to existing, committed and planned public and private investment in a centre or centres in the catchment area of the proposal.</u></li> <li>• <u>That the proposal will not harm town centre vitality and viability, including local consumer choice and trade in the town centre and the wider area, up to five years from the time the application is made.</u></li> <li>• <u>For major schemes where the full impact will not be realised in five years, the impact should also be assessed up to ten years from the time the application is made.</u></li> <li>• <u>That the design of any proposal connects to the town centre in that it should not turn its back on the town centre; be an integral part of the character of the street scene, incorporating parking so that it does not dominate the street scene</u></li> </ul>	NPPF and after discussions at the Examination Hearing
	<b>70 – SP14</b>	<b>Clause 11</b>	Alter the words <del>industrial-estates</del> to <u>employment land</u> . This brings the description of this land in line with that in policy SP13	In order for the policy to be clearer in conformity with the NPPF and after discussions at the Examination Hearing
	<b>70 – SP14</b>	<b>Clause 11</b>	<p>Insert a new bullet point which sets out what policy criteria apply to the Louth employment land, linked back to policy SP13.</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• <u>The exception to this is the Louth employment land where retail development will be supported in conformity with Clause 1ii of Strategic Policy SP13 and clause 5 set out above.</u></li> </ul>	In order for the policy to be clearer in conformity with the NPPF and after discussions at the Examination Hearing

### WIDENING THE INLAND TOURISM AND LEISURE ECONOMY – (SP15)

<b>MM16</b>	<b>75 - SP15</b>	<b>Clause 2, last bullet point</b>	Delete the last bullet relating to flood risk, all development should pass the tests set out in the NPPF with regard to this type of development	In order for the policy to be clearer in conformity with the
-------------	------------------	------------------------------------	--	--

				NPPF and after discussions at the Examination Hearing
	<b>75 - SP15</b>	<b>Clause 3</b>	In order to marry up with paragraph 7, delete <del>in or adjoining</del> and replace with <u>in close proximity to</u> ; delete <del>and they are connected to the existing settlement by road and footpath</del> and replace it with <u>and they have safe access to the relevant settlement with vehicles and pedestrians being segregated</u> .	In order for the policy to be clearer in conformity with the NPPF and after discussions at the Examination Hearing

### INLAND FLOOD RISK (POLICY SP16)

No	Page	Paragraph	Change	Reason
<b>MM17</b>	<b>77 - SP16</b>	<b>Paragraph 4</b>	Delete the last sentence of the paragraph <del>The Council believes it is important to support development for business, leisure and commercial uses in areas of inland flood risk</del> . and insert a new paragraph to follow which would read; <u>The Council believes it is important to support development for business, leisure and commercial uses in the towns and large villages where commercial activity coincides with flood risk. Directing development away from these areas could result in sites becoming empty and blighted, causing visual and amenity harm. Therefore, it will be accepted that the sequential test is satisfied where it can be demonstrated that accommodating the development on sequentially safer sites would undermine the commercial integrity of an existing commercial area</u>	To provide clarity for soundness in line with the NPPF and as discussed at the Examination hearings.
	<b>78 - SP16</b>	<b>Paragraph 12</b>	Insert the words <u>large villages, medium and small villages</u> after the word towns in the 6 <sup>th</sup> sentence of the paragraph.	To provide clarity for soundness in line with the NPPF and as discussed at the Examination hearings.
	<b>78 - SP16</b>	<b>Clause 1</b>	Delete the clause <del>The Council will support development for business, leisure and commercial uses in areas of inland flood risk providing it incorporates flood mitigation measures in its design</del> . Rewrite to read; <u>The Council will support development for business, leisure and commercial uses in areas of inland flood risk where it can be demonstrated that</u>	To provide clarity for soundness in line with the NPPF and as discussed at the Examination hearings.

			<a href="#">accommodating the development on a sequentially safer site would undermine the overall commercial integrity of the existing area. Such developments must incorporate flood mitigation measures in their design</a>	
<b>78 &amp; 79 - SP16</b>	<b>Clause 2</b>	Delete <del>The Council will support housing in areas of inland flood risk providing the following criteria can be demonstrated</del> and replace it with <a href="#">The Council will support housing in areas of inland flood risk, providing all the following criteria are complied with;</a>	To provide clarity for soundness in line with the NPPF and as discussed at the Examination hearings.	
<b>79 - SP16</b>	<b>Clause 3</b>	Insert the words <a href="#">large villages, medium and small villages</a> after the word towns To provide clarity for soundness in line with the NPPF and as discussed at the Examination hearings.	To provide clarity for soundness in line with the NPPF and as discussed at the Examination hearings.	
<b>79 - SP16</b>	<b>Clause 6</b>	Remove the word <del>and foul</del> as these are repeated in Clause 9.	To provide clarity for soundness in line with the NPPF and as discussed at the Examination hearings.	
<b>79 - SP16</b>	<b>Clause 9</b>	Insert the words " <a href="#">or that it can be provided in time to serve the development</a> " at the end of point 9 in the policy.	To provide clarity for soundness in line with the NPPF and as discussed at the Examination hearings.	
<b>79 - SP16</b>	<b>Clause 11</b>	Reword the Clause so that it is clearer and reads; <a href="#">Where required by national planning policy development proposals in areas at risk of flooding must be accompanied by a site-specific flood risk assessment.</a> Delete the following; <del>All development must be accompanied by a site specific flood risk assessment in line with national policy.</del>	To provide clarity for soundness in line with the NPPF and as discussed at the Examination hearings.	

## COASTAL EAST LINDSEY (POLICIES SP17 - 21)

MM	Page	Paragraph	Change	Reason
<b>MM18</b>	<b>86 - SP18</b>	<b>7</b>	Rewrite the paragraph to make it clearer as follows;	To ensure that the Plan conforms with

			The Council must also support <a href="#">specialist</a> housing for specific identified vulnerable or minority groups and so, with regard to this type of housing, believes that it is justifiable to step outside the restricted housing provision. <del>These types of housing provision will be linked with the Council's Adopted Housing Strategy 2013—2018 and any subsequent adopted strategy. To ensure that any housing connected with the Housing Strategy does only go to those residents in need who are already living in the Coastal Zone, allocation of these types of housing development will be subject to a cascade local connection policy as set out in Annex 1 of this plan.</del> This type of housing cannot be met from within the existing stock. <a href="#">All new affordable housing and housing for vulnerable or minority groups in the Coastal Zone will be subject to the cascade local connection criteria set out in Annex 1 of this Plan.</a>	the NPPF in that it provides clarity and discussed at the examination hearings
	<b>86 - SP18</b>	<b>Clause 3</b>	Delete; <del>The Council will support housing for specific identified vulnerable or minority groups and affordable housing, beyond that of the above housing provision, providing there is an evidenced local need as shown within the Council's Housing Register. All new affordable housing in the Coastal Zone will be subject to the cascade local connection criteria set out in Annex 1 of this plan.</del> Rewrite the clause so that it reads; <a href="#">"In addition to the housing permitted by clauses 1 and 2 above, the Council will support affordable housing and specialised forms of housing for vulnerable or minority groups in the towns and large villages in the Coastal Zone, providing there is an evidenced local need. All new affordable housing and housing for vulnerable or minority groups in the Coastal Zone will be subject to the cascade local connection criteria set out in Annex 1 of this Plan.</a>	To ensure that the Plan conforms with the NPPF in that it provides clarity and discussed at the examination hearings
<b>MM19</b>	<b>87 - SP19</b>	<b>Add an additional paragraph after paragraph 1</b>	An additional paragraph which sets out how the Council is going to achieve its aim of growing the holiday accommodation in the Coast. This would read; <a href="#">The Council produces a report every year called the STEAM report which analyses the holiday provision and the tourism economy on the coast. The tourism economy has grown since 2014 and the Council would expect this to continue over the Plan period, this will be monitored by a number of indicators including the results of the STEAM report.</a>	To ensure that the Plan conforms with the NPPF in that it provides clarity and discussed at the examination hearings
	<b>87 - SP19</b>	<b>Paragraph 2</b>	Insert an additional sentence at the beginning of the paragraph which reads; <a href="#">The areas which have the greatest predominance of hotels and bed</a>	To ensure that the Plan conforms with

			<a href="#">and breakfast accommodation are called the Service Holiday Accommodation Areas. It is important to protect these areas as they support the tourism industry as a whole providing valuable holiday overnight stay provision.</a>	the NPPF in that it provides clarity and discussed at the examination hearings
<b>87 &amp; 88 - SP19</b>	<b>Para 3 and Map of Protected open space</b>	Amend the paragraph from the plan.  Whilst the Council supports development that adds to the tourism and employment opportunities on the coast, it will seek to limit the negative environmental impacts resulting from this type of development, in particular the area <del>between Chapel St Leonards and Ingoldmells and between Ingoldmells and Addlethorpe to the east of Addlethorpe</del> will be protected from any further caravan development during the plan period to prevent further coalescence of these settlements and place a level of protection on this green open space <del>between the settlements</del> , as set out in Map below.  Amend the map from the Plan so that it only shows the area to the east of Addlethorpe.		To ensure that the Plan conforms with the NPPF in that it provides clarity and discussed at the examination hearings
<b>89 &amp; 90 - SP19</b>	<b>Paragraph 9</b>	Add on an additional sentence onto the end of the paragraph as follows; <a href="#">Where it is proposed to extend or redevelop an existing site with a different occupancy period, providing that the development would not increase the number of caravans, log cabins or chalets on the site, the occupancy limits in this policy will be applied flexibly so that no disadvantage should result.</a>		To ensure that the Plan conforms with the NPPF in that it provides clarity and discussed at the examination hearings
<b>90 - SP19</b>	<b>Paragraph 10</b>	Remove the paragraph		To ensure that the Plan conforms with the NPPF in that it provides clarity and discussed at the examination hearings
<b>90 &amp; 91 - SP19</b>	<b>Clause 4</b>	Delete the clause. <del>No further caravan development will be permitted in the area between Chapel St Leonards and Ingoldmells and to the east of Addlethorpe to prevent further coalescence of these settlements and place a level of protection on this green open space between the settlements;</del> <b>Erratum.</b> The above section is an error and the modification should read:-		To ensure that the Plan conforms with the NPPF in that it provides clarity and discussed at the



			Amend the Clause to read 'No further caravan development will be permitted in the area <a href="#">between Ingoldmells and Addlethorpe</a> to prevent further coalescence of these settlements and place a level of protection on this green open space between them.	examination hearings
	<b>91 - SP19</b>	<b>Clause 7 added at the end of the Clause</b>	Added an additional sentence onto the end of clause 7 to ensure flexibility if a caravan site wishes to redevelop or extend and does not increase the overall net number of caravans. The Clause would read as follows;  ....Sunday, <a href="#">except where it is proposed to extend or redevelop an existing site with a different occupancy period, and no net increase or an overall reduction by an improved layout or density in the number of caravans, log cabins or chalets would result. In such cases, the occupancy period will be applied to the whole site.</a>	To ensure that the Plan conforms with the NPPF in that it provides clarity and discussed at the examination hearings
	<b>90 - SP19</b>	<b>Clause 1</b>	Add onto the end of the first sentence , <a href="#">providing proposals do not have any ground floor sleeping accommodation</a>	To ensure that the Plan conforms with the NPPF in that it provides clarity and discussed at the examination hearings
	<b>91 - SP19</b>	<b>Clause 8</b>	Remove the clause <del>In designated areas set out in the Councils Strategic Flood Risk Assessment holiday occupation all year will be supported for a limited period of 20 years. This will be secured via planning condition to allow an opportunity to reassess the impacts of climate change in 20 years time.</del>	To ensure that the Plan conforms with the NPPF in that it provides clarity and discussed at the examination hearings
<b>MM20</b>	<b>92 - SP20</b>	<b>Clause 2</b>	Insert the word <a href="#">Skegness Foreshore</a> into the first sentence.	To ensure that the Plan conforms with the NPPF in that it provides clarity and discussed at the examination hearings
<b>MM21</b>	<b>93 - SP21</b>	<b>Paragraph 1</b>	Rewrite the paragraph so that it reads as follows;  The Council has assessed the need for additional employment land through	To ensure that the Plan conforms with the NPPF in that it

			<p>its Employment Land Review. That document indicates that the anticipated demand in Skegness over the Plan period can be met through <a href="#">safeguarding the existing employment site</a> on Wainfleet Road (approx. 30ha) and a site on Burgh Road site (approx. 9.5ha). Both sites have the benefit of planning permission and access roads have been provided.</p> <p><a href="#">Evidence shows that there is no need to consider making a further allocation of employment land in Mablethorpe due to a lack of demand over the previous plan period, though this will continue to be monitored during the review period of the Plan. Both the sites in Skegness and the existing employment land in Mablethorpe will be set out as safeguarded employment land in the Settlement Proposals DPD. As such they will be safeguarded for uses B1, B2 and B8, excepting a site to be allocated for a transit Gypsy/Traveller site on the Mablethorpe employment land. With regard to retailing on employment land in the Coastal Zone this will be supported but only where it is ancillary to the main industrial use and/or provides an essential service to the employee community on the site. The Council will support any proposals to bring the sites forward. For consistency this matter is dealt with in Strategic Policy 12 (SP12) – Employment.</a></p>	provides clarity and discussed at the examination hearings
<b>93 – SP21</b>	<b>New Clause 1 and 2</b>	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. <a href="#">The Council will safeguard 39.5 ha of employment land in Skegness. The existing employment land in Mablethorpe will be safeguarded and shown as employment land less an area for a Gypsy/Traveller Transit site. This will be set out in the Settlement Proposals DPD. The Council will support B1, B2 and B8 uses on these sites.</a></li> <li>2. <a href="#">Proposals for retailing will only be supported on employment land where;</a> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• <a href="#">The sale of goods that are ancillary to the main employment use, or</a></li> <li>• <a href="#">The use provides an essential service to the employee community of the site.</a></li> </ul> </li> <li>3. The Council will support the rural coastal economy .....</li> </ol>	To ensure that the Plan conforms with the NPPF in that it provides clarity and discussed at the examination hearings	

## TRANSPORT AND ACCESSIBILITY (POLICY SP22)

No	Page	Paragraph	Change	Reason
MM22	97 – SP22	Clause 6	<p>Amend the clause so that it reads as follows;</p> <p>Requiring all housing developments to provide a minimum of one parking space per dwelling <del>with the exception of town centre sites, which may not need to provide any.</del> <u>except in the case of infill and redevelopment plots within the defined town centres, where it can be demonstrated that;</u></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li><u>Providing no car parking would not be detrimental to road safety or the flow of traffic; or</u></li> <li><u>That the provision of parking space would be harmful to the character of the area.</u></li> </ul>	To clarify the minimum provision of parking expected of development and the exception to this in town centre locations, in conformity with the NPPF and as discussed at the examination hearing.

## THE NATURAL ENVIRONMENT (POLICIES SP23-25)

MM	Page	Paragraph	Change	Reason
MM23	101 – SP23	Clause 1	<p><del><b>Delete the clause and rewrite in two separate clauses –</b>The District’s landscapes will be protected, enhanced, used and managed to provide an attractive and healthy working and living environment which allows for greater public access to the countryside and naturalistic coast, supports visitors to the District, helps provide additional employment opportunities, and adds to the health and quality of rural communities. Development will be guided by the District’s Landscape Character Assessment and landscapes defined as highly sensitive will be afforded the greatest protection</del></p> <p><u>1. The District’s landscapes will be protected, enhanced, used and managed to provide an attractive and healthy working and living environment. Development will be guided by the District’s Landscape Character Assessment and landscapes defined as highly sensitive will be afforded the greatest protection.</u></p>	This makes the paragraph clearer and more positive and provides greater clarity for development management decisions. This was noted up by one of the development management officers during the consultation. in conformity with the

			<a href="#">1i. Development will be supported where it allows for greater public access to the countryside and naturalistic coast, supports visitors to the District and helps provide additional employment opportunities, provided this does not compromise landscape quality or the biodiversity objectives of the plan.</a>	NPPF.
<b>MM24</b>	<b>102 – SP24</b>	<b>4</b>	<p><a href="#">Delete paragraph 4 and reword it as follows “There are a number of sites recognised at a local level for their nature conservation or geological value. These sites have been identified for features including their rarity, diversity, fragility or their typicalness in the local biodiversity or geodiversity of the District. The Council will seek to protect these sites when assessing development proposals. The important sites that meet the selection criteria published by the Greater Lincolnshire Nature Partnership are called Local Wildlife Sites (LWSs) or Local Geological Sites (LGSs). There remain sites from the previous regime of designation, Sites of Nature Conservation Importance (SNCI) and Regionally Important Geological Sites (RIGS), which have not yet been tested against the new criteria published by the GLNP. Until such time as these sites have been resurveyed and an assessment made of their current ability to meet the criteria, these sites remain designated and this policy still applies to them”</a></p> <p><a href="#">Add to the end of the above paragraph “The Council will keep the evidence base up to date throughout the lifetime of the Plan including a list of sites and any changes to the evidence base in the Annual Authority Monitoring Report.”</a></p>	This was raised by the Greater Lincolnshire Nature Partnership who believed that the paragraph was confused and lacked clarity and that some facts were in correct. Rewording the paragraph overcomes this matter and adds correction and clarity to the policy, as discussed at the examination in conformity with the NPPF.
	<b>103 – SP24</b>	<b>6</b>	<a href="#">Add onto the end of the paragraph; The Council will monitor the impact of local plan policies and proposals and visitors at the above relevant sites by assessing visitor access each year to see how and if they increase and will take appropriate action to mitigate any effects.</a>	To ensure that the Plan conforms with the NPPF in that it provides clarity and discussed at the examination hearings
	<b>103 – SP24</b>	<b>7</b>	<a href="#">Insert the words at the end of paragraph 7 “At the time of writing the Plan, East Lindsey is not within a Nature Improvement Area (NIA) but work on this is ongoing. Where development is within a Nature Improvement Area</a>	This was raised by the Greater Lincolnshire Nature Partnership

			<a href="#">(NIA) it should contribute to the aims and aspirations of the NIA."</a>	who pointed out the NIAs could come forward during the lifetime of the Local Plan. The insertion of an additional sentence at the end of paragraph 7 covers that eventuality, as discussed at the examination.
	<b>105 – SP24</b>	<b>Clause 5</b>	Insert the words " <a href="#">exceptional circumstances</a> " and " <a href="#">clearly</a> " into the last sentence	This was raised by the Woodland Trust through the consultation, the word "clearly" is used in paragraph 118 of the NPPF, by using the word in policy 24 (SP24) it better mirrors the strong protection given in national policy as discussed at the examination.

## OPEN SPACE, SPORT AND RECREATION (POLICY SP26)

No	Page	Paragraph	Change	Reason
<b>MM25</b>	<b>110 &amp; 111 – SP26</b>	<b>8</b>	Delete paragraph 8 and insert a new paragraph which reads:  <del>The audit of Sport and Recreation facilities has identified a district wide deficiency in the level of outdoor sport and recreation provision. Residential development increases the demand for open space, recreation and sports facilities and this in turn has a cumulative impact on the level of existing</del>	To clarify the Councils position with regards to future provision of sports and recreation/open space facilities/land. This

		<p><del>provision. Therefore, if development is proposed in areas where there is a deficiency in existing provision or in a situation where the development itself will create an issue of deficiency, the Council will seek to prevent the loss of these important community spaces. We will encourage, and where necessary require, the provision of new or improved open space, recreational or outdoor sports facilities. The type and nature of the open space will depend on the scale and nature of the development. Open space provision can cover a range of types and may include the requirement for equipped play for younger children, as well as more formal sport and recreation provision and informal areas for less structured activity. The Council will be guided by the Fields in Trust Standards in assessing the level and type of need. On large scale developments, facilities will be provided in step with population growth and will be designed as an integral part of the development. The developer will also need to show how new open space facilities will be maintained.</del></p> <p><u>8. The audit of Sport and Recreation facilities has identified a district wide deficiency in the level of outdoor sport and recreation provision. Residential development increases the demand for open space, recreation and sports facilities and this in turn has a cumulative impact on the level of existing provision. Therefore, if development is proposed in areas where there is a deficiency in existing provision or in a situation where the development itself will create an issue of deficiency, the Council will require the provision of new or improved open space, recreational or outdoor sports facilities on developments of 10 and above.</u></p> <p>Insert two new paragraphs after 8, called 8i and 8ii which would read;</p> <p><u>8i. The Council will assess the level of provision required on a site by site basis using the number and type of houses proposed, the level of existing population and the amount and type of existing open space/sport provision within the settlement. The standards set out below, based on the Fields in Trust Standards, will be used in determining the level of provision required from new development. On site provision is preferable but where this is not feasible off site contributions for improving the quality of existing provision within the accessibility standard ranges as set out below will be considered.</u></p>	<p>was raised as an issue during the Examination Hearing in conformity with the NPPF</p>
--	--	---	--

Open space provision can cover a range of types and may include the requirement for equipped play for younger children, as well as more formal sport and recreation provision and informal areas for less structured activity. The application of these standards will reflect the local circumstances in the individual settlement where the development is proposed.

Open Space, Sport and recreation standards

Open Space typology	Quantity Guideline (hectares per 1,000 population)	Walking Guideline (walking distance: metres from dwellings)
Playing pitches	1.20	1,200m
All outdoor sports	1.60	1,200m
Equipped/designated play areas	0.25	LAP's - 100m LEAP's - 400m NEAP's - 1,000m
Other outdoor provision (MUGAs and skateboard parks)	0.30	700m
Amenity Green Space	0.60	480m

Application Standards for equipped/designated play space

Scale of development	Local Area for Play (LAP)	Locally Equipped Area for Play (LEAP)	Neighbourhood Equipped Area for Play (NEAP)	Multi-Use Games Area (MUGA)
10-200	✓	✓		✓
201-500	✓	✓	✓	✓
501+	✓	✓	✓	✓

8ii. On large-scale developments, facilities will be provided in step with population growth and will be designed as an integral part of the

			<a href="#">development. The developer will also need to show how new open space facilities will be maintained.</a>	
<b>111 – SP26</b>	<b>Clause 1</b>	<p>Delete clause 1 of policy SP26 - <del><b>Development resulting in the loss of indoor and outdoor sports and recreational facilities or open spaces will only be supported where:</b></del></p> <p><del><b>In respect of buildings:</b></del></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li><del>• <b>The building has been demonstrated to be redundant for a sports use through a robust and up to date assessment of need and has been marketed for at least 12 months at a price that reflects its condition and market value.</b></del></li> <li><del>• <b>The facilities are to be replaced by improved facilities that help meet the sporting/recreational needs of the District and optimises access by sustainable transport modes.</b></del></li> </ul> <p><del><b>In respect of outdoor sports/recreational facilities and open space:</b></del></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li><del>• <b>The land has been marketed as detailed above or an alternative site or facility of an equivalent size has been secured in a suitable and accessible location.</b></del></li> </ul> <p>and replace with the following:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. <a href="#">Development resulting in the loss of indoor or outdoor sports and recreational facilities or open spaces will only be supported where:</a></li> </ol> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• <a href="#">The building or land has been demonstrated to be redundant for a sports use through a robust and up to date assessment of need and has been marketed for at least 12 months at a price that reflects its condition and market value.</a></li> </ul> <p style="text-align: center;"><a href="#">Or</a></p>	<p>To combine the criterion in clause 1 of policy SP26 and reinforce the approach to loss of sport and recreational facilities as set out in paragraph 74 of the NPPF. This issue was raised during the Examination Hearing in conformity with the NPPF.</p>	



			<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li><a href="#">The building or land is to be replaced by improved facilities offering equivalent provision that helps meet the sporting/recreational needs of the District and optimises access by sustainable transport modes.</a></li> </ul> <p style="text-align: center;"><u>Or</u></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li><a href="#">The development is for alternative sports and recreational provision, the needs for which clearly outweigh the loss.</a></li> </ul>	
	<b>111 &amp; 112 – SP26</b>	<b>Clause 2 – new clause 2</b>	<p>Delete clause 2 of policy SP26 in its entirety and replace with the following text:</p> <p><del>New residential development and extensions to existing residential developments should contribute to the provision and improvement of the quality, quantity and accessibility of sports and recreational facilities along with open space, sport and recreation standards into the policy; in order to meet the needs it generates. This should be in accordance with local standards set within the open space, recreational and sports facilities assessment study (or subsequent approved revisions).</del> <a href="#">New residential developments of 10 and over should provide quality and accessible sports and recreational facilities in order to meet the need it generates in line with the standards set out above in paragraph 8i of the supporting text.</a></p>	To add clarity for developers and interested parties as to what level of provision will be necessary as part of a development. This issue was raised during the Examination Hearing in conformity with the NPPF

### MATTER 19 – RENEWABLE AND LOW CARBON ENERGY (POLICY SP27)

No				
MM26	<b>114 – SP27</b>	<b>3</b>	<p>Delete the last sentence of the paragraph <del>“It is considered that any significant commercial energy infrastructure is likely to create an unacceptable impact within the Wolds.”</del></p>	Raised during the publication consultation by the Viking Link as unsound, which the Council agrees with, the deletion of this sentence does not change the overall

				context of the paragraph. Discussed at the Examination hearing, in conformity with the NPPF.
<b>116 - SP27</b>	<b>9</b>	Delete the last sentence <del>"Outside of these areas, the Council will apply the policy in consideration of the more detailed development management elements of wind energy development proposals."</del> Add after the third sentence of paragraph 9 <u>In accordance with the Ministerial Statement, the Council has assessed the District in relation to its suitability for wind turbine development. Having regard to various constraints, most of the district is potentially suitable with the exception of areas D1 (Wainfleet Wash Saltmarsh) and K1 (Donna Nook to Gibraltar Point Naturalistic Coast) in the Council's Landscape Character Assessment (see map below). These areas are identified as having a high sensitivity to change and also contain internationally protected sites for biodiversity. Therefore the whole District is identified as suitable for wind energy development at a strategic level, with the exception of areas D1 and K1. As individual sites are brought forward, the requirement of the second clause of the Ministerial Statement in respect of the planning impacts of individual sites will then be assessed against the criteria in the policy. The area suitable for wind energy is shown on the Policies Map below.</u>		To comply with the Ministerial Statement on Wind Energy in conformity with the NPPF and as discussed at the examination.
<b>117 - SP27</b>	<b>Para 14</b>	Delete the reference in the second sentence of the paragraph to the code for sustainable homes this is not applicable now.  Sustainable development is about considerably more than reducing carbon emissions and <del>the Code for Sustainable Homes and</del> other methods for assessing development are about a whole range of issues, including but not wholly related to the production and use of energy.		To ensure the policy is clear and to be in conformity with the NPPF.
<b>117 - SP27</b>	<b>Clause 1</b>	Add in a new clause underneath Clause 1 called Clause 1i which would read as follows;  <u>1i. Wind energy developments will be supported in the areas shown on the policies map, provided where their individual or cumulative impact is,</u>		To ensure the policy is clear and to be in conformity with the NPPF and to marry up with the text I the

			<a href="#">when weighed against the benefits, considered to be acceptable in relation to the criteria a) to g) set out at Clause 1 above.</a>	supporting paragraphs.
--	--	--	--	------------------------

## INFRASTRUCTURE AND S106 OBLIGATIONS (POLICY SP28)

No	Page	Paragraph	Change	Reason
MM27	120 – SP28	6	<p>Add the following text to the end of paragraph 6 of the supporting text.</p> <p><a href="#">The IDP will also serve to inform decisions on developer contributions, and will assist with the decision making process for planning applications in the district.</a></p>	To add clarity within the supporting text to policy SP28 regarding the role of the IDP in the planning decision process and informing developer contributions in conformity with the NPPF and as discussed at the examination hearing.
	121 – SP28	<b>New Paragraph after 9</b>	<p>Insert a new paragraph on page 121 of the supporting text all subsequent paragraphs to be renumbered accordingly. The new paragraph is to read as follows:</p> <p><a href="#">Whilst not an exhaustive list where necessary major developments of 10 or more dwellings and other major schemes will be required to contribute to:</a></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• <a href="#">Roads and other transport facilities,</a></li> <li>• <a href="#">flood defences,</a></li> <li>• <a href="#">schools and other educational facilities,</a></li> <li>• <a href="#">medical facilities,</a></li> <li>• <a href="#">sporting and recreational facilities, and/or</a></li> <li>• <a href="#">open spaces.</a></li> </ul>	To add clarity as to what contributions are likely to be sought on developments of 10 and above where necessary in conformity with the NPPF and as discussed at the examination hearing.

<p><b>121 – SP28</b></p>	<p><b>New Paragraph after 16</b></p>	<p>New paragraph to be added to the end of the supporting text which is to read as follows:</p> <p><u>The Council will continue to monitor infrastructure requirements across the lifetime of the Plan. The IDP will be reviewed yearly to ensure information contained within it remains relevant and clearly represents the infrastructure requirements of the District.</u></p>	<p>To reaffirm Councils commitment to reviewing both the evidence base and policy to ensure it remains up to date and relevant in conformity with the NPPF and as discussed at the examination hearing.</p>
<p><b>122 – SP28</b></p>	<p><b>Clause 4</b></p>	<p>Rewrite the clause so that it reads as follows;</p> <p><del>Where appropriate, developer contributions will be sought towards the delivery of infrastructure where it is shown to be necessary for the development to proceed, and where it will not compromise the viability of the scheme.</del> <u>Developer contributions on major schemes (10 or more dwellings or major other development) will be sought towards the delivery of infrastructure where it is shown to be necessary for the development to proceed</u></p>	<p>To add clarity as to what contributions are likely to be sought on developments of 10 and above where necessary in conformity with the NPPF and as discussed at the examination hearing</p>

## NEW POLICY – STRATEGIC POLICY 29 (SP29) REVIEWING THE LOCAL PLAN

No	Page	Paragraph	Change	Reason
MM28	122	New Policy after Policy 28	<p><u>Set out below is a new policy and supporting text at the end of the Plan which sets out the review process, this will make it clearer in the Plan when this will be and around what and brings together the various strands of the review from the other policies</u></p> <p><u>Strategic Policy 29 (SP29) Reviewing the Local Plan</u></p> <p><u>1. The Local Plan runs from 2017 – 2031, but there are some policies in the Plan which mean that the Council is taking a different policy direction from the past, most notably the policies around flood risk and housing restrictions along the coast. It is important that this is monitored and there is a clear pathway to reviewing the Plan against any impacts on the Coastal Zone and inland from these policies.</u></p> <p><u>2. Alongside the coastal policies, housing is an important factor which must be considered in relation to both inland East Lindsey and the coast. Part of any monitoring and further work to be undertaken is a review of the District`s objectively assessed need for housing and whether the District is still within a self-contained housing market. Linked into this will be the need to liaise with statutory partners around matters of infrastructure and any arising housing need from neighbouring authorities.</u></p> <p><u>3. The Council also need to monitor the impact and effectiveness of its policies on windfall development coming forward in the towns and large villages. With regard to the medium and small villages, the impact of infill development will need to be assessed, particularly with regard to whether these settlements are becoming more or less sustainable and whether the policies for windfall are undermining the overall plan for housing.</u></p> <p><u>4. Linked into the above, is a need to ensure that there is sufficient employment land and whether it is necessary to allocate more land or less reflecting any changes to the economic circumstances of the District, market</u></p>	To provide clarity in conformity with the NPPF and as discussed at the examination hearings

			<p><a href="#">signals and business circumstances. These changes could also mean the need to consider allocations for retail, leisure and office space and in order to do this there will be the need for further retail studies on all the towns in the District and consideration as to whether the town centre boundaries are correct.</a></p> <p><a href="#">4. In order to ensure that the Council monitors and manages any changes to the District the Council will carry out a review of the Local Plan, with an emphasis on the above. The review being submitted for examination by April 2022.</a></p> <p><a href="#">Strategic Policy 29 (SP29) – Reviewing the Local Plan</a></p> <p><a href="#">1. The Council will review the Local Plan (Core Strategy and Settlement Proposals DPD). The review being submitted for examination by April 2022</a></p>	
--	--	--	---	--

## **ANNEX 1 – Affordable Housing and Housing for Vulnerable Groups, Local Connection Criteria - The Coastal Zone**

<b>No</b>	<b>Page</b>	<b>Paragraph</b>	<b>Change</b>	<b>Reason</b>
<b>MM29</b>	<b>123</b>	<b>Annex 1 – Affordable Housing and Housing for Vulnerable Groups</b>	<p>Delete from the first paragraph “<del>These types of provision will be linked to the Council’s Adopted Housing Strategy 2013—2018 and any subsequent adopted strategy. All housing in flood risk areas connected to the Housing Strategy will be subject to the following cascade criteria to ensure that housing does go to those in local need</del>” Delete the second paragraph and replace it with the following;</p> <p>Below is set out a list of some examples of housing for vulnerable or minority groups, this list is not exhaustive but those wishing to develop should demonstrate that there is a local need. All housing in the Coastal Zone will be subject to the cascade criteria set out below to ensure that the housing does go to those in local need.</p> <p>Migrant workers – A hostel or house housing migrant workers who live and work in the coast but need improved living conditions.</p>	To ensure that the Plan conforms with the NPPF in that it provides clarity and discussed at the examination hearings

			<p>Older persons – specialised housing is covered by policy SP5 and is a small group of adapted interlinked or separate older persons housing linked to an existing care facility or extra care facility but allowing independent living, this could be new development or extensions to existing development.</p> <p>Physical disabilities – adapted housing for those with physical disabilities.</p> <p>Substance misuse – Hostel or house in multiple occupation with attached support for residents either on or off site.</p> <p>Ex-Offenders – Hostel or housing in multiple occupation with attached support for residents either on or off site.</p> <p>Rough sleepers – Hostel or housing in multiple occupation with attached support for residents either on or off site.</p> <p>Teenage parents – Hostel or housing in multiple occupation with attached support for residents either on or off site or a small group of independent units.</p> <p>Learning disabilities - Hostel or housing in multiple occupation with attached support for residents either on or off site or a small group of independent units to allow for independent living with some support.</p> <p>Mental health disabilities - Hostel or housing in multiple occupation with attached support for residents either on or off site or a small group of independent units to allow for independent living with some support.</p> <p>Young People – this covers 16 – 25 years emergency housing and support and could be a Hostel or housing in multiple occupation with attached support for residents either on or off site or a small group of independent units. Gypsies and Travellers – this would only apply to those that already live in the Coastal Zone but wished to move into bricks and mortar housing.</p>	
--	--	--	---	--

<b>MM</b>	<b>Page</b>	<b>Paragraph</b>	<b>Change</b>	<b>Reason</b>												
<b>MM29</b>	<b>143</b>	Glossary	Insert an additional definition which reads – " <a href="#">Local Geological Sites (LGS) Areas designated for geology/geomorphology by the local authority and protected through the local plan.</a> A newer designation and one of a number of designations under the umbrella term local sites." This issue was raised during the Examination Hearing in conformity with the NPPF	To ensure that the Plan conforms with the NPPF in that it provides clarity and discussed at the examination hearings												
	<b>148</b>	New Annex 5	Insert a new annex 5 which lists the superceded polices from the 1995 Local plan as set out below; <table border="1" style="margin-left: 20px;"> <tr><td><b><u><i>A3 Local Areas and Settlement Hierarchy</i></u></b></td></tr> <tr><td><b><u><i>A4 Protection of General Amenities</i></u></b></td></tr> <tr><td><b><u><i>A5 Quality of Design and Development</i></u></b></td></tr> <tr><td><b><u><i>ENV3 Foul and Surface Water Disposal</i></u></b></td></tr> <tr><td><b><u><i>ENV15 Action Area Redevelopment Sites</i></u></b></td></tr> <tr><td><b><u><i>ENV16 Sites for Environmental Improvement</i></u></b></td></tr> <tr><td><b><u><i>ENV19 Local Sites of Nature Conservation Importance</i></u></b></td></tr> <tr><td><b><u><i>ENV20 Protection of Water Quality</i></u></b></td></tr> <tr><td><b><u><i>ENV21 River Corridors</i></u></b></td></tr> <tr><td><b><u><i>ENV24 Protection of Open Spaces and Frontages</i></u></b></td></tr> <tr><td><b><u><i>C1 Development and Demolition Affecting a Conserva</i></u></b></td></tr> <tr><td><b><u><i>C2 Development and Demolition Affecting a Listed Bu</i></u></b></td></tr> <tr><td><b><u><i>C5 Historic Buildings</i></u></b></td></tr> </table>	<b><u><i>A3 Local Areas and Settlement Hierarchy</i></u></b>	<b><u><i>A4 Protection of General Amenities</i></u></b>	<b><u><i>A5 Quality of Design and Development</i></u></b>	<b><u><i>ENV3 Foul and Surface Water Disposal</i></u></b>	<b><u><i>ENV15 Action Area Redevelopment Sites</i></u></b>	<b><u><i>ENV16 Sites for Environmental Improvement</i></u></b>	<b><u><i>ENV19 Local Sites of Nature Conservation Importance</i></u></b>	<b><u><i>ENV20 Protection of Water Quality</i></u></b>	<b><u><i>ENV21 River Corridors</i></u></b>	<b><u><i>ENV24 Protection of Open Spaces and Frontages</i></u></b>	<b><u><i>C1 Development and Demolition Affecting a Conserva</i></u></b>	<b><u><i>C2 Development and Demolition Affecting a Listed Bu</i></u></b>	<b><u><i>C5 Historic Buildings</i></u></b>
<b><u><i>A3 Local Areas and Settlement Hierarchy</i></u></b>																
<b><u><i>A4 Protection of General Amenities</i></u></b>																
<b><u><i>A5 Quality of Design and Development</i></u></b>																
<b><u><i>ENV3 Foul and Surface Water Disposal</i></u></b>																
<b><u><i>ENV15 Action Area Redevelopment Sites</i></u></b>																
<b><u><i>ENV16 Sites for Environmental Improvement</i></u></b>																
<b><u><i>ENV19 Local Sites of Nature Conservation Importance</i></u></b>																
<b><u><i>ENV20 Protection of Water Quality</i></u></b>																
<b><u><i>ENV21 River Corridors</i></u></b>																
<b><u><i>ENV24 Protection of Open Spaces and Frontages</i></u></b>																
<b><u><i>C1 Development and Demolition Affecting a Conserva</i></u></b>																
<b><u><i>C2 Development and Demolition Affecting a Listed Bu</i></u></b>																
<b><u><i>C5 Historic Buildings</i></u></b>																



			<b><u>C7 Historic Landscapes</u></b>		
			<b><u>C8 Planning for Disadvantaged Groups of People</u></b>		
			<b><u>C10 Advance Directional Signs</u></b>		
			<b><u>C11 Lincolnshire Wolds Area of Outstanding Natural Beauty and Areas of Great Landscape Value</u></b>		
			<b><u>C12 Protection of Buildings in the AONB &amp; AGLV</u></b>		
			<b><u>C14 Coastal Conservation Areas – CCA1 and CCA4</u></b>		
			<b><u>C15 Coastal Conservation Areas – CCA2 and CCA3</u></b>		
			<b><u>DC4 Intensive Livestock Units</u></b>		
			<b><u>DC5 Replacement Dwellings In The Countryside</u></b>		
			<b><u>DC6 Re-use of Old Buildings In The Countryside</u></b>		
			<b><u>DC7 Conversion of Farm Buildings into Houses</u></b>		
			<b><u>EMP1 Land Allocated for Industry</u></b>		
			<b><u>EMP2 Business Support Facilities</u></b>		
			<b><u>EMP3 Other Sites for Industry</u></b>		
			<b><u>EMP4 Business Parks</u></b>		
			<b><u>EMP9 Telecommunications</u></b>		
			<b><u>T1 Tourism Strategy</u></b>		

			<b><u>T2 Main Coastal Holiday Areas</u></b>		
			<b><u>T3 Holiday Service Centres</u></b>		
			<b><u>T4 Mablethorpe and Skegness Foreshores</u></b>		
			<b><u>T5 Coastal Amusement Centres</u></b>		
			<b><u>T6 Amusement Centres Elsewhere</u></b>		
			<b><u>T7 Main Tourist Attractions</u></b>		
			<b><u>T9 Hotel/Guesthouse/Bed and Breakfast Accommodation</u></b>		
			<b><u>T10 Loss of Hotels</u></b>		
			<b><u>T12 New Visitor Accommodation</u></b>		
			<b><u>T13 Static Holiday Caravans</u></b>		
			<b><u>T14 New Static Holiday Caravan Parks</u></b>		
			<b><u>T15 Touring Caravan or Camping Sites</u></b>		
			<b><u>T16 Holiday and Seasonal Occupancy</u></b>		
			<b><u>T19 Visitor Transit Services</u></b>		
			<b><u>H1 Allocated Housing Sites</u></b>		
			<b><u>H6 Low Cost Housing</u></b>		
			<b><u>H9 Creating Extra Accommodation In Existing Premises</u></b>		
			<b><u>H10 Infilling and Subdivision of Gardens</u></b>		

			<b><u>H12 Design of New Housing</u></b>		
			<b><u>H14 Residential Caravan Sites for Gypsies</u></b>		
			<b><u>S1 Shopping in Towns</u></b>		
			<b><u>S4 Loss of Shops</u></b>		
			<b><u>S5 Retailing From Industrial Estates or Premises</u></b>		
			<b><u>S6 Neighbourhood Shops</u></b>		
			<b><u>S7 Village Shops</u></b>		
			<b><u>S8 Shops in the Countryside</u></b>		
			<b><u>S9 Hot Food Takeaway Premises</u></b>		
			<b><u>REC1 Sport and Recreation Strategy</u></b>		
			<b><u>REC2 Main Sport and Formal Recreation</u></b>		
			<b><u>REC3 Loss of Sport and Formal Recreation Facilities</u></b>		
			<b><u>REC4 Local and Informal Recreation</u></b>		
			<b><u>REC6 Local Country Parks</u></b>		
			<b><u>REC9 Countryside Recreation: Outdoor</u></b>		
			<b><u>REC10 Countryside Recreation: Indoor</u></b>		
			<b><u>REC11 Noisy Sports</u></b>		
			<b><u>REC13 Golf Courses</u></b>		

			<b><u>REC14 Commercial Horse Riding Facilities</u></b>		
			<b><u>CF2 Loss of Key Community and Social Facilities</u></b>		
			<b><u>TR3 Road Design in New Development</u></b>		
			<b><u>TR4 Protection of Existing Car Parking Spaces</u></b>		
			<b><u>TR8 Tourist Parking Facilities</u></b>		
			<b><u>TR9 Lorry Parks</u></b>		